

CPSY 501: Advanced Statistics

11 Sep 2009

Instructor: Dr. Sean Ho

TA: Marci Wagner

cpsy501.seanho.com

- No **food**/drink in the computer lab, please!

- Please pick-up:
 - **Syllabus**
 - **HW 1**
 - **Project Handout**

- Please fill out **sign-in** sheet

Outline for today

- Welcome, devotional, introductions
- Administrative details: syllabus, schedule
 - MyCourses, SPSS, textbook
- Stats review:
 - Purpose, research questions
 - Linear models
 - Correlation, Spearman's ρ , χ^2 , t-test
- Data Analysis Project, HW Assignment 1
 - Marci's SPSS tutorials

Stats review: purpose

- What is the **purpose** of statistical analysis in counselling psychology research?
 - → **Research questions!**
- Statistics allows us to (1) **pose** new questions and (2) **answer** them – decision-making tool
 - Is an **effect**/relationship real? How **strong**?
- Possible **limitations**, assumptions:
 - Danger of extreme **reductionism**
 - **Neutrality** of observation, **objectivity**
 - Looking at **groups**, not individuals

Cycles in statistical analysis

- Formulate **research question**
- **Data prep**: input errors/typos, missing data, univariate outliers
- **Explore** variables: IV, DV, descriptives
- **Model** building: choose a model based on RQ
- Model testing: are **assumptions** met?
 - If not, either clean **data** or change **model**
 - May need to **modify RQ!**
- Run final model and present **results**

Research question: example

- RQ: are men taller than women?
 - Is this relationship real? How strong is it?
- What are the variables? IV/DV? Level of meas?
 - IV: gender (dichot), DV: height (scale)
 - Levels of measurement: categorical, ordinal, scale (interval, ratio)
- What type of test should we use?
 - Independent samples: *t*-test
- Limitations/assumptions of this test?

Model-building process

- Operationally **define** a phenomenon: variables
- **Measure** it (collect data)
- **Build** a model: verify data meet **assumptions** and **input** data into model
- Draw **conclusions** and/or predictions about the phenomenon in the “**real world**” population
 - e.g., if child A holds 2 apples, B:6 apples, and C:1, how many apples is a child most likely to have?
 - Individual vs. group

Statistical model: example

- RQ: does self-esteem correlate with school performance?
- Measure: questionnaire and marks
- Choose model: correlation
- Assumptions! Measures, procedures, model
- Make conclusions: based on assumptions
 - Objectivity, individual vs. group,
 - Linearity is a big assumption!

Linear modelling

- A **linear model** is a straight “line” that best fits the observed data
 - Minimizes **error** (least-squares) of model
- Use **analytic** techniques to **derive** the equation of the linear model directly from the data, or
- Use **optimization** techniques to try to find the line that maximizes the **goodness of fit** between model and data:
 - **Test statistic** =
(variance due to **model**) / (due to **error**)

Linear modelling: summary

- Statistics are used to build models of psychological **phenomena** out of **observations** gathered from specific **samples** of individuals
- The most common type of statistical model is **linear** – straight “line” (or plane, or hyperplane, ...) that minimizes **distance** from model to data
- The **adequacy** of the model to explain the data can be calculated through **test statistics**
 - If there is a **poor fit**, the model may need to be revised, or to consider additional confounding variables

Linear modelling: limitations

- What if vars are **not** related in a linear way?
- Many common procedures (some **ANOVA**, some **regression**) depend strongly on **linearity**
 - If linearity is violated, results are only very **approximate**
 - Even **non-parametric** models are often approximations using group patterns
- **Reifying** models: “correct” the data to better fit the assumptions of the model!
- **Examples** of psychological phenomena vars that are related non-linearly?

Linear Correlation

- A measure of the strength of the **linear** relationship between two variables
- Relies on measuring **covariance** between vars
 - When **one** var **deviates** from mean, does the **other** var also deviate?
 - Does it deviate in the **same** direction?
- **Correlation** is a value between -1 and +1
 - Close to +1: **positive** relationship
 - Close to -1: **negative** relationship
 - Close to 0: **no** relationship

Measuring correl: Pearson's r

- The most common way to measure correlation is **Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient**, named r :
- Requires **parametric** data
 - **Indep** obs, **scale** level, **normally** distrib!
- **Example: ExamAnxiety.sav**
 - Measured **anxiety** before exam, time spent **reviewing** before exam, and exam **performance** (% score)

Pearson's correlation coeff

**Name of
Correlation
Statistic**

**Significance
Value (p)**

Correlations

		Exam performance (%)	Exam Anxiety	Time spent revising
Exam performance (%)	Pearson Correlation	1	-.441**	.397**
	Sig. (1-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	103	103	103
Exam Anxiety	Pearson Correlation	-.441**	1	-.709**
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	103	103	103
Time spent revising	Pearson Correlation	.397**	-.709**	1
	Sig. (1-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	103	103	103

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

**Each variable is
perfectly correlated
with itself!**

Spearman's Rho (ρ or r_s)

- Another way of calculating correlation
- **Non-parametric**: can be used when data violate parametricity assumptions
- No free lunch: **loses** information about data
- Spearman's works by first **ranking** the data, then applying Pearson's to those ranks
- **Example** (grades.sav):
 - grade on a national math **exam** (GCSE)
 - grade in a univ. stats **course** (STATS)
 - coded by “**letter**” (A=1, B=2, C=3, ...)

