




21cmSense v2: A modular, open-source 21 cm sensitivity calculator

Steven G. Murray^{1*}, Jonathan Pober^{2*}, and Matthew Kolopanis³

¹ Scuola Normale Superiore, Italy ² Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA ³ School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA ¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Arfon Smith](#)  

Reviewers:

- [@mattpitkin](#)
- [@htjb](#)

Submitted: 31 January 2024

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The 21cm line of neutral hydrogen is a powerful probe of the high-redshift universe, and is the subject of a number of current and upcoming low-frequency radio experiments, including the MWA ([Tingay et al., 2013](#)), LOFAR ([van Haarlem et al., 2013](#)), HERA ([DeBoer et al., 2017](#)) and the SKA ([Pritchard et al., 2015](#)). 21cmSense is a Python package that provides a modular framework for calculating the sensitivity of these experiments, in order to enhance the process of their design. This paper presents version v2.0.0 of 21cmSense, which has been re-written from the ground up to be more modular and extensible, and to provide a more user-friendly interface – as well as converting the well-used legacy package, presented in Pober et al. (2014) from Python 2 to 3.

21cmSense can compute sensitivity estimates for both map-making ([Barry et al., 2019](#)) and delay-spectrum ([Parsons et al., 2012](#)) approaches to power-spectrum estimation. The full sensitivity calculation is rather involved and computationally expensive in its most general form, however 21cmSense uses a few key assumptions to accelerate the calculation:

1. The UV grid is chosen to have cells that are comparable to the instrument's beam size. This maximizes UV-resolution while keeping the covariance between UV cells small (since the UV footprint of a visibility does not extend beyond the cell significantly). This removes the need for tracking the full covariance between cells, and also removes the need to perform a beam convolution, which can be expensive.
2. We do not consider flagging of visibilities due to RFI and other systematics, which can complicate the propagation of uncertainties.

Some of the key new features introduced in this version of 21cmSense include:

1. Simplified, modular library API: the calculation has been split into modules that can be used independently (for example, a class defining the Observatory, the Observation and the Sensitivity). These can be used interactively via Jupyter ([Kluyver et al., 2016](#)) or other interactive interfaces for Python, or called as library functions in other code.
2. Command-line interface: the library can be called from the command-line, allowing for easy scripting and automation of sensitivity calculations.
3. More accurate cosmological calculations using astropy ([Astropy Collaboration et al., 2018](#); [Robitaille et al., 2013](#))
4. Improved documentation and examples, including a Jupyter notebook that walks through the calculation step-by-step.
5. Generalization of the sensitivity calculation. The Sensitivity class is an abstract class from which the sensitivity of differing summary statistics can be defined. Currently, its only implementation is the PowerSpectrum class, which computes the classic sensitivity of the power spectrum. However, the framework can be extended to other summaries,

- 43 for example wavelets (Trott, 2016).
- 44 6. Improved speed: the new version of 21cmSense is significantly faster than the legacy
- 45 version, due to a number of vectorization improvements in the code.
- 46 7. Built-in profiles for several major experiments: MWA, HERA and SKA-1. These can be
- 47 used as-is, or as a starting point for defining a custom instrument.

48 Statement of need

49 21cmSense provides a simple interface for computing the expected sensitivity of radio interfer-

50 ometers that aim to measure the 21cm line of neutral hydrogen. This field is growing rapidly,

51 with a number of experiments currently underway or in the planning stages. Historically,

52 21cmSense has been a trusted tool for the design of these experiments (Greig et al., 2020;

53 Pober et al., 2013, 2014) and for forecasting parameter constraints (Greig & Mesinger, 2015,

54 2017, 2018). This overhauled, modularized version of 21cmSense provides a more user-friendly

55 interface, improved performance, and the extensibility required for the next generation, as

56 evidenced by its usage in the literature Schosser et al. (2024).

57 Acknowledgements

58 We acknowledge helpful conversations with Danny Jacobs.

59 References

- 60 Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., Günther, H. M., Lim, P. L.,
- 61 Crawford, S. M., Conseil, S., Shupe, D. L., Craig, M. W., Dencheva, N., Ginsburg, A.,
- 62 VanderPlas, J. T., Bradley, L. D., Pérez-Suárez, D., de Val-Borro, M., Aldcroft, T. L.,
- 63 Cruz, K. L., Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., ... Astropy Contributors. (2018). The Astropy
- 64 Project: Building an Open-science Project and Status of the v2.0 Core Package. *The*
- 65 *Astronomical Journal*, 156, 123. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f>
- 66 Barry, N., Beardsley, A. P., Byrne, R., Hazelton, B., Morales, M. F., Pober, J. C., & Sullivan,
- 67 I. (2019). The FHD/ ϵ Epoch of Reionisation power spectrum pipeline.
- 68 *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Australia*, 36, e026. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2019.21)
- 69 [pasa.2019.21](https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2019.21)
- 70 DeBoer, D. R., Parsons, A. R., Aguirre, J. E., Alexander, P., Ali, Z. S., Beardsley, A.
- 71 P., Bernardi, G., Bowman, J. D., Bradley, R. F., Carilli, C. L., Cheng, C., Acedo, E.
- 72 de L., Dillon, J. S., Ewall-Wice, A., Fadana, G., Fagnoni, N., Fritz, R., Furlanetto,
- 73 S. R., Glendenning, B., ... Zheng, H. (2017). Hydrogen Epoch of Reionization Array
- 74 (HERA). *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 129(974), 045001.
- 75 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/129/974/045001>
- 76 Greig, B., & Mesinger, A. (2015). 21CMMC: An MCMC analysis tool enabling astrophysical
- 77 parameter studies of the cosmic 21 cm signal. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical*
- 78 *Society*, 449(4), 4246–4263. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv571>
- 79 Greig, B., & Mesinger, A. (2017). Simultaneously constraining the astrophysics of reionization
- 80 and the epoch of heating with 21CMMC. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical*
- 81 *Society*, 472, 2651–2669. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2118>
- 82 Greig, B., & Mesinger, A. (2018). 21CMMC with a 3D light-cone: The impact of the co-
- 83 evolution approximation on the astrophysics of reionisation and cosmic dawn. *Monthly*
- 84 *Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 477(3), 3217–3229. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty796)
- 85 [mnras/sty796](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty796)

