

Week 3, Class 6: Practice Exercises - ANSWER KEY

Research Designs

2024-12-31

1 Non-AI Exercises

1.1 1. Understanding Research Designs

1.1.1 1.1 Match: Research Design Types

Match each research design with its key characteristic:

Designs: a) Experimental b) Natural experiment c) Cross-sectional d) Panel/Longitudinal

Characteristics: 1. Observes the same units over multiple time periods 2. Researcher controls random assignment 3. Takes advantage of external events for quasi-random assignment 4. Observes many units at a single point in time

Matches: a = **2**, b = **3**, c = **4**, d = **1**

1.1.2 1.2 Multiple Choice: Random Assignment

What is the main advantage of random assignment in experiments?

- a) It makes the study cheaper to conduct
- b) It ensures treatment and control groups are similar on average
- c) It guarantees everyone benefits from treatment
- d) It eliminates the need for statistical analysis

Answer: **b) It ensures treatment and control groups are similar on average**

1.1.3 1.3 True or False: Research Designs

Mark each statement as True (T) or False (F):

F Experiments always require a laboratory setting **T** Natural experiments rely on events outside researcher control **F** Cross-sectional data can show individual change over time **T** Panel data follows the same people over multiple periods **F** Observational studies can never establish causation

1.2 2. Natural Experiments

1.2.1 2.1 Fill in the Blanks: Natural Experiments

Natural experiments occur when:

1. An external **event** creates quasi-random assignment
2. The researcher does not **control** the treatment
3. Groups become comparable by **chance**
4. We can compare **treatment** and control groups
5. The assignment process is **unrelated** to political outcomes

1.2.2 2.2 Code Detective: Research Design

What type of research design does this analysis suggest?

```
data %>%  
  filter(distance_to_border < 10) %>%  
  mutate(treatment = ifelse(state == "Legal_Marijuana", 1, 0)) %>%  
  group_by(treatment) %>%  
  summarise(avg_crime = mean(crime_rate))
```

This code suggests a: **Natural experiment using geographic borders (border discontinuity design)**

1.2.3 2.3 Multiple Choice: Lottery Draft

The Vietnam draft lottery is a good natural experiment because:

- a) Researchers controlled who was drafted
- b) Birth dates were randomly assigned to draft numbers
- c) Everyone wanted to avoid the draft

d) It only affected men

Answer: b) Birth dates were randomly assigned to draft numbers

1.3 3. Cross-sectional vs Longitudinal Data

1.3.1 3.1 Match: Data Structure

Match each scenario with the appropriate data type:

Scenarios: a) Survey 1000 voters on election day b) Track 500 families' income for 10 years c) Poll different people each month about approval d) Interview same legislators every session

Data Types: 1. Cross-sectional 2. Panel/Longitudinal 3. Repeated cross-sections 4. Time series panel

Matches: a = 1, b = 2, c = 3, d = 2 (or 4 if focusing on time series aspect)

1.3.2 3.2 Fill in the Code: Panel Data

Complete this code to analyze panel data:

```
panel_data %>%
  group_by(respondent_id) %>% # Group by individual
  arrange(wave) %>% # Sort by time
  mutate(
    income_change = income - lag(income)
  )
```

1.3.3 3.3 Spot the Error: Research Design

What's wrong with this conclusion?

“We surveyed 1000 people and found that older people vote more. Therefore, as people age, they become more likely to vote.”

Problem: **This uses cross-sectional data (different people of different ages at one time) to make a claim about change over time. We can't tell if it's an age effect or a cohort/generation effect. We would need panel data following the same people over time.**

1.4 4. Validity and Limitations

1.4.1 4.1 Multiple Choice: Internal Validity

A study has high internal validity when:

- a) Results apply to many different contexts
- b) We can confidently attribute effects to the treatment
- c) The sample size is very large
- d) It uses advanced statistical methods

Answer: **b) We can confidently attribute effects to the treatment**

1.4.2 4.2 Match: Validity Threats

Match each threat with its type:

Threats: a) Results only apply to college students b) Something else caused the outcome c) People dropped out of the study d) Treatment and control groups were different

