

Eigenvalue / eigenvector, Independent Subspaces

Recall: (Eigenvalue / eigenvector of a matrix)

field: $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an eigenvalue of A if \exists a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $Av = \lambda v$.

- v is called an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue λ .
- $\ker(\lambda I - A) = \{v \in \mathbb{F}^n : (\lambda I - A)v = 0\}$

Clearly, $v (\neq 0)$ is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue λ if and only if $v \in \ker(\lambda I - A)$.

$\ker(\lambda I - A)$ is called the eigenspace of A corresponding to eigenvalue λ .

- The polynomial $\det(tI - A)$ is called the characteristic polynomial of A .

[Note that this is a monic poly. of degree n].

- The equation $\det(tI - A) = 0$ is called the char. equation of A .

- λ is an e-value of A if and only if λ is a root of the char. poly. of A .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Rightarrow) \quad & \exists v(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{F}^n \text{ such that } Av = \lambda v \\
 & \Rightarrow (\lambda I - A)v = 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow \det(\lambda I - A) = 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Leftarrow) \quad & \det(\lambda I - A) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists v(\neq 0) \in \mathbb{F}^n \\
 & \text{such that } (\lambda I - A)v = 0 \\
 & \Rightarrow Av = \lambda v .
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus e-values of A are nothing but the roots of the char. poly. of A .

This implies "A can have at most n e-values".

- Method of finding e-values/e-vectors of A.

steps 1. Compute the charr. poly. of A

$$\det(tI - A)$$

2. find the e.values of A by solving
the charr. equation

$$\det(tI - A) = 0 \text{ for } t.$$

3. for each e.value λ , find the e.space
 $\ker(\lambda I - A)$.

4. find a basis for each e.space.

Eigenvalue/eigenvector of a linear operator:

Let $V \leftarrow$ vector space over \mathbb{F}

$T: V \rightarrow V$ linear operator.

a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an e.value of
 T if \exists a nonzero vector $v \in V$ such that
 $T(v) = \lambda v$.

- v is called an e-vector of T corresponding to e-value λ .
- Note that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$,
 $zI-T : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator
 $(zI-T)(v) = zv - T(v)$.
- Thus, if λ is an e-value of T , then
 $\ker(\lambda I - T) = \{v \in V : (\lambda I - T)(v) = 0\}$
is called the e-space of T corresponding to e-value λ .
- char. poly. of T : $\det(tI - T) = \det([tI - T]_{\beta})$
for any basis β of V .
- char. equation of T : $\det(tI - T) = 0$.
- Method of e-value / e-vector of T .
Steps 1. Compute the char. poly. of T .
 $\det(tI - T)$

2. find the e-values of T by solving
the char. equation

$$\det(tI - T) = 0 \text{ for } t$$

3. for each e-value λ , find the e-space
 $\ker(\lambda I - T)$

4. find a basis for each e-space.

Result: Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ linear operator
let β be a basis of V .

Then λ is an e-value of T if and only if

λ is an e-value of $[T]_{\beta}$.

Moreover, for each e-value λ ,

$$\dim(\ker(\lambda I - T)) = \dim(\ker(\lambda I - [T]_{\beta})).$$

Proof: (\Rightarrow) suppose λ is an e-value of T .

$\Rightarrow \exists v (\neq 0) \in V$ such that

$$T(v) = \lambda v$$

$$\Rightarrow [T(v)]_{\beta} = [\lambda v]_{\beta}$$

∴

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta} [v]_{\beta} = \lambda [v]_{\beta}$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ is an e-value of $[T]_{\beta}$ ($\because [v]_{\beta} \neq 0$)

(\Leftarrow) Let λ be an e-value of $[T]_{\beta}$.

Suppose $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$.

$\exists x (\neq 0) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $[T]_{\beta} x = \lambda x$.

Let $x = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ \vdots \\ n_n \end{bmatrix}$ and consider $v = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i v_i$.

Then $v \neq 0$, $[v]_{\beta} = x$.

We have $[T]_{\beta} x = \lambda x$

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta} [v]_{\beta} = \lambda [v]_{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow [T(v)]_{\beta} = [\lambda v]_{\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow [T(v) - \lambda v]_{\beta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T(v) - \lambda v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T(v) = \lambda v.$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ is an e-value of T .

$$\dim(\ker(\lambda I - T)) = \dim(\ker(\lambda I - [T]_{\beta}))$$

(Exercise?)

Question

How to find e-values of a large matrix?

Abel's Theorem:

There are no formulas for finding the roots of generic polynomial of degree greater than 4.

- e-values of a triangular matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ & * & 0 & \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ & * & 0 & \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix}$$

- e-values of a diagonal matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ & * & 0 & \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix}$$

- similar matrices have the same e-values

- Question:

$$\text{whether } \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \end{bmatrix}_A \sim \begin{bmatrix} & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} & \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix} \text{ (?)}$$

similar to

Independent Subspaces: Let $V \leftarrow$ Vector space over \mathbb{F}

$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \subseteq V$ subspaces

Then they are called independent if

$$w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_m = 0 \quad \text{for } w_i \in W_i;$$

implies $w_i = 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Result: Let $V \leftarrow$ Vector space over \mathbb{F} .

