



September 06, 2022

Dear Monte Sereno City Council:

We are writing on behalf of **South Bay YIMBY** regarding Monte Sereno's 6th Cycle Housing Element Update. As a regional pro-housing advocacy group, South Bay YIMBY works to ensure cities adopt housing elements that are fair, realistic, and lawful.

Per GOV §8899.50(a)(1), Monte Sereno's housing element must affirmatively further fair housing by 'taking meaningful actions... that overcome patterns of segregation.'

As our past letter showed, your city is segregated from the rest of the Bay, as only the richest 1% of households can afford an average home in your city. To grow into an integrated city, law requires you to provide low income (LI) folks with a range of housing options that meet their needs. Yet, your Draft falsely claims 100% of LI folks would have their needs met by ADUs built in Monte Sereno.

**Few, if any, of your ADUs further fair housing goals.** A 2020 survey from Berkeley's Center for Community Innovation found 40% of ADUs are held off the long-term rental market, often as home offices, while 32% of ADUs are rented to families or friends for discounted rents. Sweetheart deals to family and friends do not promote integration, as required by law. When your city is 74% whiter than the Bay Area, providing LI units disproportionately to family members reifies existing patterns of racial segregation.

**Less than a third of ADUs are actually rented on the open market.** But ABAG's general findings on ADU affordability don't extend to your city, where LI folks can't afford open market rate rents. **The cheapest Monte Sereno ADU on Zillow rents for \$3,450.**

To be clear, ADUs are a valuable part of a healthy mix of housing choices. But not every low income family wants to live in someone else's backyard. Not every LI family is small enough to live in a small ADU. And even those LI families who would happily live in an ADU can't do it in Monte Sereno because virtually no ADUs are available to the public at a rent suitable for LI tenants.

To rectify this, your city should entirely lift its ban on apartments, condos, and townhomes. As of 2020, your city outlawed these buildings in 100% of residential areas, effectively banning affordable housing built at-scale. Aside from creating more abundant options for LI families, lifting your exclusionary zoning will also yield homeownership opportunities to build intergenerational wealth in a high opportunity community that ADUs, as rentals, cannot. Plus, more choice allows larger low income families to find 3+ bedroom units, rare among ADUs, that meets their needs.

Thank you,

**Salim Damerdjji**, South Bay YIMBY