Types: 1. Selection bias 2. External validity 3. Attrition 4. Confounding

Matches: a = **2**, b = **4**, c = **3**, d = **1**

1.4.3 4.3 True or False: Research Tradeoffs

Mark each statement as True (T) or False (F):

T Lab experiments have high internal validity but may lack realism **F** Natural experiments always have perfect random assignment **F** Large samples guarantee causal inference **T** Field experiments balance control and realism **F** Observational studies are always inferior to experiments

2 AI Exercises

2.1 5. Analyzing a Natural Experiment

Dataset: border_policy_change.csv

2.1.1 5.1 Understanding the Natural Experiment

```
# Load the dataset
library(tidyverse)
border_data <- read_csv("border_policy_change.csv")

# Examine the structure
glimpse(border_data)
```

```
Rows: 3,500
Columns: 12
$ county_fips      <dbl> 53506, 43297, 58596, 34619, 43094, 37427, 53506, 491~
$ year            <dbl> 2015, 2020, 2017, 2020, 2016, 2012, 2010, 2020, 2010~
$ unemployment_rate <dbl> 4.2, 8.7, 7.3, 4.9, 2.4, 12.2, 3.3, 2.4, 12.4, 11.4,~
$ median_income    <dbl> 63262, 61006, 48323, 55327, 66234, 57395, 55070, 462~
$ gini_index       <dbl> 0.35, 0.49, 0.36, 0.54, 0.38, 0.51, 0.40, 0.54, 0.45~
$ poverty_rate     <dbl> 15.1, 24.1, 26.0, 5.7, 30.0, 25.6, 25.3, 22.5, 27.4,~
$ pop_density      <dbl> 201.3, 229.9, 123.7, 372.2, 273.8, 522.0, 266.8, 94.~
$ urban_rural      <chr> "Urban", "Rural", "Rural", "Urban", "Urban", "Suburb~
$ percent_white    <dbl> 84.4, 79.1, 21.3, 34.1, 73.2, 71.7, 68.8, 41.1, 41.2~
$ percent_black    <dbl> 3.1, 56.3, 32.7, 3.6, 19.5, 33.3, 42.8, 5.9, 51.5, 1~
$ percent_hispanic <dbl> 23.7, 17.8, 49.2, 56.1, 47.3, 10.4, 32.7, 52.9, 14.9~
$ wage_change      <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, TRU~
```

2.1.2 5.2 Implementing the Design

```
# Compute poverty rates and unemployment in treated and
# control counties
results <- border_data %>%
  group_by(wage_change) %>%
  summarise(mean_poverty = mean(poverty_rate, na.rm = TRUE),
            mean_unemployment = mean(unemployment_rate, na.rm = TRUE),
            mean_income = mean(median_income, na.rm = TRUE), n = n())

print(results)
```

```
# A tibble: 2 x 5
  wage_change mean_poverty mean_unemployment mean_income      n
  <lgl>          <dbl>          <dbl>          <dbl> <int>
1 FALSE         15.11      4.22         63262      3500
2 TRUE          25.32      2.42         55070      3500
```

1	FALSE	17.5	8.36	52067.	2517
2	TRUE	17.5	8.66	51623.	983

```
# Calculate differences
treated_poverty <- results$mean_poverty[results$wage_change ==
  TRUE]
control_poverty <- results$mean_poverty[results$wage_change ==
  FALSE]
diff_poverty <- treated_poverty - control_poverty

treated_unemployment <- results$mean_unemployment[results$wage_change ==
  TRUE]
control_unemployment <- results$mean_unemployment[results$wage_change ==
  FALSE]
diff_unemployment <- treated_unemployment - control_unemployment

print(paste("Poverty difference:", round(diff_poverty, 2), "percentage points"))
```

```
[1] "Poverty difference: -0.03 percentage points"
```

```
print(paste("Unemployment difference:", round(diff_unemployment,
  2), "percentage points"))
```

```
[1] "Unemployment difference: 0.3 percentage points"
```

2.2 6. Working with Panel Data

Dataset: voter_panel_study.csv

2.2.1 6.1 Exploring Panel Structure

```
# Load the dataset
panel_data <- read_csv("voter_panel_study.csv")

# Check the panel structure
glimpse(panel_data)
```

```

Rows: 3,000
Columns: 10
$ respondent_id      <dbl> 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6~
$ wave               <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2~
$ age                <dbl> 78, 78, 78, 74, 74, 74, 61, 61, 61, 33, 33, 33, 7~
$ education           <dbl> 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 2, 2, 2, 5, 5, 5, 3, 3~
$ ideology            <dbl> 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 7, 7, 7, 4, 4, 4, 7, 7~
$ political_interest <dbl> 2, 7, 5, 3, 6, 5, 6, 5, 3, 3, 5, 4, 3, 6, 5, 6, 4~
$ social_media_use    <dbl> 5, 1, 1, 3, 3, 0, 3, 3, 5, 4, 2, 5, 4, 4, 2, 2, 3~
$ participated_protest <dbl> 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0~
$ protest_issue       <chr> "Climate", NA, NA, "Racial Justice", "Economy", "~
$ voted_last_election <dbl> 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1~

```

```

# How many waves and respondents?
n_waves <- panel_data %>%
  summarise(n_waves = n_distinct(wave))
print(paste("Number of waves:", n_waves$n_waves))

```

```
[1] "Number of waves: 3"
```

```

n_respondents <- panel_data %>%
  summarise(n_resp = n_distinct(respondent_id))
print(paste("Number of respondents:", n_respondents$n_resp))

```

```
[1] "Number of respondents: 1000"
```

2.2.2 6.2 Analyzing Change Over Time

```

# Track how individual respondents' ideology and political interest change
change_data <- panel_data %>%
  arrange(respondent_id, wave) %>%
  group_by(respondent_id) %>%
  mutate(
    # Calculate change from previous wave
    interest_change = political_interest - lag(political_interest),
    ideology_change = ideology != lag(ideology)
  )

# Summary of changes

```

```

change_summary <- change_data %>%
  filter(!is.na(interest_change)) %>%
  summarise(
    mean_interest_change = mean(interest_change, na.rm = TRUE),
    pct_ideology_change = mean(ideology_change, na.rm = TRUE) * 100
  )

print("Average change in political interest between waves:")

```

```
[1] "Average change in political interest between waves:"
```

```
print(change_summary$mean_interest_change)
```

```

[1] 1.5 1.0 -1.5 0.5 1.0 -0.5 -2.0 -0.5 0.0 1.0 1.0 2.0 2.5 3.0
[15] 0.0 -1.0 1.5 -1.0 -1.0 0.0 -0.5 -2.0 0.0 0.0 -2.0 -1.0 1.5 1.5
[29] -2.0 -1.0 0.0 0.5 2.0 -3.0 -1.0 -0.5 -1.5 -1.5 -1.5 0.0 -1.0 -2.0
[43] 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.5 -4.0 -0.5 -1.5 0.5 -1.5 0.5 0.5 -1.0 0.5
[57] -0.5 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 -1.5 1.0 0.0 2.5 1.5 0.5 -1.0 0.5 0.0
[71] 1.5 0.5 1.5 -1.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 -0.5 -0.5 2.0 -1.5 -2.0 0.0 0.5
[85] 0.5 1.5 -1.0 0.0 0.5 2.5 -0.5 0.5 2.0 -4.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 2.0
[99] -2.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 -3.0 -0.5 -1.0 0.5 2.0 0.0 2.5 -1.0 -0.5 -1.5
[113] 0.5 -3.0 -1.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 -0.5 -0.5 2.5 1.5 -1.0 -2.5 2.0
[127] -0.5 1.5 1.5 -2.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 -1.0 0.5 0.5 -1.0 -2.0 -1.5
[141] 1.5 3.5 -2.0 0.5 -0.5 1.0 1.5 1.0 -2.0 -1.0 -0.5 1.0 0.5 1.5
[155] 0.5 0.0 2.5 -0.5 3.0 1.0 1.5 0.0 -0.5 1.5 1.5 -1.0 -1.0 0.0
[169] 0.0 0.0 -1.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 1.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 0.0 -2.5 0.5 0.5
[183] 1.0 -2.0 2.5 0.5 1.5 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 -1.0 0.5 -3.0 0.0 1.0
[197] 0.0 1.0 0.0 -0.5 -0.5 -1.5 0.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 -3.0 -2.0 0.0 1.0
[211] 0.5 0.5 1.0 0.0 0.0 -1.0 -0.5 -0.5 1.5 0.0 0.0 -2.5 1.0 0.0
[225] -0.5 -0.5 -3.0 2.0 -1.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 2.0 -1.0 0.5 3.0 -1.0 1.5
[239] 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.0 -3.0 2.0 1.5 -1.5 2.0 0.0 -1.0 0.5 0.0
[253] 2.0 0.5 0.0 -1.0 0.5 0.0 -1.5 1.5 1.5 -0.5 -2.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5
[267] 0.0 -0.5 1.0 -1.5 -2.5 -1.0 -1.5 0.0 -1.0 -0.5 -1.0 0.0 -0.5 -2.0
[281] 0.5 -1.0 -1.5 0.5 1.5 1.0 -0.5 0.5 -1.0 -1.5 2.5 -1.0 0.5 0.0
[295] 0.5 -2.5 3.5 0.5 0.0 -1.5 -1.0 0.0 -1.0 1.0 1.5 -1.0 -1.5 0.5
[309] 2.0 -0.5 0.5 -3.0 -0.5 1.0 1.0 1.5 -2.0 1.5 0.0 -0.5 -0.5 0.0
[323] 1.0 -2.0 -0.5 -1.5 1.5 1.5 0.0 1.5 2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 2.0 -1.0
[337] -1.0 -2.0 1.5 0.5 0.0 1.5 -1.0 1.0 0.5 3.0 0.5 1.0 -1.0 0.5
[351] -0.5 -1.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.5 0.5 -2.5 1.0
[365] 1.0 -0.5 1.0 -2.0 0.0 -0.5 -1.5 2.0 1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.5 1.0
[379] 0.5 -1.0 -1.0 -0.5 -2.5 1.0 -0.5 -2.5 0.5 -1.5 -2.0 1.5 -0.5 -2.5

