# CS 466/566 Assignment 2 Report

#### **SEDA NUR DOĞANAY S004299**

Saved trainings of networks: WETRANSFER LINK

### **Network Designs**

Network Layout		
Network 1	Network 2	
conv 5x5x3x32 with s-1x1x1x1	conv 5x5x3x32 with s-1x1x1x1	
ReLu - Norm	ReLu - Norm	
maxpool 1x3x3 with s-1x2x2x1	maxpool 1x4x4 with s-1x2x2x1	
conv 5x5x32x16	conv 5x5x32x32	
ReLu - Norm	ReLu - Norm	
maxpool 1x3x3 with s-1x2x2x1	maxpool 1x4x4 with s-1x2x2x1	
Fc – 576x192	Fc – 1152x192	
Fc – 192x96	Fc – 192x96	
Softmax - 96x10	Softmax - 96x10	
# of hidden parameters 145530	# of hidden parameters 268938	
batch size 256	batch size 128	

## **Training Description**

I have performed exponential decay on my learning rate which was 0.1 initially. Gradient Descent algorithm was used as my optimizer and as my cost function, the mean of the cross entropy per example was used with additional L2 regularization on all the variables i.e. weights and biases. I have trained network\_1 with a batch size of 256 items and network\_2 with 128 items. Therefore network\_1 was taking 0.8 seconds per batch whereas the other network was taking 0.5 seconds. I've trained network\_2 until 7049. step and 5143.step for network\_1.

## **Experimental Results**

Unfortunately, I couldn't implement McNemar evaluation.

Experimental Results	Performance in %	
Data Set	Network 1	Network 2
CIFAR-10	73.2%	75.59%

### **Discussion**

If I would wait more and more it would be much more successful but at the same time it could overfit. Test set could be used to determine when to stop training.

The results are pretty similar, that's because I've changed both batch\_size and neuron numbers per layer.