```
In [42]: from sklearn.naive bayes import MultinomialNB
         from sklearn.neural network import MLPClassifier
         from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
         from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
         from sklearn.svm import SVC
         from sklearn.model selection import cross val score, cross validate
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
In [ ]: ## (i) 5-fold cross validation on training.txt
         # To determine optimal configurations for each classifier, I collected m
         ean accuracy scores from
         # running 5-fold cross validation. Once I arrived at an optimal setting
         on this basis, I got
         # the precision, recall, and f1 scores at that setting.
         # I think this is reasonable considering there is an even distribution o
         f ratings across the datasets--
         # mean that of 10000 total examples in the dataset, 2000 have a rating o
         f 1, 2000 have a rating of 2, and so on..
 In [ ]: # MultinomialNB
         # No parameters were specified to change from project instructions...
In [23]: | nb = MultinomialNB()
In [24]: results = cross validate(nb, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, return tra
         in_score=False, scoring=('precision_macro', 'recall_macro', 'f1_macro'))
         for key in ['test_precision_macro', 'test_recall macro', 'test f1 macro'
             print('{0: <22}: {1}'.format(key.upper(), results[key].mean()))</pre>
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.42504131194283434
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.3913
         TEST F1 MACRO
                                : 0.389894922172691
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
```

```
In [ ]: # LogisticRegression
        # tried L1 and L2 regularization with C parameters 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1,
         10, 100...
        # L2 regularization gave highest accuracy with C parameter value of 1
In [7]: | scores = []
        for p in ['11', '12']:
            for c in [0.001,0.01,0.1,1,10,100]:
                lr = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear', multi_class='ovr', p
        enalty=p, C=c)
                scores.append(cross_val_score(lr, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=
        5).mean())
        print(scores)
        [0.2, 0.2, 0.3937, 0.463999999999997, 0.4261000000000003, 0.4091, 0.
        4192, 0.4246999999999997, 0.448800000000003, 0.4664, 0.4471999999999
        9993, 0.422199999999999961
In [8]: | 1r = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear', multi_class='ovr', penalty=
        '12', C=1)
        precision = cross val score(lr, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, scoring
        ='precision macro').mean()
        recall = cross_val_score(lr, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=5, scoring='r
        ecall macro').mean()
        f1 = cross val score(lr, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, scoring='f1 ma
        cro').mean()
        print('SCORING REPORT\n{}\nAVERAGE PRECISION: {}\nAVERAGE RECALL: {}\nAV
        ERAGE F1: {}'.format('='*14, precision, recall ,f1))
        SCORING REPORT
        =========
        AVERAGE PRECISION: 0.45945328665208207
        AVERAGE RECALL: 0.46640000000000004
        AVERAGE F1: 0.4569426339889883
In [ ]:
In [ ]:
In [ ]: # Neural Network: MLPClassifier
        # tried 5, 10, 15, 20, and 100 units on 1, 2, 3 hidden layers
        # 1 hidden layer with 100 units gave the highest accuracy; however...
        # some testing shows below that this setting results in folds where some
        labels are never predicted,
        # resulting in undefined precision, recall, and f1 scores. The returned
        score for those labels is 0,
        # bringing the average scores way down.
        # Therefore, I chose a different setting:
        # 3 hidden layers with 5 units per layer gave a high accuracy and did no
        t result in undefined scores.
```

```
In []: from time import time
    all_info = ''
    for num_hidden_layers in [1, 2, 3]:
        nn_test = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=(100, num_hidden_layers))
        start = time()
        score = cross_val_score(nn_test, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=5).me
    an()
        info = '{} hidden layers, {} units per layer\nAVERAGE SCORE: {} ({}
