

# Week 3, Class 6: Practice Exercises

## Research Designs

2024-12-31

### 1 Non-AI Exercises

#### 1.1 1. Understanding Research Designs

##### 1.1.1 1.1 Match: Research Design Types

Match each research design with its key characteristic:

**Designs:** a) Experimental b) Natural experiment c) Cross-sectional d) Panel/Longitudinal

**Characteristics:** 1. Observes the same units over multiple time periods 2. Researcher controls random assignment 3. Takes advantage of external events for quasi-random assignment 4. Observes many units at a single point in time

Matches: a = \_\_\_\_\_, b = \_\_\_\_\_, c = \_\_\_\_\_, d = \_\_\_\_\_

##### 1.1.2 1.2 Multiple Choice: Random Assignment

What is the main advantage of random assignment in experiments?

- a) It makes the study cheaper to conduct
- b) It ensures treatment and control groups are similar on average
- c) It guarantees everyone benefits from treatment
- d) It eliminates the need for statistical analysis

Answer: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.1.3 1.3 True or False: Research Designs

Mark each statement as True (T) or False (F):

\_\_\_\_\_ Experiments always require a laboratory setting \_\_\_\_\_ Natural experiments rely on events outside researcher control \_\_\_\_\_ Cross-sectional data can show individual change over time \_\_\_\_\_ Panel data follows the same people over multiple periods \_\_\_\_\_ Observational studies can never establish causation

## 1.2 2. Natural Experiments

### 1.2.1 2.1 Fill in the Blanks: Natural Experiments

Natural experiments occur when:

1. An external \_\_\_\_\_ creates quasi-random assignment
2. The researcher does not \_\_\_\_\_ the treatment
3. Groups become comparable by \_\_\_\_\_
4. We can compare \_\_\_\_\_ and control groups
5. The assignment process is \_\_\_\_\_ to political outcomes

Word bank: event, control, chance, treatment, unrelated

### 1.2.2 2.2 Code Detective: Research Design

What type of research design does this analysis suggest?

```
data %>%  
  filter(distance_to_border < 10) %>%  
  mutate(treatment = ifelse(state == "Legal_Marijuana", 1, 0)) %>%  
  group_by(treatment) %>%  
  summarise(avg_crime = mean(crime_rate))
```

This code suggests a: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.2.3 2.3 Multiple Choice: Lottery Draft

The Vietnam draft lottery is a good natural experiment because:

- a) Researchers controlled who was drafted
- b) Birth dates were randomly assigned to draft numbers
- c) Everyone wanted to avoid the draft
- d) It only affected men

Answer: \_\_\_\_\_

## 1.3 3. Cross-sectional vs Longitudinal Data

### 1.3.1 3.1 Match: Data Structure

Match each scenario with the appropriate data type:

**Scenarios:** a) Survey 1000 voters on election day b) Track 500 families' income for 10 years c) Poll different people each month about approval d) Interview same legislators every session

**Data Types:** 1. Cross-sectional 2. Panel/Longitudinal 3. Repeated cross-sections 4. Time series panel

Matches: a = \_\_\_\_\_, b = \_\_\_\_\_, c = \_\_\_\_\_, d = \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.3.2 3.2 Fill in the Code: Panel Data

Complete this code to analyze panel data:

```
panel_data %>%  
  group_by(_____) %>% # Group by individual  
  arrange(_____) %>% # Sort by time  
  mutate(  
    income_change = income - lag(_____)  
  )
```

### 1.3.3 3.3 Spot the Error: Research Design

What's wrong with this conclusion?

“We surveyed 1000 people and found that older people vote more. Therefore, as people age, they become more likely to vote.”

Problem: \_\_\_\_\_

## 1.4 4. Validity and Limitations

### 1.4.1 4.1 Multiple Choice: Internal Validity

A study has high internal validity when:

- a) Results apply to many different contexts
- b) We can confidently attribute effects to the treatment
- c) The sample size is very large
- d) It uses advanced statistical methods

Answer: \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.4.2 4.2 Match: Validity Threats

Match each threat with its type:

**Threats:** a) Results only apply to college students b) Something else caused the outcome c) People dropped out of the study d) Treatment and control groups were different

**Types:** 1. Selection bias 2. External validity 3. Attrition 4. Confounding

Matches: a = \_\_\_\_\_, b = \_\_\_\_\_, c = \_\_\_\_\_, d = \_\_\_\_\_

### 1.4.3 4.3 True or False: Research Tradeoffs

Mark each statement as True (T) or False (F):

\_\_\_\_\_ Lab experiments have high internal validity but may lack realism \_\_\_\_\_ Natural experiments always have perfect random assignment \_\_\_\_\_ Large samples guarantee causal inference \_\_\_\_\_ Field experiments balance control and realism \_\_\_\_\_ Observational studies are always inferior to experiments

## 2 AI Exercises

**Tips for Working with Claude:**

- Ask for **R code using only tidyverse** (no other packages)
- Request **simple, focused answers** to your specific question—not complex analyses
- Ask Claude to **explain what the code is doing** since you're learning
- Avoid asking for visualizations or plots in these exercises
- Include the output of `glimpse()` in your prompt so Claude knows your variable names

