

Linear algebra

Prerequisites

Reduction of matrices

Theorem 1.2-1

- (1) *Given a square matrix A , there exists a unitary matrix U such that the matrix $U^{-1}AU$ is triangular.*
- (2) *Given a normal matrix A , there exists a unitary matrix U such that the matrix $U^{-1}AU$ is diagonal.*
- (3) *Given a symmetric matrix A , there exists an orthogonal matrix O such that the matrix $O^{-1}AO$ is diagonal.*

Theorem 1.2-2

If A is a real, square matrix, there exist two orthogonal matrices U and V such that

$$U^T A V = \text{diag}(\mu_i),$$

and, if A is a complex, square matrix, there exist two unitary matrices U and V such that

$$U^* A V = \text{diag}(\mu_i).$$

In either case, the numbers $\mu_i \geq 0$ are the singular values of the matrix A .

Rayleigh quotient

Theorem 1.3-1

Let A be a Hermitian matrix of order n , with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n,$$

the associated eigenvectors p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n satisfying

$$p_i^* p_j = \delta_{ij}.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, n$, let V_k denote the subspace of V spanned by the vectors p_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, and let \mathcal{V}_k denote the set of subspaces of V of dimension k .

Furthermore, set

$$V_0 = \{0\}, \mathcal{V}_0 = \{V_0\}.$$

The eigenvalues then have the following characterisations, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$(1) \quad \lambda_k = R_A(p_k),$$

$$(2) \quad \lambda_k = \max_{v \in V_k} R_A(v),$$

$$(3) \quad \lambda_k = \min_{v \perp V_{k-1}} R_A(v),$$

$$(4) \quad \lambda_k = \min_{W \in \mathcal{V}_k} \max_{v \in W} R_A(v),$$

$$(5) \quad \lambda_k = \max_{W \in \mathcal{V}_{k-1}} \min_{v \perp W} R_A(v).$$

Furthermore,

$$(6) \quad \{R_A(v) : v \in V\} = [\lambda_1, \lambda_n] \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Norms upon matrice spaces

Theorem 1.4-2

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a square matrix. Then

$$\|A\|_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \frac{\|Av\|_1}{\|v\|_1} = \max_j \sum_i |a_{ij}|,$$

$$\|A\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \frac{\|Av\|_2}{\|v\|_2} = \sqrt{\varrho(A^*A)} = \sqrt{\varrho(AA^*)} = \|A^*\|_2,$$

$$\|A\|_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \frac{\|Av\|_\infty}{\|v\|_\infty} = \max_i \sum_j |a_{ij}|.$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ is invariant under unitary transformations:

$$UU^* = I \Rightarrow \|A\|_2 = \|AU\|_2 = \|UA\|_2 = \|U^*AU\|_2.$$

Furthermore, if the matrix A is normal,

$$AA^* = A^*A \Rightarrow \|A\|_2 = \sqrt{\varrho(A)}.$$

Theorem 1.4-3

(1) Let A be any square matrix and $\|\cdot\|$ any matrix norm, subordinate or otherwise. Then

$$\varrho(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

(2) Given a matrix A and any number $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists at least one subordinate matrix norm such that

$$\|A\| \leq \varrho(A) + \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 1.4-4

The function $\|\cdot\|_E: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\|A\|_E = \left\{ \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = \{\text{tr}(A^* A)\}^{1/2}$$

for every matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of order n is a matrix norm which is not subordinate (for $n \geq 2$), is invariant under unitary transformations,

$$UU^* = I \Rightarrow \|A\|_E = \|AU\|_E = \|UA\|_E = \|U^*AU\|_E,$$

and satisfies

$$\|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_E \leq \sqrt{n} \|A\|_2 \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Theorem 1.4-5

(1) Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a subordinate matrix norm and \mathbf{B} a matrix satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{B}\| < 1.$$

Then the matrix $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}$ is invertible and

$$\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|\mathbf{B}\|}.$$

(2) If a matrix of the form $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}$ is singular, then necessarily

$$\|\mathbf{B}\| \geq 1$$

for every matrix norm, subordinate or not.

Convergence of a suite of matrice

Theorem 1.5-1

Let B be a square matrix. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B^k = 0,$
- (2) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} B^k v = 0$ for every vector $v,$
- (3) $\rho(B) < 1,$
- (4) $\|B\| < 1$ for at least one subordinate matrix norm $\|\cdot\|.$

Theorem 1.5-2

Let B be a square matrix and let $\|\cdot\|$ be any matrix norm. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|B^k\|^{1/k} = \rho(B).$$

Conditioning

Theorem 2.2-1

Let A be an invertible matrix and let u and $u + \delta u$ be the solutions of the linear systems

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= b, \\ A(u + \delta u) &= b + \delta b. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $b \neq 0$. Then the inequality

$$\frac{\|\delta u\|}{\|u\|} \leq \text{cond}(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}$$

holds and is the best possible: that is, for a given matrix A , it is possible to find vectors $b \neq 0$ and $\delta b \neq 0$ for which equality holds.

Theorem 2.2-3

(1) For every matrix A ,

$$\text{cond}(A) \geq 1,$$

$$\text{cond}(A) = \text{cond}(A^{-1}),$$

$$\text{cond}(\alpha A) = \text{cond}(A) \quad \text{for every scalar } \alpha \neq 0.$$

(2) For every matrix A ,

$$\text{cond}_2(A) = \frac{\mu_n(A)}{\mu_1(A)},$$

where $\mu_1(A) > 0$ and $\mu_n(A) > 0$ denote respectively the smallest and the largest of the singular values of the matrix A .

(3) If the matrix A is normal

$$\text{cond}_2(A) = \frac{\max_i |\lambda_i(A)|}{\min_i |\lambda_i(A)|},$$

where the numbers $\lambda_i(A)$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix A .

(4) The condition number $\text{cond}_2(A)$ of a unitary or orthogonal matrix A is equal to 1.

(5) The condition number $\text{cond}_2(A)$ is invariant under unitary transformations:

$$UU^* = I \Rightarrow \text{cond}_2(A) = \text{cond}_2(AU) = \text{cond}_2(UA) = \text{cond}_2(U^*AU).$$

Theorem 2.2-2

Let A be an invertible matrix and let u and $u + \Delta u$ be the solutions of the linear systems

$$\begin{aligned} Au &= b, \\ (A + \Delta A)(u + \Delta u) &= b. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $b \neq 0$. Then the inequality

$$\frac{\|\Delta u\|}{\|u + \Delta u\|} \leq \text{cond}(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|}$$

holds and is the best possible: that is, for a given matrix A , it is possible to find a vector $b \neq 0$ and a matrix $\Delta A \neq 0$ for which equality holds.

Furthermore, we have the inequality

$$\frac{\|\Delta u\|}{\|u\|} \leq \text{cond}(A) \frac{\|\Delta A\|}{\|A\|} \{1 + O(\|\Delta A\|)\}.$$