To be parameterized. All Lists were lists of Objects.

• So you'd write things like this:

- That is, must explicitly cast result of L.get(i) to let the compiler know what it is.
- Also, when calling L.add(x), was no check that you put only Strings into it.
- So, starting with 1.5, the designers tried to alleviate these perceived problems by introducing parameterized types, like List<String>.
- Unfortunately, it is not as simple as one might think.

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 2

```
public class ArrayList<Item> implements List<Item>
{
   public Item get(int i) { ... }
   public boolean add(Item x) { ... }
   ...
}
public interface Map<Key, Value> {
   Value get(Key x);
   ...
}
```

- First (blue) occurrences of Item, Key, and Value introduce formal type parameters, whose "values" (which are reference types) get substituted for all the other occurrences of Item, Key, or Value when ArrayList or Map is "called" (as in ArrayList<String>, or ArrayList<int[]>, or Map<String, List<Particle>>).
- \bullet Other occurrences of Item, Key, and Value

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 3

CS61B: Lecture #25 1

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 4

calling a Tunction.

• Consider again

```
public class ArrayList<Item> implements
List<Item> {
   public Item get(int i) { ... }
   public boolean add(Item x) { ... }
   ...
}
```

 When we write ArrayList<String>, we get, in effect, a new type, somewhat like

```
public String_ArrayList implements List<String>
{
    public String get(int i) { ... }
    public boolean add(String x) { ...
}
```

• And then, likewise, List<String> refers to a new interface type as well.

 TZEG DY TYPE. ENGINIPLE OF GOE IT OIL JAVA. GOLL. COLLECTIONS.

```
/** A read-only list containing just ITEM. */
static <T> List<T> singleton(T item) { ... }
/** An unmodifiable empty list. */
static <T> List<T> emptyList() { ... }
```

The compiler figures out T in the expression $\operatorname{singleton}(\mathbf{x})$ by looking at the type of \mathbf{x} . This is a simple example of type inference.

• In the call

```
List<String> empty = Collections.emptyList();
```

the parameters obviously don't suffice, but the compiler deduces the parameter T from context: it must be assignable to List<T>.

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 6

static int frequency(Collection<?> c, Ubject

x) {...}

```
List<String>, List<Object>, ArrayList<String>,
ArrayList<Object>?

• We know that ArrayList \( \precedit \) List and String
\( \precedit \) Object (using \( \precedit \) for "is a subtype of")...

• ... So is List<String> \( \precedit \) List<Object>?
```

```
List<String> LS = new ArrayList<String>();
     List<Object> LObj = LS;
                                     // OK??
     int[] A = { 1, 2 };
     LObj.add(A);
                                     // Legal,
   since A is an Object
     String S = LS.get(0);
                                     // OOPS!
   A.get(0) is NOT a String,
                                     // but spec
   of List<String>.get
                                     // says that
   it is.
 ullet So, having List<String> \preceq List<Object>
   would violate type safety: The compiler is
   wrong about the type of a value.
 ullet So in general for T1<X> \leq T2<Y>, must have
  X = Y.
 • But what about T1 and T2?
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
                                CS61B: Lecture #25 10
```

- In this case, everything's fine:
 - The object's dynamic type is ArrayList<String>.
 - Therefore, the methods expected for LS must be a subset of those for ALS.
 - And since the type parameters are the same, the signatures of those methods will be the same.
 - Therefore, all the legal calls on methods of LS (according to the compiler) will be valid for the actual object pointed to by
- \bullet In general, T1<X> \preceq T2<X> if T1 \preceq T2.

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 11

sistent when it comes to subtyping.

- And yet, Java does make String[] ≤ Object[].
- And, just as explained above, one gets into trouble with

```
String[] AS = new String[3];
Object[] AObj = AS;
AObj[0] = new int[] { 1, 2 };  // Bad
```

- So in Java, the Bad line causes an ArrayStoreException.
- Why do it this way? Basically, because otherwise there'd be no way to implement, e.g., ArrayList.

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 12

```
that a particular type parameter is replaced
only by a subtype (or supertype) of a partic-
ular type (sort of like specifying the "type
of a type.").
```

• For example,

```
class NumericSet<T extends Number> extends HashSet<T>
{
    /** My minimal element */
    T min() { ... }
    ...
}
```

Requires that all type parameters to NumbericSet must be subtypes of Number (the "type bound"). T can either extend or implement the bound, as appropriate.

