

# PumpSureAI: Predictive Maintenance with Sensor Data

**Objective:** To identify pump failures before they occur using advanced analytics.

**Outcome:** Minimized downtime and optimized maintenance scheduling.

**Approach:** Data-driven insights and machine learning models.

# Data Overview and Preprocessing

**Initial Inspection:** Dataset structure, types, missing values.

**Missing Values:** Imputed based on analysis.

**Outliers:** Quantile-based thresholds applied.

**Correlation Analysis:** High-correlation features identified and visualized.

# Advanced Feature Engineering

## 1. Critical Sensor Selection:

- Correlation threshold ( $>0.3$ ).

## 2. Derived Features:

- Rolling windows: Mean, median, standard deviation.
- Lagged data: 1, 5, 10-minute lags.
- Differencing for rate-of-change.
- Z-scores for anomaly detection.

## 3. Temporal Features:

- Time-based (day, hour, weekday).
- Event-based (time-to-failure).

# Model Performance Summary

## Models Explored:

1. Logistic Regression (LR): Test F1 = 0.9473.
  2. AdaBoost: Test F1 = 0.9914.
  3. GBM: Test F1 = 0.9698.
  4. XGBoost: Test F1 = 0.9806.
- Deep Learning: Explored but not superior to ensemble models.
  - Best Model: AdaBoost - robust and balanced.

# Key Data Visualizations

1. Correlation Heatmap: Highlights redundancy and selection.
2. Sensor Trends: Rolling averages for pattern analysis.
3. Anomaly Detection: Z-score-based visualization.
4. Model Comparison: Training vs. Testing F1 scores (bar chart).

# Detailed Model Results

	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	1.00	0.99	0.99	32186	
1	0.98	1.00	0.99	14484	
accuracy			0.99	46670	
macro avg		0.99	0.99	0.99	46670
weighted avg		0.99	0.99	0.99	46670

# Final Insights and Recommendations

- Achievements:
- Comprehensive pipeline for predictive maintenance.
- Ensemble models outperform alternatives.

## Next Steps:

1. Deploy AdaBoost model.
2. Real-time sensor data integration.
3. Explore advanced deep learning techniques for improvement.