

CRE Omega Demo: Progress Report and Technical Documentation

Common Runtime Environment (CRE) Project

February 2026

Contents

1	Project Overview	2
1.1	CRE: Common Runtime Environment	2
1.2	Architecture	2
2	AGI Symposium Omega Demo	2
2.1	Purpose	2
2.2	Entry Points	2
2.3	Specification	2
3	Problem: Blocking at Zero Rounds	3
3.1	Observed Behavior	3
3.2	Root Cause: Pattern Collision	3
3.3	Place Collisions	3
4	Solution: Per-Instance Namespacing	3
4.1	Strategy	3
4.2	Implementation	3
4.2.1	Transition Namespacing	3
4.2.2	Place Namespacing	3
4.2.3	Entry Owner Detection	4
4.2.4	Subnet Wiring Fix	4
5	Files Modified	4
6	Current Progress	4
6.1	Results	4
6.2	Namespacing Verification	4
6.3	Remaining Issue: Marking Cycle	5
7	Thesis Interpretation: What Three Rounds Mean	5
7.1	Minimal Witness of Compositional Execution	5
7.2	Epistemic Significance	5
7.3	Swarm Turing Test	5
8	Commands and Verification	5
8.1	Run Omega Demo	5
8.2	Compile Omega to Files	6
8.3	Test Suites	6

1 Project Overview

1.1 CRE: Common Runtime Environment

CRE is an Erlang/OTP project implementing a YAWL (Yet Another Workflow Language) workflow engine with Petri Net-based patterns. Key characteristics:

- **Language:** Erlang/OTP 25+ (tested through OTP 28)
- **Build:** rebar3
- **Core dependency:** `gen_pnet` (the only OTP process maintaining state)
- **Design:** Joe Armstrong style—one real OTP runner, everything else pure helpers + message contracts

1.2 Architecture

- **Single runtime component:** `gen_pnet` maintains workflow state
- **Pure helpers:** `pnet_types`, `pnet_marking`, `pnet_mode`, `yawl_compile`, `yawl_pattern_expander`, `wf_yawl_executor`
- **Key modules:** `yawl_engine`, `yawl_executor`, `cre_yawl_patterns`, `gen_yawl`

2 AGI Symposium Omega Demo

2.1 Purpose

The Omega demo executes the full AGI Symposium workflow with:

- **20 LLM agents** simulating human committee roles (Chair, Program Chair, Reviewer, Ops Lead, Venue Lead, etc.)
- **43 YAWL workflow patterns** (P1–P43) composed in a single specification
- **Swarm Turing Test:** Can the system produce a transcript indistinguishable from human committee deliberation?

2.2 Entry Points

Listing 1: Omega demo commands

```
1 ./scripts/run_agi_symposium_demo.sh --omega           # With Z.AI (
   ZAI_API_KEY required)
2 ./scripts/run_agi_symposium_demo.sh --omega --dry-run # Curated
   responses, no LLM
```

2.3 Specification

The workflow is defined in `test/fixtures/agi_symposium_omega.yaml`:

- Root net: `Symposium`
- Subnets: `ProgramThread`, `OpsThread`, `CommsThread`, `IncidentThread`, `SatelliteSymposium`
- Patterns: P42 `ThreadSplit` (split), P41 `ThreadMerge` (merge), P3 `Synchronization` (GoNoGo), P38 `GeneralSyncMerge` (CloseSymposium), plus 39 others

3 Problem: Blocking at Zero Rounds

3.1 Observed Behavior

Prior to the fix, running `./scripts/run_agi_symposium_demo.sh -omega` produced:

- Final State: `blocked`
- Rounds: `0`
- No transitions fired; workflow never started

3.2 Root Cause: Pattern Collision

The Symposium root net has **13+** **pattern instances** that expand into Petri net structures. When merged via `merge_net_structures`, internal transition and place names **collided**:

Table 1: Transition name collisions across patterns

Pattern	Transitions	User-facing rename
P42 ThreadSplit	<code>t_split</code> , <code>t_finish1..4</code>	<code>t_split</code> → <code>t_SplitMegaThreads</code>
P41 ThreadMerge	<code>t_split</code> , <code>t_complete1..4</code> , <code>t_merge</code> , <code>t_finish</code>	<code>t_merge</code> → <code>t_MergeMegaThreads</code>
P3 Synchronization	<code>t_split</code> , <code>t_complete1..3</code> , <code>t_join</code> , <code>t_finish</code>	<code>t_join</code> → <code>t_GoNoGo</code>
P38 GeneralSyncMerge	<code>t_split</code> , <code>t_complete1..3</code> , <code>t_join</code> , <code>t_finish</code>	<code>t_join</code> → <code>t_CloseSymposium</code>

`maps:merge` uses last-writer-wins. The final preset/postset for `t_split` came from whichever pattern was processed last, producing a structurally broken net. In the worst case, a transition consumed the initial token but produced nothing (`#{}`), causing immediate deadlock.

3.3 Place Collisions

Shared place names (`p_start`, `p_end`, `p_joined`, `p_branch1..3`) also collided. P3 and P38 both use `p_branch1..3`; the place mapping should produce `p_gonogo_branch1..3` and `p_close_branch1..3`, but one overwrote the other.

4 Solution: Per-Instance Namespacing

4.1 Strategy

Namespace all **internal** (non-renamed) transitions and places per pattern instance. User-facing transitions (`t_GoNoGo`, `t_SplitMegaThreads`) keep their names.

