

Presentation: 50 points (Midterm 3)

Organization, style, & clarity (0-10 pts)	<i>0-9 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (7-9 pts), marginal (4-6 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-3 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>10 pts</i> Appropriate format and presentation for a professional audience, with evidence of preparation and practice. Ideas presented in a logical, linear way. Script demonstrates professional tone and coverage of topics, using terms from class. Slides are neat, organized, and have consistent format. Appropriate time length.
Analysis & interpretation (0-20 pts)	<i>0-19 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (15-19 pts), marginal (9-14 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-8 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>20 pts</i> Clear explanation of the data, its processing, analysis, and conclusions. Presentation explains both appropriate analyses for the data and justification for choosing those analyses. Presenter demonstrates familiarity with their code and the analyses chosen. Results are presented, described, and interpreted correctly.
Figures (0-15 pts)	<i>0-14 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (10-14 pts), marginal (5-9 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-4 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>15 pts</i> Figures are appropriate for the data, readable by the audience (images and text), include proper labels, and demonstrate an ability to present data accurately while conveying important information to viewer.
Response to questions (0-3 pts)	<i>0-2 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (2 pts) or unsatisfactory (0-1 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>3 pts</i> Responses to questions are professional and reflect thought about the question.
Audience participation (0-2 pts)	<i>0 pts</i> No participation	<i>2 pts</i> Asks at least 1 question in a professional manner.

.Rmd file: 30 points (Midterm 3)

Organization, style, & clarity (0-15 pts)	<i>0-14 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (10-14 pts), marginal (5-9 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-4 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>15 pts</i> Code is properly annotated, with use of code chunks, comments, and in-line annotations to explain to a reader what scripts are accomplishing.
Reproducible analyses and figures (0-15 pts)	<i>0-14 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (10-14 pts), marginal (5-9 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-4 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>15 pts</i> Analyses are reproducible using data files provided by the presenter. Scripts produce figures used in presentation.

Methods/Results: 20 points (Midterm 3)

Organization, style, & clarity of methods (0-10 pts)	<i>0-9pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (7-9 pts), marginal (3-6 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-2 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>10 pts</i> Appropriate description of the analyses carried out, as they would be described in a peer-reviewed journal article. Displays proper balance between detail and brevity. Proper spelling and grammar.
Organization, style, & clarity of results (0-10 pts)	<i>0-9 pts (improvement feedback)</i> You will receive specific feedback on improvement areas related to satisfactory (7-9 pts), marginal (3-6 pts), or unsatisfactory (0-2 pts) proficiency ratings.	<i>10 pts</i> Accurately described results of analyses as they would appear in a peer-reviewed journal article, including statistics, P-values, and degrees of freedom either in text or table form. Displays proper balance between detail and brevity. Proper spelling and grammar.