        seconds)\n\n'.format(num_hidden_layers, 100, score, time() - start)
        print(info)
        all_info += info
        print(all_info)
```

```
111
In [ ]:
        Results:
        1 hidden layers, 5 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.261899999999999 (82.26306986808777 seconds)
        1 hidden layers, 10 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.2612 (171.13859677314758 seconds)
        1 hidden layers, 15 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.2663999999999997 (225.12408924102783 seconds)
        1 hidden layers, 20 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3154 (379.20189094543457 seconds)
        2 hidden layers, 5 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3307 (164.73012685775757 seconds)
        2 hidden layers, 10 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3281 (220.51628804206848 seconds)
        2 hidden layers, 15 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.279 (226.49298191070557 seconds)
        2 hidden layers, 20 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.25170000000000003 (174.7208309173584 seconds)
        3 hidden layers, 5 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3698 (136.1033742427826 seconds)
        3 hidden layers, 10 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3613 (231.52910900115967 seconds)
        3 hidden layers, 15 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3028999999999999 (345.6000349521637 seconds)
        3 hidden layers, 20 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3466 (331.38618206977844 seconds)
        1 hidden layers, 100 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.409500000000001
        2 hidden layers, 100 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.2345 (702.7552897930145 seconds)
        3 hidden layers, 100 units per layer
        AVERAGE SCORE: 0.3698 (1494.6928179264069 seconds)
         . . .
```

```
In [50]: clf = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=(100, 1)) # showing 1 hidden laye
    r, 100 units, resulting in low score
```

```
In [51]: cross_val_score(clf, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=5, scoring='precision
          macro').mean()
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/metrics/classification.p
         y:1143: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set
         to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
           'precision', 'predicted', average, warn for)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/metrics/classification.p
         y:1143: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set
         to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
            'precision', 'predicted', average, warn_for)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/metrics/classification.p
         y:1143: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision is ill-defined and being set
         to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
           'precision', 'predicted', average, warn_for)
Out[51]: 0.19209275852557992
In [52]: clf = MLPClassifier(hidden layer sizes=(5, 3)) # compare with 3 hidden 1
         ayers, 5 units
         print(cross val score(clf, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, scoring='pre
         cision_macro').mean())
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         0.3965408692188382
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
```

```
In [53]: results = cross_validate(clf, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=5, return_tr
         ain score=False, scoring=('precision macro', 'recall macro', 'f1 macro'
         ))
         for key in ['test precision_macro', 'test_recall_macro', 'test_f1_macro'
             print('{0: <22}: {1}'.format(key.upper(), results[key].mean()))</pre>
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.3863551431751554
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.3752
         TEST F1 MACRO
                               : 0.37198399981821356
         /anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural network/multilaye
         r perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum
         iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
           % self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)
In [ ]:
In [ ]:
In [ ]:
         # AdaBoostClassifier
         # tried n estimator values of 25, 50, 75...
         # default n estimator value of 50 gave the best result