```


[393]	0.0	0.5	-1.5	-1.5	1.5	-1.0	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	-2.0	-1.0	1.0	-1.0
[407]	0.5	2.0	0.5	0.0	-1.0	0.0	-2.0	-1.0	1.0	-2.5	-1.0	-0.5	-1.5	-3.0
[421]	-0.5	-1.0	0.0	1.0	-2.5	0.5	1.0	-2.0	-1.0	-1.0	3.0	0.5	2.0	-1.0
[435]	-0.5	-1.5	0.0	-1.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	-0.5	-2.0	-2.0	-0.5	-0.5	2.0
[449]	2.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.5	-2.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	-1.0	-0.5	1.0	-0.5
[463]	0.5	0.5	2.5	-0.5	-2.0	2.0	-2.5	-0.5	-1.0	1.0	-0.5	-4.0	2.5	0.5
[477]	0.0	-2.5	0.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	-1.0	0.5	-1.0	-2.0	0.5	0.0	-2.5	-1.0
[491]	-0.5	-1.5	-1.0	-0.5	-3.0	1.5	0.0	-1.5	2.5	-0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	-0.5
[505]	0.5	-2.5	-2.0	-1.0	-2.0	-2.5	2.5	-1.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	-1.0	1.0	2.5
[519]	2.0	-0.5	0.0	-0.5	-0.5	0.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	-0.5	0.0	-2.0	-1.5	0.0
[533]	-0.5	0.0	-1.0	-1.5	-1.0	1.0	1.5	-2.5	-4.0	2.5	-0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
[547]	2.5	-2.5	-2.0	-1.5	1.5	-2.0	0.5	-2.5	2.5	0.0	1.0	0.5	-0.5	0.5
[561]	0.0	1.5	0.5	-2.0	0.5	0.5	-0.5	0.5	0.5	1.0	-1.5	-1.0	-1.0	-1.5
[575]	1.5	0.5	-1.5	1.0	-2.5	-0.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.5	-1.0	0.0	0.5	-1.0
[589]	0.5	0.5	-3.5	-1.5	-1.0	1.0	2.5	-0.5	-1.0	-1.5	2.0	0.5	0.0	1.5
[603]	1.5	0.0	-2.5	-1.0	-0.5	-1.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	-0.5	0.5	-1.5
[617]	1.0	2.0	0.0	-0.5	2.0	1.5	-1.0	-1.5	0.5	2.5	-0.5	2.0	0.0	0.5
[631]	-1.5	1.5	3.0	-1.5	-0.5	0.5	-2.0	-0.5	3.0	0.5	1.0	-0.5	1.0	1.0
[645]	-2.5	-1.0	-0.5	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.5	-0.5	-3.0	-1.0	3.0	1.0	2.5	-0.5
[659]	0.5	-0.5	-1.5	-1.5	1.5	-1.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	-1.0	-3.5	-0.5	2.5	-1.5
[673]	1.5	0.0	2.0	1.0	-0.5	0.0	2.5	1.0	-1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
[687]	-0.5	-0.5	-1.5	1.0	1.0	-0.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	3.0	2.0	1.0
[701]	0.5	0.5	-0.5	0.5	3.5	1.0	2.5	1.5	-1.0	-1.5	-1.5	2.5	-0.5	0.0
[715]	-3.5	1.0	-0.5	-1.5	1.0	-3.0	2.0	-1.0	1.5	-0.5	-1.0	3.0	0.5	1.5
[729]	0.5	-1.0	-2.0	-0.5	0.0	2.0	0.5	-0.5	-0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.5	0.0
[743]	0.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	1.5	0.5	2.0	0.0	-2.0	2.0	0.0	-0.5	-1.0	1.5
[757]	1.0	-0.5	-1.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.5	-1.0	1.0	0.5	-1.0	-2.0