Spearman's Rho (ρ or r_s): ex

Name of Correlation Statistic

Correlations

			Statistics Grade	GCSE Maths Grade
Spearman's rho	Statistics Grade	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.455*
		Sig. (1-tailed)	.	.011
		N	25	25
	GCSE Maths Grade	Correlation Coefficient	.455*	1.000
		Sig. (1-tailed)	.011	.
		N	25	25

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (1-tailed).

Sample Size

The
correlation
is positive

Chi-Square test (χ^2)

- Evaluates whether there is a relationship between 2 **categorical** variables
- The Pearson **chi-square** statistic tests whether the 2 variables are **independent**
- If the **significance** is small enough ($p < \alpha$, usually $\alpha = .05$), we **reject the null** hypothesis that the two variables are independent (unrelated)
 - i.e., we think that they are in some way related.

***t*-Tests: comparing two means**

- Moving beyond correlational research...
- We often want to look at the **effect** of one variable on another by systematically **changing** some aspect of that variable
- That is, we want to **manipulate** one variable to observe its effect on another variable.
- ***t*-tests** are for **comparing** two means
- Two types of application of *t*-tests:
 - **Related**/dependent measures
 - **Independent** groups

Related/dependent t -tests

- A **repeated measures** experiment that has **2** conditions (levels of the IV)
- the **same subjects** participate in both conditions
- We expect that a person's behaviour will be the **same** in both conditions
 - **external factors** – age, gender, IQ, motivation, ...
– should be same in both conditions
- **Experimental Manipulation**: we do something different in Condition 1 than what we do in Condition 2 (so the only difference between conditions is the manipulation the experimenter made)
 - e.g., **Control** vs. test

Independent samples *t*-tests

- We still have 2 **conditions** (levels of the IV), but **different subjects** participate in each condition.
- So, differences between the two group **means** can possibly reflect:
 - The manipulation (i.e., **systematic** variation)
 - Differences between characteristics of the people allotted to each group (i.e., **unsystematic** variation)
 - **Question**: what is one way we can try to keep the '**noise**' in an experiment to a minimum?

t-Tests

- *t*-tests work by identifying **sources** of systematic and unsystematic variation, and then **comparing** them.
- The comparison lets us see whether the experiment created **considerably** more variation than we would have got if we had just tested the participants w/o the experimental manipulation.

Example: dependent samples

- “Paired” samples *t*-test
- 12 ‘spider phobes’ exposed to a picture of a spider (**picture**), and on a separate occasion, a real live tarantula (**real**)
- Their **anxiety** was measured at each time (i.e., in each condition).

Paired samples *t*-test

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	Picture of Spider	40.0000	12	9.29320	2.68272
	Real Spider	47.0000	12	11.02889	3.18377
Pair 2	Picture of Spider	40.0000	12	9.29320	2.68272
	Real Spider	47.0000	12	11.02889	3.18377

Paired Samples Correlations

		N	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1	Picture of Spider & Real Spider	12	.545	.067
Pair 2	Picture of Spider & Real Spider	12	.545	.067

Example: paired *t*-Tests

Degrees of Freedom (in a repeated measures design, it's $N-1$)

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences					t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
					Lower	Upper			
Pair 1	Picture of Spider - Real Spider	-7.00000	9.80723	2.83110	-13.23122	-.76878	-2.473	11	.031
Pair 2	Picture of Spider - Real Spider	-7.00000	9.80723	2.83110	-13.23122	-.76878	-2.473	11	.031

Standard Deviation of the pairwise difference

Standard error of the differences b/w subjects' scores in each condition

SPSS uses df to calculate the exact probability that the value of the 't' obtained could occur by chance

The probability that 't' occurred by chance is reflected here

Example: indep samples *t*-test

Used in situations where there are 2 experimental conditions – and **different** participants are used in each condition

Example: SpiderBG.sav

- 12 spider phobes exposed to a **picture** of a spider (picture); 12 **different** spider phobes exposed to a **real-life** tarantula
- **Anxiety** was measured in each condition

Group Statistics

Condition		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Anxiety	Picture	12	40.0000	9.29320	2.68272
	Real Spider	12	47.0000	11.02889	3.18377