 In [5]: | scores = []
         for n in [25, 50, 75]:
             ada = AdaBoostClassifier(n estimators=n)
             scores.append(cross val score(ada, train tfidf, train target, cv=5).
         mean())
         print(scores)
         [0.4019999999999997, 0.4272, 0.4232]
```

```
In [8]: | clf = AdaBoostClassifier(n estimators=50)
        precision = cross val score(clf, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, scorin
        g='precision macro').mean()
        recall = cross_val_score(clf, train_tfidf, train_target, cv=5, scoring=
        'recall macro').mean()
        f1 = cross val score(clf, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, scoring='f1 m
        acro').mean()
        print('SCORING REPORT\n{}\nAVERAGE PRECISION: {}\nAVERAGE RECALL: {}\nAV
        ERAGE F1: {}'.format('='*14, precision, recall ,f1))
        SCORING REPORT
        ==========
        AVERAGE PRECISION: 0.4245235816378587
        AVERAGE RECALL: 0.4272
        AVERAGE F1: 0.42239110439415617
In [ ]:
In [ ]:
In [ ]: # Support Vector Machine SVC
        # tried linear, polynomial, rbf, and sigmoid kernels with cost factors
         1, 10, 100, 1000...
        # cost factor 1000 with rbf kernel gave highest accuracy
In []: for c in [1, 10, 100, 1000]:
            for k in ['linear', 'poly', 'rbf', 'sigmoid']:
                svm = SVC(gamma='auto', C=c, kernel=k)
                result = cross val score(svm, train tfidf, train target, cv=5).m
        ean()
                print('cost factor {}, kernel {}: {}'.format(c, k , result))
In [ ]:
        Results:
        cost factor 1, kernel linear: 0.4702
        cost factor 1, kernel poly: 0.2688
        cost factor 1, kernel rbf: 0.3612999999999999
        cost factor 1, kernel sigmoid: 0.36150000000000004
        cost factor 10, kernel linear: 0.4372
        cost factor 10, kernel poly: 0.2699
        cost factor 10, kernel rbf: 0.3612999999999999
        cost factor 10, kernel sigmoid: 0.36150000000000004
        cost factor 100, kernel linear: 0.4296999999999997
        cost factor 100, kernel poly: 0.2697
        cost factor 100, kernel rbf: 0.3612999999999999
        cost factor 100, kernel sigmoid: 0.36150000000000004
        cost factor 1000, kernel linear: 0.4310000000000005
        cost factor 1000, kernel poly: 0.2697
        cost factor 1000, kernel rbf: 0.4425
        cost factor 1000, kernel sigmoid: 0.41200000000000003
         1 1 1
```

```
In [27]: clf = SVC(gamma='auto', C=1000, kernel='rbf')
         results = cross validate(clf, train tfidf, train target, cv=5, return tr
         ain_score=False, scoring=('precision_macro', 'recall_macro', 'fl_macro'
         for key in ['test precision macro', 'test recall macro', 'test f1 macro'
         1:
             print('{0: <22}: {1}'.format(key.upper(), results[key].mean()))</pre>
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.4458132278366751
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.4425
         TEST F1 MACRO
                                : 0.4345959450940112
In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
In [ ]:
In [ ]: ## (ii) 5-fold cross validation with additional knowledge into model (fi
         lter train data by sentiment words)
         # Also tested accuracy of these classifiers on filtered test data - see
          bottom of this notebook
In [28]: | sentiment words = negative_words + positive_words
         filtered train data = []
         for doc in train data:
             filtered word list = []
             word list = doc.split()
             for word in word list:
                 word = word.strip(',.-;()[]').lower()
                 if word in sentiment words:
                     filtered word list.append(word)
             filtered_doc = ' '.join(filtered_word_list)
             filtered train data.append(filtered doc)
In [29]: filtered train tfidf = tvec.fit transform(filtered train data)
In [ ]: | # COMPARISON TO UNFILTERED TRAINING DATA:
         # MultinomialNB: filtered is higher
         # AdaBoostClassifier: filtered is lower
         # MLPClassifier: filtered is lower (recall is about the same)
         # LogisticRegression: filtered is lower
         # SVC: about the same
         # Unfiltered training data generally resulted in better accuracy in cros
         s validation.
         # This probably indicates that better context can be drawn from the full
         reviews than from the reviews
         # filtered by the sentiment words.
```