[771]	3.0	-2.0	-3.0	-2.0	-1.0	2.0	-2.0	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0	0.5	1.5	0.5
[785]	2.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	-0.5	-2.5	1.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	1.0	2.5	-2.0
[799]	-2.0	-0.5	-0.5	1.0	-1.0	0.0	-2.5	-2.0	-1.5	0.0	1.5	-1.0	-0.5	2.5
[813]	1.5	1.5	-3.0	-0.5	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-1.0	-4.0	2.0	2.0	-1.5	-1.5	-0.5
[827]	-2.0	-0.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	2.0	-1.0	0.0	0.5	-1.0
[841]	-2.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	-2.0	-2.0	3.5	2.0	1.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	-0.5
[855]	0.0	1.0	-3.0	0.0	-1.0	-2.5	-0.5	1.5	-2.5	-0.5	0.0	-1.0	3.0	-3.5
[869]	-3.0	-2.0	-1.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	3.5	-3.5	0.5	-1.0	-0.5	1.0	-3.0	0.5
[883]	-1.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.5	0.0	1.0	-2.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	-0.5	-1.5
[897]	-1.0	-1.5	-1.0	-0.5	-2.5	-0.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	-0.5	-1.0	0.5
[911]	-0.5	2.5	-1.5	-2.0	-2.0	1.0	-2.5	-1.5	-0.5	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	0.5
[925]	0.0	-3.5	-1.0	-0.5	-1.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	-1.0	0.5	-0.5	0.5
[939]	1.0	-1.0	3.0	0.0	-1.0	-0.5	1.5	-1.0	-2.5	1.0	-2.5	1.0	1.0	1.5
[953]	-2.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	-1.0	0.5	-1.5	-0.5	1.0	-0.5	1.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0
[967]	-2.0	-1.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	-0.5	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.5	-0.5	-2.0	-0.5	0.5
[981]	-3.0	2.0	2.5	-0.5	-1.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	1.5	-1.5	-3.0	2.0	-2.0	1.0

```
[995]  1.0  1.5 -1.5  0.0  0.0 -0.5
```

```
print("Percent who changed ideology between waves:")
```

```
[1] "Percent who changed ideology between waves:"
```

```
print(change_summary$pct_ideology_change)
```

[illegible]

```
# Is average social media higher or lower for those who voted?
social_media_voting <- panel_data %>%
  group_by(voted_last_election) %>%
  summarise(
```

```

    mean_social_media = mean(social_media_use == "Daily", na.rm = TRUE) * 100,
    n = n()
  )

print("Social media use by voting behavior:")

```

```
[1] "Social media use by voting behavior:"
```

```
print(social_media_voting)
```

```

# A tibble: 2 x 3
  voted_last_election mean_social_media      n
      <dbl>          <dbl> <int>
1           0              0    890
2           1              0   2110

```

```

# Identify voters who become more/less engaged
engagement_changes <- panel_data %>%
  arrange(respondent_id, wave) %>%
  group_by(respondent_id) %>%
  summarise(
    first_voted = first(voted_last_election),
    last_voted = last(voted_last_election),
    engagement_change = case_when(
      first_voted == FALSE & last_voted == TRUE ~ "Became engaged",
      first_voted == TRUE & last_voted == FALSE ~ "Became disengaged",
      first_voted == last_voted ~ "No change",
      TRUE ~ "Unknown"
    )
  )

engagement_summary <- engagement_changes %>%
  count(engagement_change) %>%
  mutate(percent = n / sum(n) * 100)

print("Changes in voter engagement:")

```

```
[1] "Changes in voter engagement:"
```

```
print(engagement_summary)
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 3
  engagement_change    n percent
  <chr>             <int>   <dbl>
1 Became disengaged   209    20.9
2 Became engaged     212    21.2
3 No change          579    57.9
```

2.3 7. Experimental Design Analysis

Dataset: gotv_experiment.csv

2.3.1 7.1 Understanding the Experiment

```
# Load the dataset
gotv <- read_csv("gotv_experiment.csv")

# Explore the experimental design
glimpse(gotv)
```

```
Rows: 5,000
Columns: 5
$ voter_id    <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, ~
$ treatment   <chr> "Phone Call", "Phone Call", "Postcard", "Postcard", "Postca~
$ age_group   <chr> "50-64", "50-64", "50-64", "50-64", "30-49", "18-29", "65+"~
$ education   <chr> "High School", "Some College", "High School", "Graduate", "~
$ voted_2022  <dbl> 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, ~
```

```
# Check treatment groups
gotv %>%
  count(treatment) %>%
  mutate(percent = n/sum(n) * 100)
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 3
  treatment      n percent
  <chr>         <int>   <dbl>
1 Control     1241    24.8
```

2 Personal Visit	1267	25.3
3 Phone Call	1254	25.1
4 Postcard	1238	24.8

2.3.2 7.2 Analyzing Treatment Effects

```
# Calculate turnout rates by treatment group
turnout_by_treatment <- gotv %>%
  group_by(treatment) %>%
  summarise(turnout_rate = mean(voted_2022, na.rm = TRUE) *
    100, n = n()) %>%
  arrange(desc(turnout_rate))

print("Turnout rates by treatment:")
```

```
[1] "Turnout rates by treatment:"
```

```
print(turnout_by_treatment)
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 3
  treatment      turnout_rate      n
  <chr>          <dbl> <int>
1 Personal Visit      68.7  1267
2 Phone Call          63.2  1254
3 Postcard            62.4  1238
4 Control             56.4  1241
```

```
# Get control group turnout
control_rate <- turnout_by_treatment$turnout_rate[turnout_by_treatment$treatment ==
  "Control"]

# Compare each treatment to control
treatment_effects <- turnout_by_treatment %>%
  filter(treatment != "Control") %>%
  mutate(effect_vs_control = turnout_rate - control_rate) %>%
  arrange(desc(effect_vs_control))

print("Treatment effects compared to control:")
```

```
[1] "Treatment effects compared to control:"
```

```
print(treatment_effects)
```

```
# A tibble: 3 x 4
  treatment      turnout_rate    n effect_vs_control
  <chr>          <dbl> <int>          <dbl>
1 Personal Visit    68.7  1267          12.3
2 Phone Call       63.2  1254           6.83
3 Postcard         62.4  1238           5.95
```

```
print(paste("Most effective treatment:", treatment_effects$treatment[1],
  "with", round(treatment_effects$effect_vs_control[1], 1),
  "percentage point increase"))
```

```
[1] "Most effective treatment: Personal Visit with 12.3 percentage point increase"
```