$w_1, \dots, w_m \subseteq V$ subspaces.

Then w_1, \dots, w_m are independent if and only if

$$\dim(w_1 + \dots + w_m) = \dim(w_1) + \dots + \dim(w_m)$$

Proof: first note that

$$\dim(w_1 + \dots + w_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \dim(w_i) \quad \xrightarrow{(1)} \begin{cases} \text{Verify?} \\ \text{Hint: Proof by induction} \end{cases}$$

let $k_i = \dim(w_i)$ and

$\beta_i = \{w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \dots, w_{i,k_i}\}$ basis of w_i

Consider $\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \beta_i$.

Then . β spans $w_1 + \dots + w_m$ (why?)
 • $|\beta| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i|$ — (2)

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that w_1, \dots, w_m are independent.

claim: $\dim(w_1 + \dots + w_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \dim(w_i)$

Observe that $\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{since } v \in \beta_1 \cap \beta_2 \\ \Rightarrow v + (-v) + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0 \\ \Rightarrow v = 0 \text{ but } v \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

If we show that β is linearly independent, then this proves our claim, because

$\Rightarrow \beta$ is a basis of $w_1 + \dots + w_m$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(w_1 + \dots + w_m) = |\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i| = \sum_{i=1}^m \dim(w_i)$$

Thus we show that β is linearly independent.

For this, let $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \alpha_{i,j} w_{i,j} = 0$, $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \alpha_{1,j} w_{1,j}}_{\in W_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k_2} \alpha_{2,j} w_{2,j}}_{\in W_2} + \dots + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k_m} \alpha_{m,j} w_{m,j}}_{\in W_m} = 0$$

Since w_1, \dots, w_m are independent, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \alpha_{i,j} w_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{for each } i=1, 2, \dots, m.$$

but for each i , β_i is linearly independent.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{i,j} = 0 \quad \forall i, j.$$

$\Rightarrow \beta$ is linearly independent.

(\Leftarrow) Conversely, let $\dim(w_1 + \dots + w_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \dim(w_i)$

Since β spans $w_1 + \dots + w_m$, we have

$$\dim (w_1 + \dots + w_m) \leq |\beta|$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \dim (w_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\beta| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i| \quad \text{--- (3)}.$$

From (2) & (3), we have

$$|\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i| = \dim (w_1 + \dots + w_m).$$

Thus, $\{\beta\}$ spans $w_1 + \dots + w_m$, and
 $|\beta| = \dim (w_1 + \dots + w_m)$.

$\Rightarrow \beta$ is a basis of $w_1 + \dots + w_m$.

Claim: w_1, \dots, w_m are independent.

Let $w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_m = 0$, where $w_i \in W_i$

\Rightarrow for each i , $w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} b_{i,j} w_{i,j}$ for some $b_{i,j} \in F$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m w_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} b_{i,j} w_{i,j} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow b_{i,j} = 0 \quad \forall i, j$, since β is a basis.

$\Rightarrow w_i = 0 \quad \text{for each } i$

$\Rightarrow w_1, \dots, w_m$ are independent.

//.

Theorem: Suppose $T: V \rightarrow V$ linear operator
 Then the eigenspaces corresponding to distinct
 e-values are independent subspaces of V .

Proof: Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct e-values
 of T .

Define $w_i = \ker(\lambda_i I - T) \leftarrow \text{e-spaces}$

claim: w_1, \dots, w_m are independent.

Proof by induction on m :

When $m=1$, nothing to prove.

when $m=2$, let $w_1 + w_2 = 0$, where
 $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 = -w_2$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 \in W_1 \cap W_2$$

$\Rightarrow w_1$ is an e-vector for both λ_1 and λ_2 .

$$\Rightarrow T(w_1) = \lambda_1 w_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T(w_1) = \lambda_2 w_1$$

$$\Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) w_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1 = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

$$\Rightarrow w_2 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow w_1, w_2$ are independent.
 so result is true when $m=2$.

Induction hypothesis: suppose the result is true
 for $m-1$.

let $w_1 + \dots + w_m = 0$, where $w_i \in W_i$

— (1)

Applying T both sides in (1), we get

$$T(w_1) + \dots + T(w_m) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_m w_m = 0 \quad — (2)$$

Again, multiplying λ_m both sides in (1), we get

$$\lambda_m w_1 + \lambda_m w_2 + \dots + \lambda_m w_m = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

from (2) - (3), we get

$$\underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_m) w_1}_{} + \underbrace{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_m) w_2}_{} + \dots + \underbrace{(\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m) w_{m-1}}_{} = 0$$

by induction hypothesis, $(\lambda_i - \lambda_m) w_i = 0$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$

$\Rightarrow w_i = 0$ as λ_i are distinct

\rightarrow from (1) . $w_m = 0$.

$\Rightarrow w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ are independent. //

Corollary: Eigenvectors corresponding to distinct e-values are linearly independent.

Proof: Hint: $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \leftarrow$ distinct e-values.
 \downarrow \uparrow
 $v_1, \dots, v_m \leftarrow$ corresponding e-vectors

$$\underbrace{\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_m v_m}_{} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i v_i = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \quad \forall i.$$