RESULTS FOR MultinomialNB(alpha=1.0, class prior=None, fit prior=True)

RESULTS FOR AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME.R', base_estimator=None,

learning rate=1.0, n estimators=50, random state=None)

TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.40878374635803566

TEST RECALL MACRO : 0.4199

TEST_F1_MACRO : 0.4066466723679296

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

% self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

% self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

% self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

% self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

% self.max iter, ConvergenceWarning)

```
RESULTS FOR MLPClassifier(activation='relu', alpha=0.0001, batch size
         ='auto', beta 1=0.9,
                beta 2=0.999, early stopping=False, epsilon=1e-08,
                hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 3), learning_rate='constant',
                learning_rate_init=0.001, max_iter=200, momentum=0.9,
                n iter no change=10, nesterovs momentum=True, power t=0.5,
                random state=None, shuffle=True, solver='adam', tol=0.0001,
                validation fraction=0.1, verbose=False, warm start=False)
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.36235554347162413
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.37120000000000003
         TEST_F1_MACRO
                               : 0.358345443443277
         RESULTS FOR LogisticRegression(C=1, class weight=None, dual=False, fit
         intercept=True,
                   intercept scaling=1, max iter=100, multi class='ovr',
                   n_jobs=None, penalty='12', random state=None, solver='libline
         ar',
                   tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm start=False)
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.4358384669421536
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.4471
         TEST F1 MACRO
                               : 0.43558902768041896
         RESULTS FOR SVC(C=1000, cache size=200, class weight=None, coef0=0.0,
           decision function shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf',
           max iter=-1, probability=False, random state=None, shrinking=True,
           tol=0.001, verbose=False)
         TEST PRECISION MACRO : 0.44470675057931947
         TEST RECALL MACRO
                               : 0.4479
         TEST F1 MACRO
                               : 0.4395850713449826
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]: ## (iii) evaluation on test dataset
         # In every case, reviews with ratings 2-4 were generally hard to predic
         t. Specifically, reviews with
         # a rating of 3 were the hardest to predict. Reviews with ratings of 1
          and 5 were predicted most accuractely.
In [55]: from sklearn.metrics import classification report
         test tfidf = tvec.transform(test data)
```

RESULTS FOR MultinomialNB(alpha=1.0, class_prior=None, fit_prior=True)

precision recall fl-score support

support
200
200
200
200
200
1000
1000
1000

RESULTS FOR AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME.R', base_estimator=None,

	lea	rning_rate=	1.0, n_est	imators=50,	random_state=None)
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.58	0.59	0.59	200
	2.0	0.40	0.34	0.37	200
	3.0	0.39	0.37	0.38	200
	4.0	0.40	0.37	0.38	200
	5.0	0.53	0.67	0.59	200
micro	avg	0.47	0.47	0.47	1000
macro	avg	0.46	0.47	0.46	1000
weighted	avg	0.46	0.47	0.46	1000

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

[%] self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)

RESULTS FOR MLPClassifier(activation='relu', alpha=0.0001, batch_size ='auto', beta 1=0.9,

beta_2=0.999, early_stopping=False, epsilon=1e-08,
hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 3), learning_rate='constant',
learning_rate_init=0.001, max_iter=200, momentum=0.9,
n_iter_no_change=10, nesterovs_momentum=True, power_t=0.5,
random_state=None, shuffle=True, solver='adam', tol=0.0001,
validation_fraction=0.1, verbose=False, warm_start=False)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.48	0.55	0.51	200
	2.0	0.39	0.45	0.42	200
	3.0	0.40	0.34	0.37	200
	4.0	0.41	0.45	0.43	200
	5.0	0.58	0.45	0.51	200
micro	avg	0.45	0.45	0.45	1000
macro	avg	0.45	0.45	0.45	1000
weighted	avg	0.45	0.45	0.45	1000

RESULTS FOR LogisticRegression(C=1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,

tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm start=False)

		•	•	_	,
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.54	0.80	0.64	200
	2.0	0.52	0.34	0.41	200
	3.0	0.45	0.39	0.42	200
	4.0	0.47	0.47	0.47	200
	5.0	0.66	0.68	0.67	200
micro	avg	0.53	0.53	0.53	1000
macro	avg	0.53	0.53	0.52	1000
weighted	avg	0.53	0.53	0.52	1000

RESULTS FOR SVC(C=1000, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0, decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf', max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True, tol=0.001, verbose=False)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.55	0.69	0.61	200
	2.0	0.49	0.46	0.47	200
	3.0	0.44	0.42	0.43	200
	4.0	0.48	0.39	0.43	200
	5.0	0.63	0.68	0.65	200
micro	avg	0.53	0.53	0.53	1000
macro	avg	0.52	0.53	0.52	1000

weighted avg 0.52 0.53 0.52 1000

```
In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]:
 In [ ]: | # FYI -- Predictions made on FILTERED test data with classifiers trained
         on the filtered training data
In [57]: filtered_test_data = []
         for doc in test_data:
             filtered_word_list = []
             word_list = doc.split()
             for word in word_list:
                 word = word.strip(',.-;()[]').lower()
                 if word in sentiment_words:
                      filtered_word_list.append(word)
             filtered_doc = ' '.join(filtered_word_list)
             filtered_test_data.append(filtered_doc)
In [58]: filtered_train_tfidf = tvec.transform(filtered_train_data)
         filtered_test_tfidf = tvec.transform(filtered_test_data)
```

