The A=LU factorization

Recall that a negular" matrix is one whose pivots are nonzero during Gaussian Elimination (GE).

ex Let A= (4 2 36), b= (1). Solve for xin the equation

Back substitution -6x3 = 0 -3 x2 -6.0 =-4 ×175-48+3.0= 3×2 · 4 × 1+8/3 = 3/3 "scool ou"

(in blue above) corresponds to

Each application of ERO1 multiplying on the left by the arresponding elementing matrix

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the process of GE 1 can be written as

$$E_3 E_2 E_1 (A 1b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & -4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact Each elementary now operation is moretible 3,

$$\left(E_3E_2E_1\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1&0&0\\7&2&1\end{array}\right).$$

In general, this holds true For GE mony from left to right



```
17.
```

```
Tolas Set L. (E3 E2 E1) = (400)
          (Lis for lower triangular).
Let U: ( 3 3 -6) (U is for apper triangular).
 In our example, we worked out that
        ESESE, A=U.
   Multiplying on the left by L, we get
        LEBEZE, A = LY
       (E3 E2 E,)" (E3 E2 E1) A = LU
              A=LU
This is the "LU" factorization of A. We can use on
 Lu factorization to solve Ax=b. How?
MM Ax=b <=> LUx=b <=> Lc=b 3 Ux=c.
 ex (continued)

Bequirelent to "

Ex (continued)

Sequirelent to "

A = (123)

L = (400)

T = (03-6)
   A=LU.
     Step 1 Solve Lc . b using "forward substitution"
                                                    "first nou"
         (4 200 | 1) C1 = 1
4.1+6=0 C2=-4
                                                    " se cond now"
                                                   " third rou"
                        7.1+2.-4+6=-1 63:0
     5 tep 3 Now that we know c, we solve Ux = c using "back substitution"

WWAMM ( 0 3-6 ) -4 ) | x3 = 6 | x2 = 4/3 | x = -5/3
```

When is using Gaussian Elimination on (A16) good? To solve Ax= b once.

When is using GE to get on LU factorization good?

To solve Ax= b for same A, lots of b.

Roughly speaking, for an nxn matrix, Gaussian Elimination takes $O(n^3)$ steps.

However, forward 3 back substitution each require

O(n2) steps.

The computational surveys are staggering for matrices ul

n ≈ 10,000; 1000,000; etc....

ex
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2-r_1 \\ 0 & 1-2 \end{bmatrix}}_{7} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1-4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 &$$

Because we worked left to right (see *)

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, so A: \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

ex & Solve Ax = (i)

$$\frac{Lc=b}{b} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0$$

$$U_{x=C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times_{x_{0}} = 0$$

$$X_{1} + M \times M$$

X1+1.1+3.0 = 0 |X1=-1