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 13

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */
static <T> void fill(List<? super T> L, T x)
[ ... }
```

means that L can be a List<Q> for any Q as long as T is a subtype of (extends or implements) Ω .

 Why didn't the library designers just define this as

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */
static <T> void fill(List<T> L, T x) { ... }
```

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 14

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */
static <T> void fill(List<? super T> L, T x)
{ ... }
```

means that L can be a List<Q> for any Q as long as T is a subtype of (extends or implements) Q.

 Why didn't the library designers just define this as

```
/** Set all elements of L to X. */
static <T> void fill(List<T> L, T x) { ... }
? -
```

• Consider

```
static void blankIt(List<Object> L) {
   fill(L, " ");
}
```

This would be illegal if L were forced to be a List<String>.

- Here, the items of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's or to some supertype of T.
- Does L have to be able to contain the value key?
- Why does this make sense?

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 16

- Here, the items of L have to have a type that is comparable to T's or to some supertype of T.
- \bullet Does ${\tt L}$ have to be able to contain the value key?
- Why does this make sense?
- Again, we might have

```
static int findX(List<Object> L) {
   return binarySearch(L, "X");
}
```

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 17

```
constrained by a desire for backward compatibility.
```

• Actually, when you write

```
class Foo<T> {
    T x; Foo<Integer>
q = new Foo<Integer>();
    T mogrify(T y) { ... }
Integer
r = q.mogrify(s);
}
```

Java really gives you

```
class Foo {
    Object x; Foo q = new
Foo();
    Object mogrify(Object y) { ... } Integer r =
    }
}
```

q.mogrify((Integer) s);

That is, it supplies the casts automatically, and also throws in some additional checks. If it can't guarantee that all those casts

Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018

CS61B: Lecture #25 18

Since all linds of Foot List one really the some. Listanance Listanapara Wilberture when it is Listanapara Lista
- L instanceof ListsString> will be frue when Lis a ListsInteger>. - Inside, e.g. String by you cannot write new T(), new T(), or x instanceof T. - Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters. - Can't have ArrayListsInteger>, - Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy: interesting this substitution e
when Lis a List < Integer? - Inside, e.g., class Foo, you cannot write new T(), new T(), or x instanceof T. • Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters. - Con't have ArrayList <int>, just ArrayList<integer>. - Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy: int will N = 0; fort strayList<integer> 1) { int N; N = 0; fortunative Tu, Coll N = 0; fortunative Tu, Coll N = x; } } Lear matires Tu, Coll N = 10043 2008 CSSIN Learner #25 19 CSSIN Learner #25 19</integer></integer></int>
new T(), new T(), or x instanced T. • Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters. - Can't have ArrayList <integer>. - Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy: int num(ArrayList<integer> D. { int num(ArrayList<integer> D. { int num(ArrayList<integer> D. { int num(ArrayList<integer> D. {</integer></integer></integer></integer></integer>
Primitive types are not allowed as type parameters. - Con't have ArrayList <integer> Con't have ArrayList<integer> Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing and the set is substitution easy: Int usua(ArrayListCatteger> L) { int N N = 0; for (int x : L) { N += x; } return N; } } Lest modified ThuOct 18 210453 2008 COMB Lestons 875 19 Lest modified ThuOct 18 210453 2008 COMB Lestons 875 20</integer></integer>
remeters. - Can't have ArrayList <integer> Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy: integend (arrayListCinteger> D. { int N; N = 0; for (int x : D. { N += x; } return N; } Lest restfred The Oct 18 210453 2018 CSMB Lesters PUS 10 Lest restfred The Oct 18 210453 2018 CSMB Lesters PUS 10</integer>
- Fortunately, automatic boxing and unboxing makes this substitution easy: In sem divray/LatsCinteger> L) { Inst II', II = 0; for Cint 2: L) { N += x; } refurn N; } List modified Thu Oct 18 220403 2008 C6688 Locture #25 19 List modified Thu Oct 18 220403 2008 C6688 Locture #25 20
ing makes this substitution easy: int sundarrayLintInteger D) { int N; N = 0; for (int x : D) { N + x; } return N; } Lest medified Thu Cot 18 210453 2008 C5618 Lecture #25 39 Lest medified Thu Cot 18 210453 2008 C5618 Lecture #25 20
inc num(ArrayLate/CIntegers L) { int N: N = 0; for (int x : L) { N ÷= x; } return N; } Lest modified: Thu Oct 18 210459 2008
for (int x : L) { N ++ x; } return N; } Last modified The Oct 18 210453 2008 C5518 Lecture #25 19 Last modified The Oct 18 210453 2008 C5518 Lecture #25 20
Lest modified The Oct 18 21.04:53 2018 C5618 Lecture #25 19 Lest modified The Oct 18 21.04:53 2018 C5618 Lecture #25 20
Let modified: Thi Gr 18 22 04-53 2019
tant modified The Oct 18 23 04 53 2018 C56.8 Section 97.5 21
Last modified ThuOct 18 21/04/33 ZOS
Last modified: The Oct 18 21:04:03 2018
Lest modified. Thu Oct 18 21 04:53 2018 C3618 Lecture #25 21
Last modified: The Oct 18 2104 53 2018
Lest modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 2104:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21 04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Lest modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018 C5618: Lecture #25 21
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018
Last modified: Thu Oct 18 21:04:53 2018