RESULTS FOR MultinomialNB(alpha=1.0, class_prior=None, fit_prior=True)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
		-			
	1.0	0.63	0.54	0.58	200
	2.0	0.43	0.38	0.41	200
	3.0	0.34	0.42	0.38	200
	4.0	0.36	0.40	0.38	200
	5.0	0.54	0.51	0.52	200
micro	avg	0.45	0.45	0.45	1000
macro	avg	0.46	0.45	0.45	1000
weighted	avg	0.46	0.45	0.45	1000

RESULTS FOR AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME.R', base_estimator=None,

	lea:	rning_rate=1	1.0, n_est	imators=50,	random_state=None)
		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.50	0.60	0.55	200
	2.0	0.44	0.29	0.35	200
	3.0	0.31	0.30	0.31	200
	4.0	0.35	0.28	0.31	200
	5.0	0.46	0.62	0.53	200
micro	avg	0.42	0.42	0.42	1000
macro	avg	0.41	0.42	0.41	1000
weighted	avg	0.41	0.42	0.41	1000

/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilaye r_perceptron.py:562: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (200) reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.

[%] self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)

RESULTS FOR MLPClassifier(activation='relu', alpha=0.0001, batch_size ='auto', beta 1=0.9,

beta_2=0.999, early_stopping=False, epsilon=1e-08,
hidden_layer_sizes=(5, 3), learning_rate='constant',
learning_rate_init=0.001, max_iter=200, momentum=0.9,
n_iter_no_change=10, nesterovs_momentum=True, power_t=0.5,
random_state=None, shuffle=True, solver='adam', tol=0.0001,
validation fraction=0.1, verbose=False, warm start=False)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.50	0.54	0.52	200
	2.0	0.43	0.40	0.42	200
	3.0	0.38	0.28	0.32	200
	4.0	0.36	0.49	0.42	200
	5.0	0.47	0.41	0.44	200
micro	avg	0.42	0.42	0.42	1000
macro	avg	0.43	0.42	0.42	1000
weighted	avg	0.43	0.42	0.42	1000

RESULTS FOR LogisticRegression(C=1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=True,

tol=0.0001, verbose=0, warm start=False)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1.0	0.58	0.62	0.60	200
	2.0	0.43	0.34	0.38	200
	3.0	0.37	0.41	0.39	200
	4.0	0.38	0.36	0.37	200
	5.0	0.53	0.56	0.54	200
micro	avg	0.46	0.46	0.46	1000
macro	avg	0.46	0.46	0.46	1000
weighted	avg	0.46	0.46	0.46	1000

RESULTS FOR SVC(C=1000, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coef0=0.0, decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='auto', kernel='rbf', max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True, tol=0.001, verbose=False)

		precision	recall	f1-score	support
	1 0	0 55	0.60	0 57	200
	1.0	0.55	0.60	0.57	200
	2.0	0.43	0.44	0.43	200
	3.0	0.38	0.41	0.39	200
	4.0	0.40	0.31	0.35	200
	5.0	0.58	0.59	0.59	200
micro	avg	0.47	0.47	0.47	1000
macro	avg	0.47	0.47	0.47	1000

weighted avg 0.47 0.47 0.47 1000

In []:	
In []:	
In []:	
In []:	<pre>## (iv) some ideas to help improve predictions # - increase number of training examples. # - fewer ratings (ratings of 1-3 instead of 1-5) # - clean the testing and training data better (strip punctuation, ascii characters, etc) although # I think the tfidf vectorizer is supposed to do that already</pre>