**Example prompt:** “Using tidyverse in R, compare the mean poverty rate between counties where wage\_change is TRUE vs FALSE. Keep the code simple and explain what each line does. Here is what my data looks like: [paste glimpse output]”

For each AI exercise: - Work with Claude to analyze the data - Record your prompts and key findings

## 2.1 5. Analyzing a Natural Experiment

**Dataset:** border\_policy\_change.csv

**Description:** Data from counties where minimum wage laws differ.

**Variables:** - county\_fips: County FIPS code (int) - year: Year of observation (int) - unemployment\_rate: Unemployment percentage (dbl) - median\_income: Median household income (dbl) - gini\_index: Income inequality measure (dbl) - poverty\_rate: Poverty percentage (dbl) - pop\_density: Population density (dbl) - urban\_rural: Urban or rural classification (chr) - percent\_white: Percentage white population (dbl) - percent\_black: Percentage black population (dbl) - percent\_hispanic: Percentage hispanic population (dbl) - wage\_change: Whether minimum wage changed in that year (lgl)

### 2.1.1 5.1 Understanding the Natural Experiment

```
# Load the dataset
library(tidyverse)
```

Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 4.5.2

```
-- Attaching core tidyverse packages ----- tidyverse 2.0.0 --
v dplyr      1.1.4      v readr      2.1.5
v forcats    1.0.0      v stringr    1.5.2
v ggplot2    4.0.1      v tibble     3.3.0
v lubridate  1.9.4      v tidyr      1.3.1
v purrr      1.1.0

-- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
i Use the conflicted package (<http://conflicted.r-lib.org/>) to force all conflicts to become
```

```
border_data <- read_csv("border_policy_change.csv")
```

```
Rows: 500 Columns: 12
```

```
-- Column specification -----
```

```
Delimiter: ","
```

```
chr (1): urban_rural
```

```
dbl (10): county_fips, year, unemployment_rate, median_income, gini_index, p...
```

```
lgl (1): wage_change
```

```
i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
```

```
i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
```

```
# Examine the structure
```

```
glimpse(border_data)
```

```
Rows: 500
```

```
Columns: 12
```

```
$ county_fips      <dbl> 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4~
```

```
$ year            <dbl> 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021~
```

```
$ unemployment_rate <dbl> 7.365339, 11.196894, 3.519065, 9.348586, 5.821456, 7~
```

```
$ median_income    <dbl> 66804.75, 59666.68, 68788.24, 33449.04, 49137.52, 70~
```

```
$ gini_index       <dbl> 0.4983657, 0.4011999, 0.3540930, 0.3895261, 0.447380~
```

```
$ poverty_rate     <dbl> 15.582417, 13.355892, 8.278077, 12.625750, 10.510356~
```

```
$ pop_density      <dbl> 78.30621, 2323.19213, 217.33144, 33.25231, 10.00000,~
```

```
$ urban_rural      <chr> "Suburban", "Urban", "Suburban", "Urban", "Rural", "~
```

```
$ percent_white    <dbl> 59.04994, 82.20877, 75.29557, 69.55309, 38.29192, 53~
```

```
$ percent_black    <dbl> 16.095203, 37.405300, 38.099078, 34.732050, 37.14794~
```

```
$ percent_hispanic <dbl> 27.150750, 38.602322, 5.916968, 40.622173, 19.632986~
```

```
$ wage_change      <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, FALSE, FALS~
```

## 2.1.2 5.2 Implementing the Design

Ask Claude to help you: - Compute poverty rates and unemployment in treated and control counties

## 2.2 6. Working with Panel Data

**Dataset:** voter\_panel\_study.csv

**Description:** Panel survey following the same voters over multiple elections.

**Variables:** - `respondent_id`: Unique voter identifier (int) - `wave`: Panel wave number (int) - `age`: Age at time of survey (int) - `education`: Education level (chr) - `ideology`: Political ideology (chr) - `political_interest`: Interest in politics (int) - `social_media_use`: Social media usage (chr) - `participated_protest`: Whether participated in protest (lgl) - `protest_issue`: Issue of protest if participated (chr) - `voted_last_election`: Whether voted in last election (lgl)

## 2.2.1 6.1 Exploring Panel Structure

```
# Load the dataset
panel_data <- read_csv("voter_panel_study.csv")
```

```
Rows: 900 Columns: 10
-- Column specification -----
Delimiter: ","
chr (4): education, ideology, social_media_use, protest_issue
dbl (4): respondent_id, wave, age, political_interest
lgl (2): participated_protest, voted_last_election

i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
```

```
# Check the panel structure
glimpse(panel_data)
```

```
Rows: 900
Columns: 10
$ respondent_id    <dbl> 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6, 6~
$ wave            <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2~
$ age             <dbl> 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, 69, 70, 71, 3~
$ education       <chr> "Some College", "Some College", "Some College", "~
$ ideology        <chr> "Liberal", "Conservative", "Very Conservative", "~
$ political_interest <dbl> 9, 1, 7, 4, 2, 7, 9, 8, 8, 9, 2, 8, 4, 3, 10, 10,~
$ social_media_use <chr> "Never", "Always", "Sometimes", "Rarely", "Never"~
$ participated_protest <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, ~
$ protest_issue    <chr> NA, "Immigration", NA, NA, "Economy", NA, NA, NA,~
$ voted_last_election <lgl> TRUE, FALSE, TRUE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, TRUE~
```

