

RSWAT: An R package for the Soil and Water

- ² Assessment Tool models
- 3 Tam V. Nguyen © 1*
- 1 Tam V. Nguyen, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research UFZ, Germany * These authors
- 5 contributed equally.

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review □
- Repository 🗗
- Archive ♂

Editor: ♂

Submitted: 04 February 2024 **Published:** unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyrigh € and release the work under a 16 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

19

23

37

Summary

Hydro-ecological model is an important tool for a quantitative understanding of hydro-ecological processes and their interactions. Parameter calibration, sensitivity, and uncertainty analyses play a crucial role in hydro-ecological modeling (K. C. Abbaspour, 2022; Song et al., 2015). A model-specific tool is often required for parameter calibration, sensitivity, and uncertainty analyses as each model usually has its own input and output file format/structure. This study introduces the RSWAT package, which resulted from the continuous development of the R-SWAT shiny application (Nguyen et al., 2022) for the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT, Arnold et al. (1998)) community. RSWAT has more functionalities compared to R-SWAT, allowing users to work with SWAT and different SWAT versions with or without using the graphical user interface (GUI).

Statement of need

The SWAT model is one of the most widely used and tested hydro-ecological models (Aloui et al., 2023; Gassman et al., 2007). SWAT has been constantly developed since its development due to an improved understanding of hydro-ecological processes (e.g., Ilampooranan et al., 2019) and to solve new challenges. On the other hand, SWAT also enhances our understanding of reality and contributes to water resources management at both local and regional scales (Gassman et al., 2007). While most of the changes in SWAT are minors, there are major changes (Bieger et al., 2017). Many technical challenges arise when working with models that are constantly changing. For example, model parameter calibration, sensitivity, and uncertainty analyses are not an easy task as (1) SWAT has numerous input files and parameters, and (2) there is a lack of a standard tool that can cope with these changes.

Various tools have been developed to support SWAT modeling, for example, ArcSWAT, QSWAT, R-SWAT (Nguyen et al., 2022), SWAT-CUP (Karim C. Abbaspour, 2015), SWATrunR (Christoph, 2019), and IPEAT+ (Yen et al., 2019). Among those, R-SWAT is the only R-based application with the GUI that can work with various versions of SWAT (e.g., SWAT-Carbon) without modifying the R-SWAT code. R-SWAT has various built-in and add-on methods for automatic parameter calibration and/or parameter sensitivity and uncertainty analyses from the R community. However, as a shiny application (not an R package), installing R-SWAT is troublesome since R-SWAT depends on many R packages. In addition, R-SWAT has no clear separation of functions for the GUI and stand-alone functions for working without the GUI. No documentation of the R-SWAT functions also makes it difficult to use without the GUI. R-SWAT cannot be employed for SWAT+ (Bieger et al., 2017), a completely revised structure of SWAT. R-SWAT does not support interactive manual calibration of SWAT and SWAT+ in a similar way to airGRteaching (Delaigue et al., 2023), which is especially useful for teaching. Therefore, major changes in R-SWAT are needed to overcome the aforementioned limitations.



Features

- RSWAT is an R (R Core Team, 2021) package developed based on the original version of the R-SWAT shiny application. Therefore, RSWAT inherits the following functionalities of the R-SWAT:
- Automatic parameter calibration, sensitivity, and uncertainty analyses for SWAT with
 the GUI using various approaches.
 - Visualization of the simulated and observed variables.
- Spatial visualization of the model results was not included in RSWAT as this option is not often used by users and there exist different tools for this (e.g., PAVLIB4SWAT, Lin et al. (2023)). Additional features of RSWAT compared to R-SWAT are:
 - Automatic parameter calibration, sensitivity, and uncertainty analyses for SWAT and SWAT+ with and without using the GUI.
 - Manual interactive calibration of SWAT and SWAT+.

Mention

52

53

R-SWAT/RSWAT is being adopted for SWAT/SWAT+ modeling. Currently, there are more than 160 users in the R-SWAT Google group with more than 120 discussion topics. R-SWAT/RSWAT was used in some recent publications (Wang et al. (2023) Wu et al. (2024) Li et al. (2024), Karki et al. (2023), Ougahi & Rowan (2024), Myers et al. (2023)). With additional features, RSWAT is expected to be used not only in research but also in teaching.