- 86 Greig, B., Mesinger, A., & Koopmans, L. V. E. (2020). Reionization and cosmic dawn
87 astrophysics from the Square Kilometre Array: Impact of observing strategies. *Monthly*
88 *Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 491, 1398–1407. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3138)
89 [mnras/stz3138](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3138)
- 90 Kluyver, T., Ragan-Kelley, B., Pérez, F., Granger, B., Bussonnier, M., Frederic, J., Kelley,
91 K., Hamrick, J., Grout, J., Corlay, S., Ivanov, P., Avila, D., Abdalla, S., & Willing, C.
92 (2016). *Jupyter notebooks – a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows*
93 (F. Loizides & B. Schmidt, Eds.; pp. 87–90). IOS Press.
- 94 Parsons, A. R., Pober, J. C., Aguirre, J. E., Carilli, C. L., Jacobs, D. C., & Moore, D. F. (2012).
95 A Per-baseline, Delay-spectrum Technique for Accessing the 21 cm Cosmic Reionization
96 Signature. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 756(2), 165. [https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/756/2/165)
97 [756/2/165](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/756/2/165)
- 98 Pober, J. C., Liu, A., Dillon, J. S., Aguirre, J. E., Bowman, J. D., Bradley, R. F., Carilli, C. L.,
99 DeBoer, D. R., Hewitt, J. N., Jacobs, D. C., McQuinn, M., Morales, M. F., Parsons, A. R.,
100 Tegmark, M., & Werthimer, D. J. (2014). What Next-generation 21 cm Power Spectrum
101 Measurements can Teach us About the Epoch of Reionization. *The Astrophysical Journal*,
102 782, 66. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/782/2/66>
- 103 Pober, J. C., Parsons, A. R., DeBoer, D. R., McDonald, P., McQuinn, M., Aguirre, J. E.,
104 Ali, Z., Bradley, R. F., Chang, T.-C., & Morales, M. F. (2013). The Baryon Acoustic
105 Oscillation Broadband and Broad-beam Array: Design Overview and Sensitivity Forecasts.
106 *The Astronomical Journal*, 145, 65. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/145/3/65>
- 107 Pritchard, J., Ichiki, K., Mesinger, A., Metcalf, R. B., Pourtsidou, A., Santos, M., Abdalla, F.
108 B., Chang, T. C., Chen, X., Weller, J., & Zaroubi, S. (2015). Cosmology from EoR/Cosmic
109 Dawn with the SKA. *AASKA14*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.215.0012>
- 110 Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., Greenfield, P., Droettboom, M., Bray, E., Aldcroft, T., Davis,
111 M., Ginsburg, A., Price-Whelan, A. M., Kerzendorf, W. E., Conley, A., Crighton, N., Barbary,
112 K., Muna, D., Ferguson, H., Grollier, F., Parikh, M. M., Nair, P. H., Günther, H. M., ...
113 Streicher, O. (2013). Astropy: A community Python package for astronomy. *Astronomy &*
114 *Astrophysics*, 558, A33–A33. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068>
- 115 Schosser, B., Heneka, C., & Plehn, T. (2024). Optimal, fast, and robust inference of
116 reionization-era cosmology with the 21cmPIE-INN. *arXiv e-Prints*, arXiv:2401.04174. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.04174>
117 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.04174>
- 118 Tingay, S. J., Goeke, R., Bowman, J. D., Emrich, D., Ord, S. M., Mitchell, D. A., Morales,
119 M. F., Booler, T., Crosse, B., Wayth, R. B., & al., et. (2013). The murchison widefield
120 array: The square kilometre array precursor at low radio frequencies. *Publications of the*
121 *Astronomical Society of Australia*, 30, e007. <https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2012.007>
- 122 Trott, C. M. (2016). Exploring the evolution of Reionisation using a wavelet transform and
123 the light cone effect. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 461(1), 126–135.
124 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1310>
- 125 van Haarlem, M. P., Wise, M. W., Gunst, A. W., Heald, G., McKean, J. P., Hessels, J. W. T.,
126 de Bruyn, A. G., Nijboer, R., Swinbank, J., Fallows, R., Brentjens, M., Nelles, A., Beck,
127 R., Falcke, H., Fender, R., Hörandel, J., Koopmans, L. V. E., Mann, G., Miley, G., ... van
128 Zwieten, J. (2013). LOFAR: The LOW-Frequency ARray. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 556,
129 53. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220873>