The Old Days

types such as List didn't used to be parameterized. All ists of Objects.

te things like this:

```
= 0; i < L.size(); i += 1)
s = (String) L.get(i); ... }
```

explicitly cast result of L.get(i) to let the compiler

alling L.add(x), was no check that you put only Strings

with 1.5, the designers tried to alleviate these perems by introducing parameterized types, like List<String>.

ly, it is not as simple as one might think.

3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 2

61B Lecture #25: Java Generics

Type Instantiation

```
a generic type is analogous to calling a function.
```

```
ass ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item> {
Item get(int i) { ... }
boolean add(Item x) { ... }
```

ite ArrayList<String>, we get, in effect, a new type,

```
ring_ArrayList implements List<String> {
String get(int i) { ... }
boolean add(String x) { ... }
```

lewise, List<String> refers to a new interface type as

3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 4

Basic Parameterization

```
finitions of ArrayList and Map in java.util:
```

```
ss ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item> {
[tem get(int i) { ... }
poolean add(Item x) { ... }
erface Map<Key, Value> {
et(Key x);
```

occurrences of Item, Key, and Value introduce formal ters, whose "values" (which are reference types) get for all the other occurrences of Item, Key, or Value list or Map is "called" (as in ArrayList<String>, or nt[]>, or Map<String, List<Particle>>).

rences of Item, Key, and Value are uses of the formal ke uses of a formal parameter in the body of a function.

CS61B: Lecture #25 3

Wildcards

definition of something that counts the number of hing occurs in a collection of items. Could write this

```
of items in C that are equal to X. */
int frequency(Collection<T> c, Object x) {
n = 0;
y : c) {
(x.equals(y))
 n += 1;
```

really care what T is; we don't need to declare anything the body, because we could write instead

```
pject y : c) {
```

be parameters say that you don't care what a type pa-.e., it's any subtype of Object):

```
frequency(Collection<?> c, Object x) {...}
83:03 2019
                                                   CS61B: Lecture #25 6
```

Parameters on Methods

ethods) may also be parameterized by type. Example of ra.util.Collections:

```
only list containing just ITEM. */
List<T> singleton(T item) { ... }
difiable empty list. */
List<T> emptyList() { ... }
```

figures out T in the expression singleton(x) by lookbe of x. This is a simple example of type inference.

```
g> empty = Collections.emptyList();
```

ers obviously don't suffice, but the compiler deduces er T from context: it must be assignable to String.

83:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 5

83:03 2019

CS61B: Lecture #25 1

83:03 2019

Subtyping (II)

```
fragment:
ng> LS = new ArrayList<String>();
ct> LObj = LS;
                    // OK??
{ 1, 2 };
(A);
                    // Legal, since A is an Object
= LS.get(0);
                    // OOPS! A.get(0) is NOT a String,
                    // but spec of List<String>.get
                    // says that it is.
st<String> ≤ List<Object> would violate type safety:
is wrong about the type of a value.
for T1<X> \prec T2<Y>, must have X = Y.
but T1 and T2?
3:03 2019
                                       CS61B: Lecture #25 8
```

Subtyping (I)

```
e relationships between the types
```

83:03 2019

```
ring>, List<Object>, ArrayList<String>, ArrayList<Object>?

at ArrayList \( \times \) List and String \( \times \) Object (using \( \times \) type of")...

<String> \( \times \) List<Object>?
```

CS61B: Lecture #25 7

A Java Inconsistency: Arrays

```
guage design is not entirely consistent when it comes to
```

a does make String[] ≤ Object[].

explained above, one gets into trouble with

```
S = new String[3];
Dbj = AS;
new int[] { 1, 2 }; // Bad
```

the Bad line causes an ArrayStoreException—a (dyne error instead of a (static) compile-time error.

is way? Basically, because otherwise there'd be no way, e.g., ArrayList.

B3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 10

Subtyping (III)

```
continuous continuous
```

B3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 9

Type Bounds (II)

mple:

```
l elements of L to X. */
void fill(List<? super T> L, T x) { ... }
```

can be a List<Q> for any Q as long as T is a subtype of implements) Q.

he library designers just define this as

```
l elements of L to X. */
void fill(List<T> L, T x) { ... }
```

3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 12

Type Bounds (I)

your program needs to ensure that a particular type paeplaced only by a subtype (or supertype) of a particular like specifying the "type of a type.").

t all type parameters to NumbericSet must be subtypes ne "type bound"). T can either extend or implement the propriate.

B3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 11

Type Bounds (III)

```
sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if
t), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L,
```

ems of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's upertype of T.

to be able to contain the value key?

lis make sense?

3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 14

Type Bounds (II)

```
mple:
```

```
elements of L to X. */
void fill(List<? super T> L, T x) { ... }
```

can be a List < Q > for any Q as long as T is a subtype of implements) Q.

```
he library designers just define this as
 elements of L to X. */
void fill(List<T> L, T x) { ... }
id blankIt(List<Object> L) {
[L, " ");
e illegal if L were forced to be a List<String>.
83:03 2019
                                            CS61B: Lecture #25 13
```

pirty Secrets Behind the Scenes

```
n for parameterized types was constrained by a desire
d compatibility.
```

```
en you write
```

```
r> {
                            Foo<Integer> q = new Foo<Integer>();
fy(T y) { ... }
                           Integer r = q.mogrify(s);
ives you
                            Foo q = new Foo();
nogrify(Object y) { ... } Integer r =
                               (Integer) q.mogrify((Integer) s);
```

ipplies the casts automatically, and also throws in some ecks. If it can't guarantee that all those casts will work, arning about "unsafe" constructs.

3:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 16

Type Bounds (III)

```
sorted list L for KEY, returning either its position (if
t), or k-1, where k is where KEY should be inserted. */
int binarySearch(List<? extends Comparable<? super T>> L,
                 T key)
```

ems of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's upertype of T.

to be able to contain the value key?

is make sense?

he items in L can be compared to key, it doesn't really her they might include key (not that this is often use-

83:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 15

Limitations

i's design choices, there are some limitations to generic

is of Foo or List are really the same,

ceof List<String> will be true when L is a List<Integer>.

g., class Foo, you cannot write new T(), new T[], or xof T.

es are not allowed as type parameters.

ArrayList<int>, just ArrayList<Integer>.

ly, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitu-

```
(ArrayList<Integer> L) {
N; N = 0;
(int x : L) { N += x; }
ırn N;
```

tely, boxing and unboxing have significant costs.

83:03 2019 CS61B: Lecture #25 17