Summary Statistics for the 2 experimental conditions

$$(N1 + N2) - 2 = 22$$

Independent Samples Test

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variance		t-test for Equality of Means							
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		
								Lower	Upper	
Anxiety	Equal variances assumed	.782	.386	-1.681	22	.107	-7.00000	4.16333	-15.6342	1.63422
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.681	21.385	.107	-7.00000	4.16333	-15.6486	1.64864

Parametric tests (e.g., *t*-tests) assume variances in the experimental conditions are 'roughly' equal

If Levene's test is sig., the assumption of homogeneity of variance has been violated

Significance (*p*-value): $0.107 > \alpha = .05$, so there is no significant difference between the means of the 2 samples

Data Analysis Project

- Half of this course is your semester-long data analysis **project**:
 - Find suitable **existing data**
 - **Propose** a new statistical analysis of it
 - Get approval by **Research Ethics Board**
 - Go through “spiral” of statistical **analysis**
 - **Write** it up in an APA-style manuscript
- **Groups** of up to 3 people
 - Can also be done individually
 - Let me know when you have your group

Project step 1: Finding data

- It must be **existing** data – you are **not** allowed to collect data for this course! (nor do you have time to)
 - **No simulated** (made-up) data
- Minimum **sample size**: 50
- Minimum of **3 variables** (2 IV, 1 DV)
- Analysis: multiple **regression** or **ANOVA**
 - Non-parametric alternatives w/permission
- Possible **sources**: your own data, faculty members, CPSY dept thesis data, publicly available / government data (WHO, NIH, etc.)

Dataset description: due 20Oct

- Written **description** of the dataset you will be using and the particular **variables** you consider
- Preliminary **explorations** of the data
 - Descriptives, histograms, boxplots, etc.
 - Upload annotated **SPSS output *.spv**
- APA manuscript style not needed, but please format it **neatly** in a document
 - e.g., not a short email or Facebook msg!
- Upload (1) **write-up**, and (2) **SPSS output** to myCourses

Project step 2: Proposal/meeting

- Written **proposal** of the particular analysis you plan to do on the dataset
 - Old data, but **new analysis**
 - State specific **research questions**
 - Check **sample size** is sufficient (**GPower3**)
 - Anticipate possible **problems**, plan
- Book an **appointment** with me (Neu 5) by **9Oct**
 - **All** team members there
 - Send me your **proposal** >24hrs before
 - Bring/email your **dataset** on USB stick

Project step 3: REB (due 16Oct)

- Approval by TWU Research Ethics Board is required **before** any new analysis may be done!
 - Cursory exploration as in dataset description and proposal is okay
- You are **not** allowed to start your new analysis until you get REB approval
- Use the “**Analysis of Existing Data**” form
- You need **written permission** from the original owner of the data
 - For CPSY **theses**, the faculty supervisor
 - None needed for **publicly available** data

Project step 4: Manuscript

- The focus is to demonstrate your **statistical** knowledge, not to deal with the subject area in question
 - It's okay if you don't find groundbreaking **results** for all of counselling psychology
 - **Methodology** and statistics will be **more** detailed than a “real” research paper
- Full **APA** manuscript format is required!
 - Include **tables**/figures
- Max length **15 pages** + annotated SPSS **output**

HW Assignment 1: Stats review

- Four **homework** assignments over the semester will give you practice on the concepts in lecture
- **HW assignment 1** (due 25Sep, in two weeks):
 - Review of undergrad **statistics**
 - Practice with **SPSS**
- **Download** from our website: “Assignments”
 - Assignment: **HW1-Review.doc**
 - SPSS Dataset: **AttnDefDis-1.sav**

Practice reading for next week

- For practice, try reading this **journal article**, focusing on their **statistical** methods: see how much you can understand
- **Missirlian**, et al., “*Emotional Arousal, Client Perceptual Processing, and the Working Alliance in Experiential Psychotherapy for Depression*”, *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, Vol. 73, No. 5, pp. 861–871, 2005.
- **Download** from website, under today's **lecture**

For discussion next time:

- What **research questions** do the authors state that they are addressing?
- What **analytical strategy** was used, and how **appropriate** is it for addressing their questions?
- What were their main **conclusions**, and are these conclusions **warranted** from the actual results /statistics /analyses that were reported?
- What, if any, **changes**/additions need to be made to the methods to give a more **complete** picture of the phenomenon of interest (e.g., sampling, description of analysis process, effect sizes, dealing with multiple comparisons, etc.)?

SPSS tutorials by Marci

- Our TA, Marci, has graciously agreed to do tutorials on getting started with SPSS
 - After class today, in Wong Centre
 - ◆ Just tag along with her
 - Next week (Tue/Wed?)