

REMix: A GAMS-based framework for optimizing energy system models

Manuel Wetzel¹, Eugenio Salvador Arellano Ruiz¹, Francesco Witte², Jens Schmugge¹, Shima Sasanpour¹, Madhura Yeligeti¹, Fabia Miorelli¹, Jan Buschmann², Karl-Kiên Cao¹, Niklas Wulff¹, Hedda Gardian¹, Alexander Rubbert¹, Benjamin Fuchs¹, Yvonne Scholz¹, and Hans Christian Gils¹✉

¹ German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Networked Energy Systems, Curierstr. 4, 70563 Stuttgart, Germany ² German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Networked Energy Systems, Carl-von-Ossietzky-Straße 15, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany ✉ Corresponding author

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: Frauke Wiese

Reviewers:

- [@loeffko](#)
- [@david-huck](#)

Submitted: 06 September 2023

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Summary

REMix is a framework for modeling energy systems of almost any temporal, spatial, and technological scale and detail. It can be used to model processes of extraction, storage, conversion, transfer and demand for any commodity, usually representing energy carriers such as electricity, gases, or heat, and to flexibly link them with each other. All processes can be characterized by indicators such as costs or CO₂ emissions. The mathematical core of the framework allows integer and continuous decision variables and the objective function includes one or more indicators. Energy system infrastructures can be considered for both economic dispatch as well as capacity expansion planning along either single target years or full infrastructure pathways. Research subjects are usually regional to continental systems, and their transformation to a climate-neutral energy supply.

Statement of need

For policy makers and companies in the energy sector, energy system optimization models are helpful tools for planning the transformation process. Depending on their background, stakeholders have different main interests such as energy costs, business models, emission reduction targets or security of supply. With this in mind, various energy system modeling frameworks with different design and implementation have already been published. Among the most established are OSeMOSYS (Howells et al., 2011), oemof-solph (Krien et al., 2020), PyPSA (Brown et al., 2018), and TIMES (The Integrated MARKAL-EFOM System (TIMES) - a Bottom-up Optimization Model for Energy-Environment Systems, n.d.). Similarly to these modeling frameworks, REMix was developed to investigate future energy system designs. It is primarily applied to determine the infrastructure requirements in systems that rely entirely or predominantly on the use of time-varying renewable energy sources. The view across energy carriers provides in-depth insights into the optimal design of energy systems and enables, for example, an integrated planning of power and hydrogen infrastructures. While the application focus of REMix to date was on continental or national energy systems, it allows also for consideration of smaller-scale systems. Earlier versions of the framework were used to build numerous energy system models (Karl-Kiên Cao et al., 2021; K.-K. Cao et al., 2019; Cebulla & Fichter, 2017; H. C. Gils et al., 2021; Hans Christian Gils et al., 2017; Hans Christian Gils & Simon, 2017; Sasanpour et al., 2021; Scholz, 2012; Scholz et al., 2017). They form the basis for the version published here. Its novelty consists in the combination of multiple features required

to address current challenges of energy systems research (Pfenninger et al., 2014). These include the integrative optimization of consistent transformation pathways of sector-coupled systems, multi-criteria optimization and evaluation, and the ability to efficiently compute models of ever-increasing complexity by its link to the parallel solver PIPS-IPM++ for High Performance Computing. This version of REMix is used in numerous ongoing research projects, including (ReMoDigital Project Description, n.d.; SESAME SEED Project Description, n.d.; UNSEEN Project Description, n.d.). Resulting publications include (Manjunath, 2020; Wetzel et al., 2023).




Figure 1: Classification of REMix in the categories of energy system models according to K.-K. Cao et al. (2021).

Model design

The REMix framework is designed to model highly complex systems in a flexible and user-friendly way based on a data-driven approach. While the mathematical optimization is implemented in GAMS, the data handling and interfaces are implemented in Python. Models built in REMix feature a regional, a temporal and a technological dimension. Additionally, networks in high spatial detail can be flexibly aggregated to simplified networks to address computational complexity. Modeled time intervals are typically defined as years encompassing 8760 time steps, although other temporal resolutions can be implemented. REMix consists of only a few modules. Their very generic nature facilitates the creation of models to answer a wide range of research questions.




Figure 2: Structure and logic of REMix models, illustrated by a highly reduced example of a system of photovoltaic plants, battery storage and gas-fired power plants.

Technology Modeling

According to the possible abstraction of energy systems, all technologies in REMix are characterized as source, sink, converter, transfer links, or storage of commodities. The corresponding modules feature techno-economic parameters, limit the technology activity and allow for building and decommissioning of units between different model years. Sources and sinks allow for flows of commodities into and out of a model's boundaries, e.g. representing exogenous demands, fuel imports, and emissions. Converters allow for the transformation of any number of input commodities into any number of output commodities. Converters can be given activity profiles to model time-constraint activities such as the availability of variable renewable energy sources. In contrast to converters, storage reservoirs are defined for one specific commodity. They are usually set up with one or more converters that fill and empty the storage. The transfer module enables commodity flows between model regions. For electric grids, direct-current

optimal power flow (DC-OPF) is implemented as a way of modeling alternating current grids. The technology modules are complemented by a balance module that employs a conservation equation for every commodity bus across all model regions and time steps.

Indicator accounting

The indicator module allows linking the technology components to indicators and defining the objective function. Indicators in REMix are used for general accounting purposes and can be freely defined. Typically, indicators include both system costs and carbon emission as the most commonly used metrics in energy system modeling. REMix implements a hierarchical indicator model to allow indicators to either be derived from the individual system components or from other indicators. This allows, for instance, for the separation of cost components into investment, decommissioning, and operational costs. Any defined indicator can be used in conjunction with any number of model regions and any number of years, to enable e.g. modeling of carbon budgets. Furthermore, indicators can be declared as variable indicators to allow for slack variables in the indicator accounting model.

