Class 19: The Last One

Sean Westwood

Practice

You are responsible for reviewing terms from the book and lecture. Today we will practice some analysis. You will work on the practice by yourself. I will answer questions as if this were an exam.

Time management will be important during the final. You should be able to answer the questions below in less than 60 minutes

Sex Ratio and the Price of Agricultural Crops in China

In this exercise, we consider the effect of a change in the price of agricultural goods whose production and cultivation are dominated by either men or women.

This exercise is based on: Qian, Nancy. 2008. "Missing Women and the Price of Tea in China:The Effect of Sex-Specific Earnings on Sex Imbalance." Quarterly Journal of Economics 123(3): 1251–85.

Our data come from China, where centrally planned production targets during the Maoist era led to changes in the prices of major staple crops. We focus here on tea, the production and cultivation of which required a large female labor force, as well as orchard fruits, for which the labor force was overwhelmingly male. We use price increases brought on by government policy change in 1979 as a proxy for increases in sex-specific income, and ask the following question: Do changes in sex-specific income alter the incentives for Chinese families to have children of one gender over another?

Name	Description
birpop	Birth population in a given year
biryr	Year of cohort (birth year)
cashcrop	Amount of cash crops planted in county
orch	Amount of orchard-type crops planted in county

Name	Description
teasown	Amount of tea sown in county
sex	Proportion of males in birth cohort
post	Indicator variable for introduction of price reforms (0 before and 1 after)
han	Proportion Han Chinese

```
women <- read.csv("chinawomen.csv", header = TRUE)</pre>
```

Question 1

1.1. Compute the mean sex ratio (proportion of males) in 1985 separately for tea-producing (>0)/non-orchard (==0) regions and orchard(>0)/non-tea-producing (==0) regions, then take the difference in means for the two groups.

[1] 0.006962526

1.2. Now compute the 95% CIs as well as a the p-value for this difference.

```
t.test(women.after$sex[women.after$teadum ==
    1], women.after$sex[women.after$orchdum ==
    1])
```

```
Welch Two Sample t-test
```

```
data: women.after$sex[women.after$teadum == 1] and women.after$sex[women.after$orchdum == 1]
t = 0.45608, df = 35.177, p-value = 0.6511
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
   -0.02402376   0.03794881
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
0.5305382   0.5235757
```

1.3. Repeat the analysis above (1.1 and 1.2) for 1983.

```
women.before <- women[women$biryr == 1983,
    ]

mean(women.before$sex[women.before$teadum ==
    1]) - mean(women.before$sex[women.before$orchdum ==
    1])</pre>
```

[1] 0.01764056

```
t.test(women.before$sex[women.before$teadum ==
    1], women.before$sex[women.before$orchdum ==
    1])
```

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: women.before$sex[women.before$teadum == 1] and women.before$sex[women.before$orchdum == 0.83641, df = 33.781, p-value = 0.4088
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
   -0.02523132    0.06051243
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
0.5390109    0.5213703
```

Question 2

2.1. Compute the mean difference in sex between the two types of regions for each of the years between 1981 and 1989. In which year was the absolute difference the largest? Hint: you can use the abs() function.

[1] 0.0338322

[1] 0.01204438

[1] 0.01764056

[1] 0.001888236

[1] 0.006962526

[1] 0.006666828

[1] 0.004672121

[1] 0.03589176

[1] 0.00964766

Question 3

3.1. Now compare the population of Han Chinese in tea-producing and orchard-producing regions before the policy enactment (in 1978). Estimate the mean difference and 95% confidence intervals for the two regions.

Welch Two Sample t-test

```
data: women.1978$han[women.1978$teadum == 1] and women.1978$han[women.1978$orchdum == 1]
t = 7.7575, df = 608.97, p-value = 3.665e-14
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.05175856    0.08684784
sample estimates:
```

```
mean of x mean of y 0.9539048 0.8846016
```

3.2. Do we reject the null hypothesis of no difference in Han population?

Question 4

4.1. Compute the mean difference in Han population for each year before the reform in the two regions, i.e., from 1962 until 1978 (a for loop might be easiest, but it is not required).

```
pre_reform_data <- women[women$biryr >= 1962 &
    women$biryr <= 1978, ]

tapply(pre_reform_data$han[pre_reform_data$teadum ==
    1], pre_reform_data$biryr[pre_reform_data$teadum ==
    1], mean) - tapply(pre_reform_data$han[pre_reform_data$orchdum ==
    1], pre_reform_data$biryr[pre_reform_data$orchdum ==
    1], mean)</pre>
```

```
1962
                 1963
                             1964
                                        1965
                                                    1966
                                                               1967
                                                                          1968
0.06949663 0.06404637 0.06890834 0.07005651 0.06847232 0.07136858 0.06935931
                 1970
                             1971
                                        1972
                                                   1973
                                                               1974
0.06930467 0.07056496 0.06807626 0.06718398 0.06896967 0.07080438 0.06949562
      1976
                 1977
                             1978
0.06470800 0.07813199 0.07332542
```

- [1] 0.06949663
- [1] 0.06404637
- [1] 0.06890834
- [1] 0.07005651
- [1] 0.06847232
- [1] 0.07136858
- [1] 0.06935931

```
[1] 0.06930467
```

- [1] 0.07056496
- [1] 0.06807626
- [1] 0.06718398
- [1] 0.06896967
- [1] 0.07080438
- [1] 0.06949562
- [1] 0.064708
- [1] 0.07813199
- [1] 0.07332542

Question 5

5.1. Now, explore the relationship between sex ratios (DV) and post, teasown, orch and biryr. To do this use a linear regression model.

```
lm(sex ~ post + teasown + orch + biryr, data = women)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = sex ~ post + teasown + orch + biryr, data = women)
```

Coefficients:

```
(Intercept) post teasown orch biryr -2.240238 -0.002178 0.006276 0.002400 0.001393
```

5.2. Given our model, what would we expect the sex ratio to be post-treatment in 1981 for a region with the mean amount of teasown (no orchards)?

```
-2.240238 + -0.002178 + 0.006276 * mean(women$teasown) + 0.0024 * 0 + 0.001393 * 1981
```

- [1] 0.5173229
- 5.3. What is the 95% CI for orch?

```
confint(lm(sex ~ post + teasown + orch +
  biryr, data = women))
```

```
2.5 % 97.5 % (Intercept) -2.7547309016 -1.725744805 post -0.0066535614 0.002298241 teasown -0.0002229987 0.012775745 orch -0.0003167762 0.005117342 biryr 0.0011313683 0.001653718
```

Evaluation

- 1. On a scale ranging between 1 (Too Hard) and 10 (Too Easy), how was today's class:
- 2. What was the easiest thing to understand?
- 3. What was the most difficult thing to understand?
- 4. How long did you spend on the assignment outside of class?