Midterm

In the United States, political fundraising is crucial to the success and eventual election of politicians. Female politicians, however, report more fundraising difficulties than their male counterparts. Female politicians are also underrepresented in state legislatures across the country. In this exercise, we investigate the presence of potential gender inequalities in campaign finance.

This exercise is in part based on: Barber, Michael, Daniel Butler, and Jessica Preece. 2016. "Gender Inequalities in Campaign Finance." Quarterly Journal of Political Science 11(2): 219-248.

In this paper, the authors attempt to estimate whether female state legislative incumbents have more trouble fundraising than do male legislative incumbents. Observations consist of information on all U.S. state legislative races in which a male and female candidate ran against each other. The data include their winning margin and their current-cycle fundraising. To mitigate concerns about omitted variable bias (women may run in districts that are fundamentally different than districts in which men are the incumbents), the researchers use a variation of a regression discontinuity design (RDD). As with studies of the incumbency effect, the authors argue that those who barely won last cycle won at random, given the closeness of the previous election.

Name	Description
bonica.rid	Candidate ID number
cycle	Year in which the election was held
name	Legislator's name
cand.gender	Legislator's gender
Party	Party affiliation (Democrat = 100 , Republican = 200)
seat	Whether the legislator ran for upper (state:upper) or lower house (state:lower)
running.variable	Male candidate vote share minus female candidate vote share in previous election
total.raised.candi	data funding raised by legislator after winning election
male.money	Total raised from male donors

Name	Description
female.money	Total raised from female donors
share.district.total Proportion of total district funding raised by the incumbent	
<pre>pac.money</pre>	Total amount raised from PACs
individual.money	Total amount raised from individuals
male.winner	Did the male candidate win (1) or lose (0)

NOTE: THERE ARE MORE COLUMNS IN THE DATAFRAME THAN DESCRIBED ABOVE

Data

```
campaign <- read.csv("campaign.csv")</pre>
```

Question 1

1. What *proportion* of candidates were both female and Democrats?

Answer:

Question 2

In which year did male candidates raise the most money from female donors?

Answer:

Question 3

On average, what is the difference in total money raised from male and female donors (subtract females from males) for Republicans running for a seat in state:lower?

Answer:

^{**} Note: You must round all numeric results to two decimal places **

Question 4

On average, how much money did candidates raise after winning election?

Answer:

Question 5

Compute the IQR for the total amount of money raised after winning election. Only include male candidates.

Answer:

Question 6

Compute the absolute average difference in share.district.total for men and and for women. Also compute the absolute average difference in share.district.total for Democrats and for Republicans. Report the numerical value for the largest difference.

Answer:

Question 7

Which candidate (report the value of bonica.rid) raised the most money from female donors? Note there might be NAs.

Answer:

Question 8

PACs (Political Action Committees) are groups that support candidates. How much more (or less) money in total did ALL female candidates raise from PACs compared to ALL male candidates? Report the absolute value of the difference.

Answer:

Question 9

Which state had the most female Republicans run for office? Report the state abbreviation.

Answer:

Quesiton 10

On average, how much more did males who won election raise from PACs compared to individual donors? Report the absolute mean difference.

Answer: