

1.) Give one test case that behaves differently under dynamic scoping versus static scoping (and does not crash). Explain the test case and how they behave differently in your write-up.

Consider the following javascripty code:

```
const x = 0;

const g = function p(r) { jsy.print(x) }

const h = function h(y) { const x = 2; g(x) }

h(2)
```

In dynamic scoping, the declaration of $x = 2$ in function h would mean that function g would print out 2, since x is most recently defined in h at the time we call g . With static scoping, g would print 0, since x is initialized to zero at the scope of g 's declaration.

2.) Explain whether the evaluation order is deterministic as specified by the judgment form $e \rightarrow e'$.

Only when e_1 has been fully evaluated to completion do we step on e_2 , therefore the evaluation order is left associative, thus the evaluation order is deterministic.

3.) Consider the small-step operational semantics for JAVASCRIPTY shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9. What is the evaluation order for $e_1 + e_2$? Explain. How do we change the rules obtain the opposite evaluation order?

Using the small step semantics, `SearchBinary` would be called until e_1 is evaluated fully (i.e. we step on e_1 until its evaluation), and then `SearchBinaryArith` would be called until e_2 is fully evaluated (i.e. we step on e_2 until its evaluation, only after e_1 is fully evaluated). On the final call, `DoPlusNumber` or `DoPlusString` would be called to evaluate the expression $v_1 + v_2$, based on whether or not v_1 or v_2 are strings. We could change this evaluation order by changing e_2 to e_1 and vice versa in the `SearchBinary` and `SearchBinaryArith` rules. This would mean we step on e_2 until it's fully evaluated, then step on e_1 until it's fully evaluated, and then evaluate the expression $v_1 + v_2$, making the evaluation order right associative

4.)

- a. Give an example that illustrates the usefulness of short-circuit evaluation. Explain your example.

```
node* headPointer = linkedList();  
if( headPointer != null && headPointer->data == 1)
```

This line checks to see if the headPointer is null before checking the data, which prevents a null pointer exception from being thrown due to the fact that as soon as the first statement is found to be false, the second statement is not evaluated thus preventing an invalid reference to a null pointer.

- b. Consider the small-step operational semantics for JAVASCRIPTY shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9. Does $e1 \ \&\& \ e2$ short circuit? Explain.

We call SearchBinary until $e1$ is fully evaluated. In the case that $v1$ is equal to true, we would call DoAndTrue and return expression $e2$. If $v1$ is false we would call DoAndFalse and return $v1$. In both cases $e2$ is not evaluated until the first condition, and is only evaluated if $e1$ evaluates to true; therefore, it is short circuited.