

PA1 Report

Shane Drafahl

29 October, 2017

War With Array

```
// S: the set of k length sub strings
function compute2k(S[], k) {
    size = 0
    2K[]
    x = 0
    for(x in range(s)) {
        y = 0
        for(y in range(s)) {
            concat = concat(s[x] + s[y]);
            if(validString(S, concat)) {
                2K[size] = concat
                size++
            }
        }
    }
    return 2K
}

function validString(S[], string) { // Checks if the string given is a po
    t = 1
    subSets = s.length - k // number of substrings to check
    for(t in range(subSets)) {
        substring = sub(string, t, t+k) // gets the substring of string b
        if(!isInDictionary(S, substring)) {
            return false
        }
    }
}
```

```

    }
    return true
}

isInDictionary(S[], substring) {
    b = 0
    for(b in range(S)) {
        if(S[b] == substring) {
            return true
        }
    }
    return false
}

```

This algorithm concatenates each $1k$ substring with every other $1k$ substring and then checks if the string is valid with an array. There are $O(n^2)$ $2k$ substrings. Each string has $k-1$ substrings to be checked. To validate if those substrings are a substring must check n substrings and the comparison takes k time because string comparison is linear. So therefore the runtime of this algorithm is $O(n^3(k^2 - k))$.

War With Binary Tree

```

function buildTree(S[], int k)
{
    Node n // new node
    n.value = s
    T // new binary tree
    T.root = n
    x = 0
    for(x in range(s)) {

```

```

Node newNode
newNode.value = S[x]
Node temp = T.root
while(true) {
    if(temp.value < newNode.value) {
        newNode.parent = temp;
        if(temp.right == null) {
            temp.right = newNode;
            break;
        } else {
            temp = temp.right;
        }
    } else {
        if(temp.left == null) {
            temp.left = newNode;
            break;
        } else {
            temp = temp.left;
        }
    }
}
}
return T
}

```

```

function contains(T, string) { // BST method
    if(T.root == null) {
        return false;
    } else {
        Node temp = T.root;
        while(true) {
            if(temp.valu == string) {
                return true;
            } else {
                if(temp.value < string) {
                    if(temp.right == null) {
                        return false;
                    } else {
                        temp = temp.right;
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

        } else {
            if(temp.left == null) {
                return false;
            } else {
                temp = temp.left;
            }
        }
    }
}
}
}
}

```

```

// S: the set of k length sub strings
// T: binary tree with k length substrings
function compute2k(S[], T, k) {
    size = 0
    2K[]
    x = 0
    for(x in range(s)) {
        y = 0
        for(y in range(s)) {
            concat = concat(s[x] + s[y]);
            if(validString(S, T, concat)) {
                2K[size] = concat
                size++
            }
        }
    }
    return 2K
}

```

```

function validString(S[], T, string) { // Checks if the string given
    t = 1
    subSets = s.length - k // number of substrings to check
    for(t in range(subSets)) {
        substring = sub(string, t, t+k) // gets the substring of string
        if(!contains(T, substring)) {
            return false
        }
    }
}

```

```

        return true
    }

```

This algorithm is similar to war with array but on average it will take the binary tree $O(\log(n))$ to find the string. Therefore for compute2k it takes $O(\log(n)n^2(k^2 - k))$. To construct a binary tree it will take $O(n * \log(n))$.

War With Hash

This algorithm uses a hashset as a hash set as a dictionary algorithm.

```

function setUpHash(S[], H) {
    x = 0
    for(x in range(S)) {
        insert(s[x])
    }
}

// S: the set of k length sub strings
// H hashset that contains k length substrings
function compute2k(S[], H, k) {
    size = 0
    2K[]
    x = 0
    for(x in range(s)) {
        y = 0
        for(y in range(s)) {
            concat = concat(s[x] + s[y]);
            if(validString(S, H, concat)) {
                2K[size] = concat
                size++
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

        }
    }
}
return 2K
}

// S set of k length substrings
function validString(S[], H, string, k) { // Checks if the string given i
    t = 1
    for(t in range(k-1)) {
        substring = sub(string, t, t+k) // gets the substring of string b
        if(!contains(H, substring)) { // contains() hashes the substring
            return false
        }
    }
    return true
}

```

On average it should take constant time to search this algorithm so therefore the runtime of this algorithm is $O(n^2(k^2 - k))$. The function to add all the k length substrings to the hashset should take $O(n)$ time.

```

function createHash(S[], k)
{
    H[] // hash values
    x = 0
    for(x in range(S)) {
        H[x] = S[x]
    }
}

// S: the set of k length sub strings
function compute2k(S[], k) {

```

```

    size = 0
    2K[]
    x = 0
    for(x in range(s)) {
        y = 0
        for(y in range(s)) {
            concat = concat(s[x] + s[y]);
            if(validString(S, concat)) {
                2K[size] = concat
                size++
            }
        }
    }
    return 2K
}

// H the hash in an array of the k length substrings
function checkString(S[], H[], string, PRIME, k) {
    index0 = 1
    index2 = k
    String substring = substring(string, index0, index2)
    sum = hashString(substring)
    match = true
    while(true) {
        match = false;
        x = 0
        M[] //possible matches
        size = 0 //size of M
        for(x in range(H)) {
            if(sum == H[x]) {
                M[size] = S[x]
                size++
            }
        }
        x = 0
        if(contains(M, substring)) { // checks if substring is located
            match = true
        }
        if(match == false) {
            return false;
        }
    }
}

```

```

    } else if(index2 == length(string) - k + 1) {
        return true;
    } else {
        sum -= substring[0]
        sum /= PRIME
        sum += string[index2] * (PRIME^(k-1));
        substring = substring(substring, 1, length(substring))
        substring = concat(substring, string[index2])
        index0++
        index2++
    }
}

function hashString(string, PRIME) {
    sum = 0
    x = 0
    for(x in range(string)) {
        character = string[x]
        sum = sum + (character * PRIME^x)
    }
    return sum
}

```

This algorithm is the most different in that it attempts to optimize the process of checking the substrings in a given string. This algorithm first like the others concatenates all the k length substrings but what's different is it creates an array to store the hash values for the k length strings in an array. This means when it is checking the $k-1$ substring if the hash value is different it does not need to do any string comparison. Worst case scenario is it's comparing a valid substring and has to do string comparison every time. So therefore the runtime is $O(n^3(k^2 - k))$.