References

- Abbaspour, Karim C. (2015). SWAT-CUP: SWAT calibration and uncertainty programs-a user manual. *Eawag: Dübendorf, Switzerland*.
- Abbaspour, K. C. (2022). The fallacy in the use of the "best-fit" solution in hydrologic modeling. *Science of The Total Environment*, 802, 149713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149713
- Aloui, S., Mazzoni, A., Elomri, A., Aouissi, J., Boufekane, A., & Zghibi, A. (2023). A review of soil and water assessment tool (SWAT) studies of mediterranean catchments: Applications, feasibility, and future directions. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 326, 116799. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116799
- Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S., & Williams, J. R. (1998). LARGE AREA HYDROLOGIC MODELING AND ASSESSMENT PART i: MODEL DEVELOPMENT1.

 JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 34(1), 73–89. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x
- Bieger, K., Arnold, J. G., Rathjens, H., White, M. J., Bosch, D. D., Allen, P. M., Volk, M.,
 & Srinivasan, R. (2017). Introduction to SWAT+, a completely restructured version of
 the soil and water assessment tool. JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources
 Association, 53(1), 115–130. https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12482
- ⁷⁹ Christoph, S. (2019). SWATplusR: Running SWAT2012 and SWAT+ projects in r. In *GitHub* repository. GitHub. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3373859
- Delaigue, O., Brigode, P., Thirel, G., & Coron, L. (2023). airGRteaching: An open-source tool for teaching hydrological modeling with r. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 27(17), 3293–3327. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-27-3293-2023



- Gassman, P. W., Reyes, M. R., Green, C. H., & Arnold, J. G. (2007). The soil and water assessment tool: Historical development, applications, and future research directions.
 Transactions of the ASABE, 50(4), 1211–1250. https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.23637
- Ilampooranan, I., Van Meter, K. J., & Basu, N. B. (2019). A race against time: Modeling time lags in watershed response. Water Resources Research, 55(5), 3941–3959. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR023815
- Karki, R., Qi, J., Gonzalez-Benecke, C. A., Zhang, X., Martin, T. A., & Arnold, J. G. (2023).
 SWAT-3PG: Improving forest growth simulation with a process-based forest model in SWAT.
 Environmental Modelling & Software, 164, 105705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.
 2023.105705
- Li, W., Cheng, X., & Zhu, D. (2024). Towards the hydrological effects of land use change in karst area, a case study in lijiang river basin, china. *Journal of Hydrology*, *630*, 130629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2024.130629
- Lin, Q., Zhang, D., Wu, J., Fang, Y., Chen, X., & Lin, B. (2023). PAVLIB4SWAT: a Python analysis and visualization tool and library based on Kepler.gl for SWAT models. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 26(1), 189–202. https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2023.182
- Myers, D. T., Jones, D., Oviedo-Vargas, D., Schmit, J. P., Ficklin, D. L., & Zhang, X. (2023).
 Seasonal variation in landcover estimates reveals sensitivities and opportunities for environmental models. *EGUsphere*, 2023, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1171
- Nguyen, T. V., Dietrich, J., Dang, T. D., Tran, D. A., Van Doan, B., Sarrazin, F. J.,
 Abbaspour, K., & Srinivasan, R. (2022). An interactive graphical interface tool for
 parameter calibration, sensitivity analysis, uncertainty analysis, and visualization for the
 soil and water assessment tool. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 156, 105497.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2022.105497
- Ougahi, J. H., & Rowan, J. S. (2024). Combining hydrological models and remote sensing to characterize snowpack dynamics in high mountains. *Remote Sensing*, 16(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs16020264
- R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- Song, X., Zhang, J., Zhan, C., Xuan, Y., Ye, M., & Xu, C. (2015). Global sensitivity analysis in hydrological modeling: Review of concepts, methods, theoretical framework, and applications. *Journal of Hydrology*, *523*, 739–757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2015.02.013
- Wang, L., Zheng, H., Chen, Y., Long, Y., Chen, J., Li, R., Hu, X., & Ouyang, Z. (2023).

 Synergistic management of forest and reservoir infrastructure improves multistakeholders' benefits across the forest-water-energy-food nexus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 422, 138575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138575
- Wu, J., Qin, C.-X., Yue, Y., Cheng, S.-P., Zeng, H., & He, L.-Y. (2024). Comprehensive effects of climate, land use/cover and management practices on runoff and nutrient variations in a rapidly urbanizing watershed. *Chemosphere*, *349*, 140934. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chemosphere.2023.140934
- Yen, H., Park, S., Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Chawanda, C. J., Wang, R., Feng, Q., Wu, J., Miao, C., Bieger, K., Daggupati, P., Griensven, A. van, Kalin, L., Lee, S., Sheshukov, A. Y., White, M. J., Yuan, Y., Yeo, I.-Y., Zhang, M., & Zhang, X. (2019). IPEAT+: A built-in optimization and automatic calibration tool of SWAT+. Water, 11(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/w11081681