## 2.2.2 6.2 Analyzing Change Over Time

Work with Claude to: - Track how individual respondents' ideology and political interest change across waves - Is average Analyze social media higher or lower for those who voted in the last election? - Identify voters who become more or less politically engaged over time based on voting behavior

## 2.3 7. Experimental Design Analysis

**Dataset:** gotv\_experiment.csv

**Description:** Get-out-the-vote field experiment data.

**Variables:** - `voter_id`: Voter identifier (int) - `treatment`: Treatment assignment (chr) - `age_group`: Age group category (chr) - `education`: Education level (chr) - `voted_2022`: Whether voted in 2022 (lgl)

### 2.3.1 7.1 Understanding the Experiment

```
# Load the dataset
gotv <- read_csv("gotv_experiment.csv")
```

Rows: 1000 Columns: 5

```
-- Column specification -----
Delimiter: ","
chr (3): treatment, age_group, education
dbl (1): voter_id
lgl (1): voted_2022
```

i Use ``spec()`` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.

i Specify the column types or set ``show_col_types = FALSE`` to quiet this message.

```
# Explore the experimental design
glimpse(gotv)
```

Rows: 1,000

Columns: 5

```
$ voter_id    <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, ~
$ treatment   <chr> "Control", "Mail", "Canvass", "Phone", "Control", "Phone", ~
$ age_group   <chr> "30-44", "65+", "30-44", "30-44", "65+", "18-29", "18-29", ~
```



```
$ education <chr> "Graduate", "High School", "Some College", "BA", "Graduate"~
$ voted_2022 <lgl> FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, TRUE, TRUE, FALSE, FALSE, FALSE, TRUE, ~
```

### 2.3.2 7.2 Analyzing Treatment Effects

Ask Claude to help you: - Calculate turnout rates by treatment group - Compare the mean turnout rate for each group to control. Which had the greatest effect on turnout?

## 2.4 8. Cross-Sectional Survey Analysis

**Dataset:** `political_attitudes_2024.csv`

**Description:** Large cross-sectional survey of political attitudes.

**Variables:** - `respondent_id`: Unique identifier (int) - `age`: Age in years (int) - `gender`: Gender identity (chr) - `race_ethnicity`: Race/ethnicity (chr) - `education`: Highest degree (chr) - `income_bracket`: Income bracket (chr) - `ideology`: Political ideology (chr) - `party_id`: Party identification (chr) - `trust_gov`: Trust in government (int) - `policy_support_env`: Environmental policy support (int) - `policy_support_guns`: Gun policy support (int)

### 2.4.1 8.1 Cross-Sectional Exploration

```
# Load the dataset
attitudes <- read_csv("political_attitudes_2024.csv")
```

Rows: 500 Columns: 11

```
-- Column specification -----
Delimiter: ","
```

```
chr (6): gender, race_ethnicity, education, income_bracket, ideology, party_id
```

```
dbl (5): respondent_id, age, trust_gov, policy_support_env, policy_support_guns
```

i Use ``spec()`` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.

i Specify the column types or set ``show_col_types = FALSE`` to quiet this message.

```
# Examine the data
glimpse(attitudes)
```

```

Rows: 500
Columns: 11
$ respondent_id      <dbl> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,~
$ age                <dbl> 69, 52, 50, 44, 25, 20, 27, 58, 42, 22, 63, 66, 22~
$ gender             <chr> "Female", "Female", "Male", "Female", "Male", "Fem~
$ race_ethnicity     <chr> "Hispanic", "Hispanic", "Black", "Hispanic", "Blac~
$ education          <chr> "Graduate", "Some College", "Graduate", "Less than~
$ income_bracket     <chr> "<$25k", "<$25k", "<$25k", "$25-50k", "$75-100k", ~
$ ideology           <chr> "Conservative", "Moderate", "Conservative", "Very ~
$ party_id           <chr> "Independent", "Republican", "Democrat", "Independ~
$ trust_gov          <dbl> 7, 4, 3, 5, 10, 0, 9, 7, 4, 3, 0, 5, 9, 7, 3, 1, 9~
$ policy_support_env <dbl> 4, 9, 9, 10, 2, 2, 4, 3, 9, 8, 6, 10, 4, 10, 7, 4,~
$ policy_support_guns <dbl> 10, 6, 1, 6, 3, 1, 8, 9, 9, 0, 5, 9, 6, 0, 2, 7, 1~

```

## 2.4.2 8.2 Limitations of Cross-Sectional Data

Work with Claude to: - Identify what questions this data can answer - Discuss what questions it cannot answer - Explore correlations vs causal claims