\* Follow up from last time.

Many linear systems Ax = b will have solutions even though A has a zero prot

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 0 & | & 1 & 2 & | & 4 & | & 4 & | & 2 & | & 4 & | & 2 & | & 4 & | & 2 & | & 4 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & | & 2 & |$ 

The sage was

ERO2 swaptwo rous.

By applying ERO1 repeatedly, we can acrove at an A=LU
fac brization. Is there or granulant for using ERO1 ! ERO?

Don A square motive is called nonsingular of it can be reduced to upper triangular form with nonzero elements along the diagonal by the EROI & ERO2. Otherwise it is called singular By applying ERO I Wills repeatedly, we can find the A-LU Factorizate what if we use EROI & ERO 2?

Renne del LU factorization

Den A permutation matrix is one obtained by reording the rows of the identity matrix.

Multiplying A on the left by a permutation motion P is the same as re-ording the rows of A

Big idea we will make a permutation matrix P s.E. PA is regular, and thus PA has on LU factorization.

Steps 1 DOGE U/ ERO 172.

Step? Use the record of operations to make P \$ L.

Making P & L

PA=LU:

$$-1 - 2 \cdot -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{12} = -1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{13}{12} - \frac{4}{12} + \frac{3}{12} + \frac{13}{12} = 1$$

## Solving Ax= b with the permutal LU factorization

Solvy Ax=b

PAx=Pb= & = permode entries

LUx=& solve His us normal.

ex PA = LU from before. b = (-1)

Pb=(=1). Lux=(=1)

 $L_{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1$ 

 $U_{X} = C \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 6 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 \\ 13 & 102 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} X_{4} & = -\frac{17}{13} \\ 4 & 3 \\ -\frac{51}{13} & = -\frac{34}{13} \end{array}$