CS 61B Asymptotic Analysis Fall 2019

Disclaimer: This discussion worksheet is fairly long and is not designed to be finished in a single section. Some of these questions of the level that you might see on an exam and are meant to provide extra practice with asymptotic analysis.

1 More Running Time

Give an asymptotic bound on the worst case and best case running time in $\Theta(\cdot)$ notation in terms of M and N.

(a) Assume that `slam()` $\in \Theta(1)$ and returns a boolean.

```java
public void comeon(int M, int N) {
    int j = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i += 1) {
        for (; j < M; j += 1) {
            if (slam(i, j))
                break;
        }
    }

    for (int k = 0; k < 1000 * N; k += 1) {
        System.out.println("space jam");
    }
}
```

(b) *Exam Practice:* Give the worst case and best case running time in $\Theta(\cdot)$ notation in terms of N for `find`.

```java
public static boolean find(int tgt, int[] arr) {
    int N = arr.length;
    return find(tgt, arr, 0, N);
}
private static boolean find(int tgt, int[] arr, int lo, int hi) {
    if (lo == hi || lo + 1 == hi) {
        return arr[lo] == tgt;
    }
    int mid = (lo + hi) / 2;
    for (int i = 0; i < mid; i += 1) {
        System.out.println(arr[i]);
    }
    return arr[mid] == tgt || find(tgt, arr, lo, mid)
                           || find(tgt, arr, mid, hi);
}
```

2 Recursive Running Time

For the following recursive functions, give an asymptotic bound onworst case and best case running time in $\Theta(\cdot)$ notation.

(a) Give the running time in terms of N.

```java
public void andslam(int N) {
    if (N > 0) {
        for (int i = 0; i < N; i += 1) {
            System.out.println("bigballer.jpg");
        }
        andslam(N / 2);
    }
}
```

(b) Give the running time for `andwelcome(arr, 0, N)` where N is the length of the input array `arr`.

```java
public static void andwelcome(int[] arr, int low, int high) {
    System.out.print("[ ");
    for (int i = low; i < high; i += 1) {
         System.out.print("loyal  ");
    }
    System.out.println("]");
    if (high - low > 1) {
        double coin = Math.random();
        if (coin > 0.5) {
            andwelcome(arr, low, low + (high - low) / 2);
        } else {
            andwelcome(arr, low, low + (high - low) / 2);
            andwelcome(arr, low + (high - low) / 2, high);
        }
    }
}
```

(c) Give the running time in terms of N.

```
public int tothe(int N) {
    if (N <= 1) {
        return N;
    }
    return tothe(N - 1) + tothe(N - 1) + tothe(N - 1);
}
```

(d) *Exam Practice:* Give the running time in terms of N

```
public static void spacejam(int N) {
    if (N == 1) {
        return;
    }
    for (int i = 0; i < N; i += 1) {
        spacejam(N-1);
    }
}
```

3 Hey you watchu gon do?

For each example below, there are two algorithms solving the same problem. Given the asymptotic runtimes for each, is one of the algorithms **guaranteed** to be faster? If so, which? And if neither is always faster, explain why. Assume the algorithms have very large input (so N is very large).

(a) Algorithm 1: $\Theta(N)$, Algorithm 2: $\Theta(N^2)$

(b) Algorithm 1: $\Omega(N)$, Algorithm 2: $\Omega(N^2)$

(c) Algorithm 1: $O(N)$, Algorithm 2: $O(N^2)$

(d) Algorithm 1: $\Theta(N^2)$, Algorithm 2: $O(\log N)$

(e) Algorithm 1: $O(N \log N)$, Algorithm 2: $\Omega(N \log N)$

Why did we need to assume that N was large?