

# Linear Algebra Class on 26 January

Seanie Lee

26 January 2019

## 2.2 Linear Transformation

**Definition.**  $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}$  are vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map if  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2$  and  $\mathbf{L}(c\mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}$ . In other words  $\mathbf{L}$  is called vector space homomorphism.

### Properties

1.  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

2.  $\mathbf{L}(-\mathbf{v}) = -\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}$

3.  $\mathbf{L}(a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2) = a\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2$

1. *Proof.*  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{0}$  □

2. *Proof.*  $\mathbf{L}(-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{L}(-1 \cdot \mathbf{v}) = -\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}$  □

3.  $\mathbf{L}(a\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{L}(a\mathbf{v}_1) + \mathbf{L}(b\mathbf{v}_2) = a\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_1 + b\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_2$

**Remark.**  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  is linear. Then  $\mathbf{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_i$   
 $\therefore \mathbf{L}$  is completely determined by  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

**Theorem** (Linear Extension Theorem).  $\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}$  is vector space. Let  $\mathfrak{B} := \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $f : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  and be a function. Then There is unique  $\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  linear map such that  $\mathbf{L}|_{\mathfrak{B}} = f( \iff \mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_i = f(\mathbf{v}_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ )

*Proof.* 1. existence

Define  $\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  by  $\mathbf{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(\mathbf{v}_i)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{v}_i &= \mathbf{L}(0\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + 1\mathbf{v}_i + \dots + 0\mathbf{v}_n) \\ &= 0f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + f(\mathbf{v}_i) + \dots + 0f(\mathbf{v}_n) \\ &= f(\mathbf{v}_i) \\ \therefore \mathbf{L}|_{\mathfrak{B}} &= f\end{aligned}$$

## 2. linearity of $\mathbf{L}$

For  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbf{V}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) &= \mathbf{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i) \mathbf{v}_i\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i) \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(\mathbf{v}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f(\mathbf{v}_i) \\
 &= \mathbf{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) + \mathbf{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{v}_i\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

## 3. uniqueness

Let  $M : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map such that  $M|_{\mathfrak{B}} = f$ . For any  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbf{V}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(\mathbf{v}_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i M \mathbf{v}_i \\
 &= M\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) \\
 \therefore \mathbf{L} &= M
 \end{aligned}$$

□

## Definition.

Let  $\mathbf{L} : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map.  $\ker \mathbf{L} := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} \mid \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}\}$   $\text{im} \mathbf{L} := \{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W} \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}\}$

**Theorem.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map. Then  $\ker \varphi = \mathbf{0} \iff \varphi$  is one to one.

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Suppose that  $\varphi \mathbf{v}_1 = \varphi \mathbf{v}_2$   $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbf{V}$ . By linearity  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{0}$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \in \ker \varphi$ . Since  $\ker \varphi = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_2$

$\impliedby$  Let  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker \varphi$  be given. Since  $\varphi$  is linear map,  $\varphi \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . Also  $\varphi \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  for  $\mathbf{v} \in \ker \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is one to one,  $\varphi \mathbf{v} = \varphi \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \therefore \varphi$  is one to one. □

**Theorem.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbf{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map.  $\varphi$  is one-to-one  $\iff [\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\} \text{ is linearly independent subset of } \mathbf{V} \implies \{\varphi \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \varphi \mathbf{v}_k\} \text{ is linearly independent subset of } \mathbf{W}]$

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \varphi(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . Then left-hand-side is  $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i\right) = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is one-to-one  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is linearly independent, all  $a_i$  is zero.  $\therefore \{\varphi \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \varphi \mathbf{v}_k\}$  is linearly independent.

independent.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $\varphi \mathbf{v} = \varphi \mathbf{v}'$

$$\varphi \mathbf{v} - \varphi \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\varphi(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{0}$$

Suppose  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $\{\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}'\}$  is linearly independent subset of  $\mathbf{V}$ , but  $\{\varphi(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}')\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is linearly dependent subset of  $\mathbf{W}$ . But it contradicts to the assumption.  $\therefore \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'$

$\varphi$  is one-to-one. □

**Theorem.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map.

$\varphi$  is onto  $\iff [\text{span} S = \mathbf{V} \implies \text{span} \varphi S = \mathbf{W}]$

*Proof.*  $\implies$  Put  $S := \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  then  $\varphi S := \{\varphi \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \varphi \mathbf{v}_k\}$

Suppose  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{W}$  be given. Since  $\varphi$  is onto, there are some  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$  such that  $\mathbf{w} = \varphi \mathbf{v}$ . Since  $S$  is spanning set, so  $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i$  and  $\mathbf{w} = \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is linear map,  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \varphi \mathbf{v}_i$

$\therefore \mathbf{w} \in \text{span} \varphi S$

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $S := \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  and  $\text{span} S = \mathbf{V}$ . Then  $\text{span} \varphi S = \mathbf{W}$  by the assumption. Since  $\varphi S$  is spanning set of  $\mathbf{W}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \varphi \mathbf{v}_i = \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \mathbf{v}_i)$

$\therefore \varphi$  is onto. □

**Corollary.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$  be linear map.

$\varphi$  is bijective  $\iff [\mathfrak{B} \text{ is a basis for } \mathbf{V} \implies \varphi \mathfrak{B} \text{ is a basis for } \mathbf{W}]$

*Proof.* By previous two theorems, it's trivial to show that  $\varphi$  is bijective  $\iff [\mathfrak{B} \text{ is a basis for } \mathbf{V} \implies \varphi \mathfrak{B} \text{ is a basis for } \mathbf{W}]$  □