

• Direct consequence of advances in mass communication (especially TV) during the mid-twentieth century.

- Direct consequence of advances in mass communication (especially TV) during the mid-twentieth century.
- 4 debates between Kennedy and Nixon in 1960

- Direct consequence of advances in mass communication (especially TV) during the mid-twentieth century.
- 4 debates between Kennedy and Nixon in 1960
 - Open-seat election that was expected to be close.
 - Most TV viewers thought Kennedy won.
 - Single most important event in the 1960 campaign according to Nixon biographers.

- Direct consequence of advances in mass communication (especially TV) during the mid-twentieth century.
- 4 debates between Kennedy and Nixon in 1960
 - Open-seat election that was expected to be close.
 - Most TV viewers thought Kennedy won.
 - ▶ Single most important event in the 1960 campaign according to Nixon biographers.
- After 1960, no general-election debates until 1976 (Carter vs. Ford)
 - Nixon refused to participate again and nothing required him to.
 - Regular fixture since 1976.

Most debates get between 40–70 million TV viewers (not counting streaming)

- Most debates get between 40–70 million TV viewers (not counting streaming)
- Since 1987, organized by the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD), a bipartisan nonprofit

- Most debates get between 40–70 million TV viewers (not counting streaming)
- Since 1987, organized by the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD), a bipartisan nonprofit
- Purely voluntary; no legal requirements whatsoever

- Most debates get between 40–70 million TV viewers (not counting streaming)
- Since 1987, organized by the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD), a bipartisan nonprofit
- Purely voluntary; no legal requirements whatsoever
- Rules are whatever the candidates will agree to.

Why Are Presidential Debates For?

• **Debate organizers' perspective:** Informing voters about the candidates and where they stand on the issues.

Why Are Presidential Debates For?

- **Debate organizers' perspective:** Informing voters about the candidates and where they stand on the issues.
- Candidates' perspective: Persuading undecided voters and energizing existing supporters.

4/8

 Kennedy vs. Nixon may have influenced the vote choice of 4 million undecided voters (3 million for Kennedy)

- Kennedy vs. Nixon may have influenced the vote choice of 4 million undecided voters (3 million for Kennedy)
- Michael Dukakis may have lost to George H.W. Bush in 1988 in part because of a debate blunder.

5/8

- Kennedy vs. Nixon may have influenced the vote choice of 4 million undecided voters (3 million for Kennedy)
- Michael Dukakis may have lost to George H.W. Bush in 1988 in part because of a debate blunder.
- These are the exceptions, however.

- Kennedy vs. Nixon may have influenced the vote choice of 4 million undecided voters (3 million for Kennedy)
- Michael Dukakis may have lost to George H.W. Bush in 1988 in part because of a debate blunder.
- These are the exceptions, however.
- Best to view any debate as a single event in a larger campaign.

Things Social Scientists Think We Know About Presidential Debates

- 1) Debates are pretty effective at teaching voters about the candidates.
 - Most learning happens during the first debate you watch.
 - Can change the issues that viewers think are important.

Things Social Scientists Think We Know About Presidential Debates

- 1) Debates are pretty effective at teaching voters about the candidates.
 - Most learning happens during the first debate you watch.
 - ▶ Can change the issues that viewers think are important.
- People who watch debates on TV pay more attention to the candidates' personalities.
 - May be more likely to vote based on personality traits.

Things Social Scientists Think We Know About Presidential Debates

- 1) Debates are pretty effective at teaching voters about the candidates.
 - Most learning happens during the first debate you watch.
 - ▶ Can change the issues that viewers think are important.
- People who watch debates on TV pay more attention to the candidates' personalities.
 - May be more likely to vote based on personality traits.
- 3) Debate performances are more likely to strengthen existing voting preferences than to change them.
 - Partisanship is a filter
 - Few undecided voters left

 CPD announced it will mute microphones to prevent interruptions during initial responses to questions.

- CPD announced it will mute microphones to prevent interruptions during initial responses to questions.
- Supreme Court nominee hearings held, expected to pass.

- CPD announced it will mute microphones to prevent interruptions during initial responses to questions.
- Supreme Court nominee hearings held, expected to pass.
- Biden's polling advantage over Trump has increased modestly.

- CPD announced it will mute microphones to prevent interruptions during initial responses to questions.
- Supreme Court nominee hearings held, expected to pass.
- Biden's polling advantage over Trump has increased modestly.
 - Biden is +7.7% in who people plan to vote for according to the latest averages.
 - Trump's approval rating has dropped by about a point.
 - Numbers have rebounded somewhat for Trump in the past week or so.

Sources

- Benoit, William L., Glenn J. Hansen, and Rebecca M. Verser. 2003. "A Meta-analysis of the Effects of Viewing U.S. Presidential Debates." Communications Monographs 70(4): 335-350.
- Geer, John. 1988. "The Effects of Presidential Debates on the Electorate's Preferences for Candidates." American Politics Research 16(4): 486–501.
- Holbrook, Thomas M. 1999. "Political Learning from Presidential Debates." Political Behavior 21(1): 67-89.
- McKinney, Mitchell S, and Benjamin R. Warner. 2013. "Do Presidential Debates Matter? Examining a Decade of Campaign Debate Effects." Argumentation and Advocacy 49(4): 238-258.
- Warner, Benjamin R., and Mitchell S. McKinney. 2013. "To Unite and Divide: The Polarizing Effect of Presidential Debates." Communication Studies 64(5): 508-527.