

# Notes on Complex Analysis

October 12, 2023

## **Contents**

# 1 Complex Numbers

## 1.1 Basic Algebraic Properties

A handy thing to keep written down

$$z^{-1} = \left( \frac{a}{z^2 + b^2}, \frac{-b}{a^2 + y^2} \right)$$

also,

$$|z|^2 = |z\bar{z}| = (a + ib)(a - ib) = a^2 + b^2$$

The generalization of  $|z|^2 = (\Re(z))^2 + (\Im(z))^2$  does hold!

Note that the product of two complex numbers is very different from the scalar or vector products done in vector spaces over the reals. This notion of a **billinear form** is what is often used to distinguish between different algebras.

Also note that  $z_1 < z_2$  has no meaning, so the order field properties we are used to from real numbers don't apply as such. However  $|z_1| < |z_2|$  does make sense.

The distance between two points  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  is  $|z_1 - z_2|$ .

The complex numbers lying on a circle with center  $z_0$  and radius  $R$  satisfy the equation

$$|z - z_0| = R$$

A wonderful example of this last interpretation is

$$|z - 3i| + |z + 3i| = |z - 3i| + |z - (-3i)| = 12$$

This equation represents the set of all points whose distance from the two set points,  $F_1(0, 3)$  and  $F_2(0, -3)$ , is 12. This turns out to be the ellipse with foci  $F_1(0, 3)$  and  $F_2(0, -3)$ . Kline has some great exercises to get you acquainted with Ellipses, parabolas, and hyperbolas.

### 1.1.1 Exercises

## 2.2

Some interesting properties

$$z + \bar{z} = (a + ib) + (a - ib) = 2a = 2\Re(z)$$

Similarly,

$$z - \bar{z} = (a + ib) - (a - ib) = 2ib = 2\Im(z)$$

Following the same mechanics,

$$\Re(iz) = \Re(i(a + ib)) = \Re(ai - b) = -\Im(z)$$

And

$$\Im(iz) = \Im(ai - b) = \Re(z)$$

## 1.2 Triangle Inequality

There is a brilliant example in this section, go read it!

The heart of the example is in noticing that the triangle inequality gives us an upper and a lower bound for the sum of two numbers. The upper bound comes from

$$|z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$$

and the lower bound from

$$|z_1 - z_2| \geq ||z_1| - |z_2||$$

### 1.2.1 Exercises

#### Ex 8

Let  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$  and  $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ . Use simple algebra to show that

$$|z_1 z_2| = |(x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2)| = \sqrt{(x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2)}$$

then point out how the identity  $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1||z_2|$  follows.

The trick to the first part is to make use of  $|z| = \sqrt{(\Re(z))^2 + (\Im(z))^2}$

First of,

$$(x_1 + iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2) = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) + i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)$$

From there, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(z_1 z_2)^2 &= (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2)(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2) \\ &= (x_1 x_2)^2 + (y_1 y_2)^2 - 2(x_1 x_2)(y_1 y_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(z_1 z_2)^2 &= (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1) \\ &= (x_1 y_2)^2 + (x_2 y_1)^2 + 2(x_1 x_2)(y_1 y_2) \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 z_2| &= \sqrt{(x_1 x_2)^2 + (y_1 y_2)^2 - 2(x_1 x_2)(y_1 y_2) + (x_1 y_2)^2 + (x_2 y_1)^2 + 2(x_1 x_2)(y_1 y_2)} \\ &= \sqrt{(x_1 x_2)^2 + (y_1 y_2)^2 + (x_1 y_2)^2 + (x_2 y_1)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ , we can see how the above reordering is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} |z_1 z_2| &= \sqrt{(x_1^2 + y_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2)} \\ &= \sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2} \sqrt{x_2^2 + y_2^2} \\ &= |z_1||z_2| \end{aligned}$$

**Ex 9**

If we use the result from the previous exercise and assume have  $z = z_1 = z_2$ , we have

$$|z^2| = |z||z| = |z|^2$$

We could use this as the base case for an induction argument ( $n = 2$ ).

Then for our hypothesis, we assume that  $|z^m| = |z|^m$ , when  $n = m$ , so it must also hold for  $n = m + 1$ ,

$$|z^{m+1}| = |z^m z| = |z' z| = |z'| |z| = |z^m| |z| = |z|^m |z| = |z|^{m+1}$$

**1.3 De Moivre's Theorem**

$$(a + ib)^n = [r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)]^n = r^n (\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta)$$

$$r = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$\theta = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}$$

De Moivre's Theorem

**1.4 Roots of Complex Numbers**

Nth roots: for any positive integer  $n$ , the  $n$ th distinct roots of  $(a + ib)^n = r^n (\cos nx + i \sin nx)$  are

$$r^{\frac{1}{n}} \left[ \cos \frac{x + 2\pi k}{n} + i \sin \frac{x + 2\pi k}{n} \right]$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

## 2 Infinite Series, Products, and Integrals

### 2.1 Uniform Convergence

**Note:** when we speak of uniform convergence, the interval can be closed or open. Titchmarsh just uses  $(a, b)$  to cover the general case.

The more general case for the first test of uniform Convergence we see is stated as follows:

The series  $\sum u_n(x)$  is uniformly convergent ( $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $n_0 \geq N$  depending on  $\epsilon$  but not on  $x$ , such that  $|s(x) - s| < \epsilon$ , for every  $n \geq n_0$  for every value in  $(a, b)$ ) if  $|u_n(x)| \leq v_n(x)$ , and  $\sum v_n(x)$  is uniformly convergent.

If we try to make an argument by contradicton and assume that  $\sum u_n(x)$  is not uniformly convergent, then the series could still converge but it could be the case that as  $x$  approaches some point on the interval  $(a, b)$ ,  $n_0$  may become infinitely large. Additionally, the series could just be a divergent series. Either way it means we are not able to find an  $n_0$  such that  $|s(x) - s| < \epsilon$  for any  $n \geq n_0$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and for any  $x \in (a, b)$ . This means that

$$|u_{n+1}(x) + u_{n+2}(x) + \dots|$$

keeps on changing as  $n$  or  $x$  change.

Since,

$$|u_{n+1}(x) + u_{n+2}(x) + \dots| \leq |u_{n+1}(x)| + |u_{n+2}(x)| + \dots$$

Then any  $v_n(x)$  such that  $v_{n+1}(x) \geq |u_{n+1}(x)|$  would also grow indefinitely and thus lead to a contradicton.

#### Examples

A proof to see why  $\sum_{n=0} x^n$  is uniformly convergent in  $c \in [a, b]$  when  $-1 < a < b < 1$  can be seen by comparing the exercise 2.5.3 from Abbott.

The trigonometric series  $\sum_{n=1} \frac{\cos nx}{n^2}$  is convergent anywhere because  $-1 \leq \cos x \leq 1$  so  $|\frac{\cos nx}{n^2}|$  behaves like a convergent p-series.

Similarly the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1} n^{-s} = \sum_{n=1} \frac{1}{n^s}$  is uniformly convergent in  $x \in [a, b]$  if  $1 < a < b$  because its absolute value is equal to a convergent p series. The sum is referred to as the Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ .