

# HW13

Shane Drafahl

16 October ,2017

1.  $G = (\{ G, T, B, A \} \{ 1, 0 \} , S, P)$   
 $P = \{$   
 $S \rightarrow GTB | \epsilon$   
 $G \rightarrow GA | GC | \epsilon$   
 $A1 \rightarrow 1A$   
 $A0 \rightarrow 0A$   
 $AT \rightarrow 1TA$   
 $C0 \rightarrow 0C$   
 $C1 \rightarrow 1C$   
 $AB \rightarrow 1B$   
 $CB \rightarrow 0A$   
 $CT \rightarrow 0TC$   
 $\}$

2.  $L = \{ p(M)p(w) : M \text{ uses a finite number of tape cells when running on input } w \}$

This language is turing acceptable. For  $\forall_w \in L$  every  $w$  will only take finite number of tape cells so we know it will halt when the head of  $M$  reaches the last tape cell so  $\forall_w \in L, M \searrow w$ . So therefore  $L$  is acceptable.  $L$  is not a decidable language. We can prove this by reduction. We want to Reduce  $L$  to the halting problem. Suppose by contradiction we assume  $L$  is decidable. This means that there exists a machine  $M$  that will say yes to strings in the language. Othwerwise if the string is not in the language it will say no. If a string requires an infinte number of cells while running on the string then it cannot halt because it cannot process more cells after it halts. So if a string  $x$  is not in  $L$  then it will not halt. Therefore if a string is in  $L$  it will halt otherwise it will not halt if it is not in  $L$ . Our assumption was that there exists a machine that decides  $L$  but this is a contradiction because that would mean that a machine can decide the halting problem. Therefore  $L$  is not decidable.

Otherwise another way to prove this is the complement of  $L$  is a language where  $M$  uses an infinite number of tape cells. This is not acceptable because this machine can never say yes because it will be infinitely checking tape cells for a yes. Therefore because the complement is not turing acceptable it cannot be decidable.

$$L = \{ p(M)p(w)01^n0 : M \text{ uses at most } n \text{ tape cells when running on input } w \}$$

This language is turing decidable. This language is acceptable because if it uses at most  $n$  tape cells it can give a  $Y$ . To prove its turing decidable we will look at all the cases.

Case 1:  $M \searrow w$  in this case you just have to count the number of states that the head goes through. If it uses more than  $n$  then  $M$  says no and if it uses less or  $n$  then  $M$  says yes.

Case 2:  $M \nearrow w$  there are two ways this can happen. It can either run forever over a finite amount of states or the head can transition over an infinite set of states. If it transitions over a finite number of states then  $M$  could count the number of times the head transitions into each state and put a limit on the number of times it can enter the same state multiple times. This prevents looping over a finite number of states forever. After it detects looping if it has gone through more than  $n$  transitions  $M$  can say no otherwise it can say yes. If  $M$  loops over an infinite number of transition then at some point it would reach  $N$  and pass it so as soon as it goes over  $N$  it can say no. So therefore this machine can always produce a yes or no so it is decidable.