4.2 Implementation

4.2.1 Transition Namespacing

`add_internal_transition_namespace/3` in `yawl_pattern_expander.erl`:

- For each transition **not** in `TrsnMap` keys, add mapping: `t_split` → `t_pi<N>_split`
- Prefix `pi<N>` derived from `erlang:phash2(IdStr)` for pattern instance `id`

4.2.2 Place Namespacing

`add_internal_place_namespace/4`:

- For each place **not** in `PlaceMap` keys, add mapping: `p_branch1` → `p_pi<N>_branch1`

- **Exception:** `p_start` for the **entry-owning** pattern stays unnamespaced so `init_marking` finds it

4.2.3 Entry Owner Detection

`compute_entry_owner_id/3` in `yawl_compile.erl`:

- Uses `wf_yaml_spec:net_first_flow_target/2` to get first flow target from input condition
- For Symposium: `Start` \rightarrow `SplitMegaThreads`
- Pattern instance with `split_task: SplitMegaThreads` (P42) is the entry owner

4.2.4 Subnet Wiring Fix

`omega_demo_runner` expects `p_gonogo_branch1..3` for P3 sync. Added `join_task_to_suffix(GoNoGo)` \rightarrow `«"gonogo"»` so P3 produces `p_gonogo_branch1..3` instead of `p_GoNoGo_branch1..3`.

5 Files Modified

Table 2: Summary of code changes

File	Changes
<code>src/core/yawl_pattern_expander.erl</code>	<code>add_internal_transition_namespace</code> , <code>add_internal_place_name</code>
<code>src/core/yawl_compile.erl</code>	<code>compute_entry_owner_id</code> , <code>pattern_net_matches</code> , <code>pass entry_owner</code>
<code>src/wf/wf_yaml_spec.erl</code>	<code>net_first_flow_target/2</code> , <code>input_cond_to_atom/1</code>

6 Current Progress

6.1 Results

Table 3: Omega demo execution before and after fix

Metric	Before	After
Rounds	0	3
Final State	blocked	blocked
Initial token consumed	No	Yes
Transitions fired	0	≥ 3

6.2 Namespacing Verification

The compiled `yawl_Symposium` module now contains:

- `t_pi36722154_complete1..3`, `t_pi103154468_complete1..3` (namespaced internal transitions)
- `p_gonogo_branch1..3`, `p_close_branch1..3` (correct P3/P38 place names)
- `p_pi29137630_branch1..3` (namespaced internal places)

6.3 Remaining Issue: Marking Cycle

The demo logs: `gen_yawl halt: marking cycle detected (fingerprint=57720917)`. This indicates:

- The workflow reaches a marking that has been seen before (cycle detection in `gen_yawl` continue handler)
- Execution halts to prevent infinite loops
- “Blocked” after 3 rounds is due to cycle detection, not necessarily absence of human tasks

7 Thesis Interpretation: What Three Rounds Mean

7.1 Minimal Witness of Compositional Execution

Three rounds represent the **first non-trivial witness** that the workflow engine executes composed patterns correctly:

1. **Round 0:** No execution; specification structurally broken
2. **Rounds 1–3:** Automated splits (P42), subnet runs (ProgramThread, OpsThread, Comm-sThread), and/or merge transitions fire
3. **Full run:** All 43 patterns exercised; Swarm Turing Test potentially passable

7.2 Epistemic Significance

The fix (namespacing) was not “adding features” but **making the specification’s semantics consistent with its intended execution**. Three rounds demonstrate that:

- The compiled net is executable
- Multiple patterns can coexist on the same net without semantic collision
- The boundary between “broken” and “partially working” has been crossed

7.3 Swarm Turing Test

The Swarm Turing Test asks: can the system produce a transcript indistinguishable from human committee deliberation? Passing it would demonstrate **framework insufficiency**—that fixed policy spaces cannot express certain workflow-induced behaviors. Three rounds do not pass the test but establish that the engine can **run** the specification far enough to make the question meaningful.

8 Commands and Verification

8.1 Run Omega Demo

```
1 # With Z.AI (requires ZAI_API_KEY)
2 ZAI_API_KEY=your_key ./scripts/run_agi_symposium_demo.sh --omega
3
4 # Dry run (curated responses, no LLM)
5 ./scripts/run_agi_symposium_demo.sh --omega --dry-run
```

8.2 Compile Omega to Files

```
1 erl -pa _build/test/lib/cre/ebin _build/default/lib/*/ebin \  
2 -pa _build/test/lib/yamerl/ebin -noshell -eval \  
3 '{ok,S}=wf_yaml_spec:from_yaml_file("test/fixtures/agi_symposium_omega  
  .yaml"),  
4 {{{{ok,_}=yawl_compile:compile_to_file(S,{},{},"/tmp/omega_compiled_dump")  
  ,halt(0).'
```

8.3 Test Suites

```
1 rebar3 eunit --module=yawl_compile # 13 tests, 0 failures  
2 rebar3 ct --suite=agi_symposium_simulation_SUITE
```

9 Summary

- **Problem:** Omega demo blocked at 0 rounds due to pattern transition/place name collisions when merging 13+ pattern instances on the Symposium net
- **Solution:** Per-instance namespacing of internal transitions and places; entry owner keeps p_start; p_gonogo_branch1..3 wiring fix for omega_demo_runner
- **Outcome:** 0 → 3 rounds; workflow is executable; marking cycle detection triggers before full completion
- **Next:** Investigate cycle topology and cycle-detection policy for full Omega run