

Multivariate Linear Regression and the problem of multicollinearity

October 7, 2014

Bivariate linear regression fits using sklearn/statsmodels return a very high standard error on the parameter estimates a_j , a_y and c where

$$L_{max} = a_j * t2_j + a_y * t2_y + c \quad (1)$$

moreover, the y-band slope is -0.0072. A *negative* slope implies a lower L_{max} for a higher $t_2(Y)$ which is clearly not what the data suggests. The high standard error also means that the combined fit yields very high errors on L_{max} . This points to the problem of multicollinearity (high correlation between predictors). We collect evidence to substantiate this and how to solve the problem

Evidence for multicollinearity

- Insignificant t-stat for the parameters, despite a high F-stat
- high r^2 between x_1 and x_2
- high standard error and opposite direction of the parameters
- VIF (variance inflation factor) $>> 10$

where VIF is used as a 'rule of thumb' statistic to test the collinearity of predictor variables. It is related to the Pearson coefficient as

$$VIF = \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \quad (2)$$

In order to verify the parameter estimates, we looked at the output parameters from different least squares packages, which all yielded a negative slope for the $t_2(Y)$

Possible solutions

The above criteria for testing the presence of multicollinearity as seen in our dataset. We, therefore, look at the possible solutions for this condition, without dropping a variable (that is another possibility which is recommended in such cases, but since the aim is to see how much better than a J -band only fit we can do, we keep this as a last resort).

1. Partial Least Squares: Using the linear model package in scikit learn, we then look at the parameter estimates from a partial least squares. The problem of directionality still remains

2. Ridge Regression: This method uses an l2 regularization with a linear least squares. It returns the parameter estimates. The errors are calculated by bootstrap sampling. For small positive values of α , the estimates are identical to the other methods. If we use a high α , we get lower errors on the slope and intercept, however, the resulting

We find that none of the given solutions are adequate to give the desired parameter estimates. A PCA is an alternative, but it would reduce the dataset to a lower dimensionality, an equivalent of dropping one of the correlated regressors.