



August 04, 2022

Dear Corte Madera City Council:

We are writing on behalf of **South Bay YIMBY** regarding Corte Madera's 6th Cycle Housing Element Update. As a regional pro-housing advocacy group, South Bay YIMBY works to ensure cities adopt housing elements that are fair, realistic, and lawful.

Per §8899.50(a)(1) of state code, Corte Madera's housing element must affirmatively further fair housing, which entails 'taking meaningful actions... that overcome patterns of segregation.'

The City of Corte Madera is uniquely positioned to affirmatively further fair housing, as Corte Madera is a wealthy, exclusionary city that researchers with the Othering and Belonging Institute at UC Berkeley identify as highly segregated from the rest of the Bay Area. This socioeconomic segregation is caused by the exclusionary cost of housing in your community, where an average home, as of April 30th, costs \$2,035,000, which is only affordable to someone earning a salary of \$333,000, meaning **only the richest 3% of households can afford to settle down in your community**. To put a finer point on the level of affluence in your city, the average home in your city costs more than French castles. It is thus no coincidence that your city is 55% whiter than the rest of the Bay. Sadly, your city's demographics have trended in an even less equitable direction, losing 75 brown residents while gaining 355 white residents since 2010.

In a 2021 report entitled 'Exclusionary Zoning: Its Effect on Racial Discrimination in the Housing Market,' economic advisors for the White House outline how exclusionary zoning, like yours, causes segregation. Your exclusionary zoning pushes low income children to live in less resourced areas, which begets worse life outcomes from health to income. The research is clear: exclusionary zoning violates your duty to further fair housing.

To take meaningful actions that overcome patterns of segregation, we recommend you:

1. **End apartment bans in high opportunity areas.** This will give middle and working class families the opportunity to share in the resources your rich neighborhoods enjoy. As of 2020, **your city banned apartments in over 88.9% of residential areas**, including in 90.0% of high opportunity residential areas.
2. **Accommodate 837 low income homes in your site inventory.** While substantially larger than the floor of 336 low income homes required by RHNA, 837 is the number of homes required to bring the proportion of low income families in your city in line with the rest of the Bay Area. While this number is large enough to be politically challenging, it will always be politically challenging to overcome segregation, as AFFH requires.

Thank you,

**Salim Damerdjji**, South Bay YIMBY

**Keith Diggs**, YIMBY Law