# West Chester University

CSC 472

Dr. Si Chen

Fall 2023 Lab 3

Submitted by

Sean Berlin

10/21/23

1. Introduction: The purpose of this lab was for students to gain experience working with Return-Oriented Programming (ROP) and the exploitation of ROP vulnerability. Students illustrated their own exploit script by constructing a Python program using the pwntools library. The goal of the created exploit, the script for the 'lab3.c' program, is to overwrite the return address with the address of the 'add\_bin' function and then create an ROP chain to execute 'add\_bash' and 'exe\_ string'. Additionally, prepare proper arguments and store them in the stack before calling the target function. The execution of the 'exec\_string' function should create a new shell when run successfully. Lastly, students used gdb in order to obtain the needed addresses of the functions and ROP gadgets, as well as, to find the correct offset/magic number.

## Payload Diagram and Explanation

1 ayıdad Diagram and Expianation
Multiple (146) Dummy Character 'A's
Address of 'add_bin'
Address of pop, pop ret gadget
0xcafebabe
0xdeadbeef
Address of 'add_bash'
Address of pop, ret gadget
0xffffaaaa
Address of 'exec_string'
Address of pop, pop ret gadget
0xffffabcd
0xffffabcc

Key:

Red = Dummy character 'A's of length 146

Orange = addresses functions needed to be executed to modify streat

Green = addresses of ret gadgets

Blue = arguments passed to satisfy functions

The diagram represents the steps of the ROP attack with the goal being to manipulate the program's control flow by chaining together dummy characters, arguments, return addresses, and ROP gadgets. First, a buffer overflow is triggered by a string of dummy characters. In this case, they are 146 'A's. Next, the 'add\_bin' function is executed, passing 0xcafebabe and 0xdeadbeef as arguments. The pop, pop, ret gadget removes these values and returns to 'add\_bash', passing 0xffffaaaa as an argument. The process continues with pop, ret gadget, which prepares the stack for the final function exec\_string, passing 0xffffabcd and 0xffffabcc as arguments. This leads to the execution of exec\_string, thus, triggering a shell command.

## 2. Analysis and Results:

Screenshot of rop exp.py

```
#!/usr/bin/python
from pwn import *
def main():
    # start a process
    p = process("./lab3")
    # create payload
    # 1. Trigger Buffer overflow
    # 2. Find ROP gadget
      combine and formulate payload
    #Define Constants
    magicNumber = 146
    add_bin_address = 0x0804919d
    pop_ret_gadget = 0x080491e0
    add_bash_address = 0x080491e2
    pop_pop_ret_gadget = 0x080491df
    exec_string_address = 0x08049172
    payload = b'A' * magicNumber
payload += p32(add_bin_address)
    payload += p32(pop_pop_ret_gadget)
    payload += p32(0xcafebabe)
    payload += p32(0xdeadbeef)
    payload += p32(add_bash_address)
payload += p32(pop_ret_gadget)
payload += p32(0xffffaaaa)
payload += p32(exec_string_address)
    payload += p32(pop_pop_ret_gadget)
    payload += p32(0xfffffabcd)
payload += p32(0xffffabcc)
      # send the payload to the binary
      p.send(payload)
      # pass interaction bac to the user
      p.interactive()
if __name__ == "__main__":
      main()
root@8419133e860d:/workdir #
```

Figure 1

### Screenshot of Successful Exploit

Figure 2

#### Command Used to Find Gadgets

Figure 3

#### Screenshots of ROP Gadgets Addresses

```
0x080491df : pop edi ; pop ebp ; ret
0x080491e0 : pop ebp ; ret
```

Figures 4 and 5 Respectfully

### Screenshot showing finding the Magic Number

#### **Analysis:**

With the template of rop exp.py given, the only change that needed to be made to the Python program was the payload. The functionality and the order of the payload were discussed previously in the earlier part of the lab. As a result, this analysis will explain how each part of the payload was obtained as it was not yet stated. The first part of the payload was the magic number of dummy characters. The magic number was found using a De Bruijn sequence of excess length in order to trigger a buffer overflow. Using GDB, the program was run and that sequence was used as the input. This caused the program to have a segmentation fault and show what letters of the sequence caused it. The value was stored in the 'eip' register. The address of the register was then found using the "pattern search \$eip" command. This process is shown in *Figure 6*. Next, the addresses of the functions needed to be executed to modify streat such as 'add bin', 'add bash', and 'exec string' were found using GDB to run 'lab3' and "disassemble" each of the functions and copying the memory addresses respectfully into the Python program. Next, the addresses of ret gadgets were found using the 'ROPgadget --binary lab3' command as shown in *Figure 3*. Furthermore, the exact memory addresses needed are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. Lastly, the arguments passed were found by simply reading the 'lab3.c' source code and copying the exact arguments needed to satisfy the 'if statements' in each of the desired functions. When constructed properly, the successful exploit launches a new shell as shown in *Figure 2*.

#### 3. Discussion and Conlusion:

Generated by ChatGPT- In Conclusion, through the creation of a Python script, the primary goal was to construct a payload capable of overwriting the return address and executing a precise ROP chain to trigger the 'add\_bash' and 'exec\_string' functions in the 'lab3.c' program. By preparing and placing the required arguments on the stack, the objective was to successfully invoke 'exec\_string' and create a new shell upon execution. To achieve this, students utilized the GDB debugger to identify function addresses, ROP gadgets, and the correct offset (referred to as the "magic number") necessary for the buffer overflow.

In the process of constructing the payload, we encountered several key components. The magic number, comprising 146 'A' characters, was initially identified using a De Bruijn sequence of excessive length to provoke a buffer

overflow. The exact value of the magic number was determined through GDB by running the program with this sequence as input, leading to a segmentation fault that revealed the characters causing the crash. Subsequently, the address of the 'eip' register was located using the "pattern search \$eip" command.

Additionally, the addresses of critical functions and ROP gadgets were found by disassembling each function within the 'lab3' program using GDB. These addresses were then incorporated into the Python script. The addresses of ROP gadgets were obtained using the 'ROPgadget --binary lab3' command, ensuring that the necessary gadgets for the ROP chain were identified accurately.

Moreover, the arguments required for successful execution were derived from the 'lab3.c' source code, ensuring that the conditions of the 'if statements' within each target function were met.

Ultimately, the lab effectively fulfilled its stated purpose by providing students with a practical understanding of ROP attacks and the tools required for their implementation. The experiment yielded results consistent with theoretical expectations, demonstrating the successful construction and execution of a payload capable of invoking 'exec\_string' and spawning a new shell. The combination of manual analysis through GDB, the use of automation tools like 'ROPgadget,' and the development of a custom Python script with 'pwntools' allowed students to gain valuable insights into the intricacies of buffer overflow exploits and the ROP attack method. This lab not only served as an educational tool but also as a practical application of software security concepts in a controlled environment.