Methods

The objective function is generated by declaring one of the indicators to be either maximized or minimized. The indicator concept of REMix is tailored to enable multi-criteria optimization using different weight factors in a straightforward fashion. Similarly, models with solution methods for Pareto fronts and modeling to generate alternatives (MGA) can be easily set up. Similar to bounds on any indicators the objective function is automatically reformulated to a single equation.

Acknowledgements

The development of REMix was financed through numerous projects. The most important third-party funded projects include UNSEEN (FKZ 03EI1004A), Sesame Seed (FKZ 03EI1021B), ReMoDigital (FKZ 03EI1020B), Fahrplan Gaswende (FKZ 03EI1030B), Ariadne (FKZ 03SFK5B0), MuSeKo (FKZ 03ET4038B), INTEEVER-II (FKZ 03ET4069A), START (FKZ 03EK3046D), and BEAM-ME (FKZ 03ET4023A), for which we thank the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Climate Protection and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research. In addition, funding was provided through various projects implemented as part of the basic funding of the Helmholtz Association's Energy System Design research program.

References

- Brown, T., Hörsch, J., & Schlachtberger, D. (2018). PyPSA: Python for Power System Analysis. *Journal of Open Research Software*, 6, 4. <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.188>
- Cao, Karl-Kiên, Pregger, Thomas, Haas, Jannik, & Lens, Hendrik. (2021). To prevent or promote grid expansion? Analyzing the future role of power transmission in the european energy system. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 8, 371. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.541495>
- Cao, K.-K., Haas, J., Sperber, E., Sasanpour, S., Sarfarazi, S., Pregger, T., Alaya, O., Lens, H., Drauz, S. R., & Kneiske, T. M. (2021). Bridging granularity gaps to decarbonize large-scale energy systems—the case of power system planning. *Energy Science & Engineering*, 9(8), 1052–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.891>
- Cao, K.-K., Krbek, K. von, Wetzel, M., Cebulla, F., & Schreck, S. (2019). Classification and evaluation of concepts for improving the performance of applied energy system optimization models. *Energies*, 12(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12244656>

- 116 Cebulla, Felix, & Fichter, Tobias. (2017). Merit order or unit-commitment: How does thermal
117 power plant modeling affect storage demand in energy system models? *Renewable Energy*,
118 105, 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.12.043>
- 119 Gils, H. C., Gardian, H., & Schmutz, J. (2021). Interaction of hydrogen infrastructures
120 with other sector coupling options towards a zero-emission energy system in Germany.
121 *Renewable Energy*, 180, 140–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.08.016>
- 122 Gils, Hans Christian, Scholz, Yvonne, Pregger, Thomas, Tena, Diego Luca de, & Heide,
123 Dominik. (2017). Integrated modelling of variable renewable energy-based power supply in
124 Europe. *Energy*, 123, 173–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.01.115>
- 125 Gils, Hans Christian, & Simon, Sonja. (2017). Carbon neutral archipelago - 100% renewable
126 energy supply for the Canary Islands. *Applied Energy*, 188, 342–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.12.023>
- 127
- 128 Howells, M., Rogner, H., Strachan, N., Heaps, C., Huntington, H., Kypreos, S., Hughes, A.,
129 Silveira, S., DeCarolis, J., Bazillian, M., & Roehrl, A. (2011). OSeMOSYS: The open
130 source energy modeling system: An introduction to its ethos, structure and development.
131 *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 5850–5870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.06.033>
- 132 Krien, U., Schönfeldt, P., Launer, J., Hilpert, S., Kaldemeyer, C., & Pleßmann, G. (2020).
133 Oemof.solph—a model generator for linear and mixed-integer linear optimisation of energy
134 systems. *Software Impacts*, 6, 100028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpa.2020.100028>
- 135 Manjunath, S. (2020). *Monitoring resilience of future energy systems* [Master's thesis, Univer-
136 sität Stuttgart]. <https://elib.dlr.de/188483/>
- 137 Pfenninger, Stefan, Hawkes, Adam, & Keirstead, James. (2014). Energy systems modeling for
138 twenty-first century energy challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 33,
139 74–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.02.003>
- 140 *ReMoDigital project description*. (n.d.).
- 141 Sasanpour, S., Cao, K.-K., Gils, H. C., & Jochem, P. (2021). Strategic policy targets and the
142 contribution of hydrogen in a 100% renewable european power system. *Energy Reports*, 7,
143 4595–4608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2021.07.005>
- 144 Scholz, Y. (2012). *Renewable energy based electricity supply at low costs : Development*
145 *of the REMix model and application for Europe* [PhD thesis, Universität Stuttgart].
146 <https://doi.org/10.18419/opus-2015>
- 147 Scholz, Y., Gils, H. C., & Pietzcker, R. C. (2017). Application of a high-detail energy
148 system model to derive power sector characteristics at high wind and solar shares. *Energy*
149 *Economics*, 64, 568–582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2016.06.021>
- 150 *SESAME SEED project description*. (n.d.).
- 151 *The integrated MARKAL-EFOM system (TIMES) - a bottom-up optimization model for*
152 *energy-environment systems*. (n.d.).
- 153 *UNSEEN project description*. (n.d.).
- 154 Wetzel, M., Gils, H. C., & Bertsch, V. (2023). Green energy carriers and energy sovereignty
155 in a climate neutral European energy system. *Renewable Energy*, 210, 591–603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2023.04.015>
- 156