2.4 8. Cross-Sectional Survey Analysis

Dataset: political_attitudes_2024.csv

2.4.1 8.1 Cross-Sectional Exploration

```
# Load the dataset
attitudes <- read_csv("political_attitudes_2024.csv")

# Examine the data
glimpse(attitudes)
```

```
Rows: 1,200
Columns: 11
$ respondent_id    <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,~
$ age              <dbl> 36, 34, 32, 36, 44, 41, 81, 40, 60, 73, 69, 23, 87~
$ gender           <chr> "male", "female", "female", "female", "female", "f~
$ race_ethnicity   <chr> "White", "White", "Latino", "Other", "White", "Whi~
$ education         <dbl> 4, 5, 2, 2, 4, 1, 5, 3, 1, 3, 4, 2, 4, 1, 4, 4, 5,~
$ income_bracket    <dbl> 2, 10, 5, 10, 2, 1, 7, 4, 4, 7, 8, 7, 9, 10, 4, 6,~
$ ideology          <dbl> 5, 5, 3, 2, 6, 6, 5, 4, 4, 1, 3, 2, 4, 4, 6, 1, 3,~
```

```
$ party_id          <chr> "Republican", "Independent", "Independent", "Repub~
$ trust_gov         <dbl> 2, 2, 5, 0, 5, 6, 5, 4, 4, 4, 2, 4, 9, 3, 3, 4, 0,~
$ policy_support_env <dbl> 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,~
$ policy_support_guns <dbl> 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0,~
```

```
# Summary statistics
attitudes %>%
  summarise(mean_age = mean(age, na.rm = TRUE), mean_trust = mean(trust_gov,
    na.rm = TRUE), mean_env_support = mean(policy_support_env,
    na.rm = TRUE), mean_gun_support = mean(policy_support_guns,
    na.rm = TRUE))
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 4
  mean_age mean_trust mean_env_support mean_gun_support
    <dbl>     <dbl>         <dbl>         <dbl>
1    53.2      4.04          0.717          0.321
```

2.4.2 8.2 Limitations of Cross-Sectional Data

```
# What questions CAN this data answer? 1. Current
# associations between variables
correlation_trust_age <- cor(attitudes$age, attitudes$trust_gov,
  use = "complete.obs")
print(paste("Correlation between age and trust:", round(correlation_trust_age,
  3)))
```

```
[1] "Correlation between age and trust: -0.054"
```

```
# 2. Group differences at one point in time
ideology_differences <- attitudes %>%
  group_by(ideology) %>%
  summarise(mean_env_support = mean(policy_support_env, na.rm = TRUE),
    mean_gun_support = mean(policy_support_guns, na.rm = TRUE),
    n = n())

print("Policy support by ideology:")
```

```
[1] "Policy support by ideology:"
```

```
print(ideology_differences)
```

```
# A tibble: 7 x 4
  ideology mean_env_support mean_gun_support     n
  <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <int>
1       1       0.921       0.0674    89
2       2       0.924       0.185    119
3       3       0.865       0.2     170
4       4       0.695       0.282    387
5       5       0.638       0.367    218
6       6       0.565       0.604    154
7       7       0.413       0.651     63
```

```
# What questions CANNOT this data answer?
print("This cross-sectional data CANNOT answer:")
```

```
[1] "This cross-sectional data CANNOT answer:"
```

```
print("1. How individuals' attitudes change over time")
```

```
[1] "1. How individuals' attitudes change over time"
```

```
print("2. Whether age causes changes in trust (could be generational)")
```

```
[1] "2. Whether age causes changes in trust (could be generational)"
```

```
print("3. Causal effects of education on ideology")
```

```
[1] "3. Causal effects of education on ideology"
```

```
print("4. Whether policy attitudes are becoming more polarized")
```

```
[1] "4. Whether policy attitudes are becoming more polarized"
```



```
# Example of correlation vs causation
education_trust <- attitudes %>%
  group_by(education) %>%
  summarise(mean_trust = mean(trust_gov, na.rm = TRUE), n = n())

print("Trust by education (correlation, not causation):")
```

```
[1] "Trust by education (correlation, not causation):"
```

```
print(education_trust)
```

```
# A tibble: 5 x 3
  education mean_trust      n
    <dbl>      <dbl> <int>
1         1         4.00   250
2         2         4.14   225
3         3         3.97   246
4         4         4.00   237
5         5         4.08   242
```

```
print("We cannot say education CAUSES trust differences - could be confounded by income, age, c
```

```
[1] "We cannot say education CAUSES trust differences - could be confounded by income, age, c
```