### FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM FOR REGULAR PRIMES

# Contents

| 1.         | Introduction                            | 1 |
|------------|---|---|
| 2.         | Discriminants of number fields          | 1 |
| 3.         | Cyclotomic fields                       | 3 |
| 4.         | Fermats Last Theorem for regular primes | 4 |
| References |   | 6 |

### 1. Introduction

We prove Fermat's Last Theorem for regular primes and give some of the necessary background. It uses [Sam70, Mar18, Was82].

#### 2. Discriminants of number fields

**Lemma 2.1.** Let K be a number field,  $\alpha \in K$  and let  $\sigma_i$  be the embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i} \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

*Proof.* The proof is standard.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let K be a number field,  $\alpha \in K$  and let  $\sigma_i$  be the embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{i} \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

*Proof.* The proof is standard.

**Definition 2.3.** Let A, K be commutative rings with K and A-algebra. let  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  be a set of elements in K. The discriminant of B is defined

$$\Delta(B) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_1b_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_1b_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_nb_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_nb_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let L/K be an extension of fields and let  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  be a K-basis of L. Then  $\Delta(B) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is standard.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let K be a number field and B, B' bases for  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . If P denotes the change of basis matrix, then

$$\Delta(B) = \det(P)^2 \Delta(B').$$

*Proof.* The proof is standard.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let K be a number field with basis  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  and let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  be the embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Now let M be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(b_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(b_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Delta(B) = \det(M)^2.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 we know that  $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(b_ib_j) = \sum_k \sigma_k(b_i)\sigma_k(b_j)$  which is the same as the (i,j) entry of  $M^tM$ . Therefore

$$\det(T_B) = \det(M^t M) = \det(M)^2.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let K be a number field and  $B = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  for some  $\alpha \in K$ . Then

$$\Delta(B) = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(\alpha) - \sigma_j(\alpha))^2$$

where  $\sigma_i$  are the embeddings of K into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Here  $\Delta(B)$  denotes the discriminant.

*Proof.* First we recall a classical linear algebra result relating to the Vandermonde matrix, which states that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j).$$

Combining this with Proposition 2.6 gives the result.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let f be a monic irreducible polynomial over a number field K and let  $\alpha$  be one of its roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$f'(\alpha) = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta),$$

where the product is over the roots of f different from  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* We first write  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  which we can do (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) as  $\alpha$  is a root of f, where now  $g(x) = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)$ . Differentiating we get

$$f'(x) = g(x) + (x - \alpha)g'(x).$$

If we now evaluate at  $\alpha$  we get the result.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be a number field with  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$  and let  $B = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ . Then

$$\Delta(B) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\alpha}(\alpha))$$

where  $m'_{\alpha}$  is the derivative of  $m_{\alpha}(x)$  (which we recall denotes the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ ).

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.7 we have  $\Delta(B)=\prod_{i< j}(\alpha_i-\alpha_j)^2$  where  $\alpha_k:=\sigma_k(\alpha)$ . Next, we note that the number of terms in this product is  $1+2+\cdots+(n-1)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ . So if we write each term as  $(\alpha_i-\alpha_j)^2=-(\alpha_i-\alpha_j)(\alpha_j-\alpha_i)$  we get

$$\Delta(B) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j).$$

Now, by lemmas 2.8 and 2.2 we see that

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\alpha}(\alpha)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} m'_{\alpha}(\alpha_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j),$$

which gives the result.

**Lemma 2.10.** If K is a number field and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  then  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . *Proof.* The proof is standard.

**Lemma 2.11.** If K is a number field and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  then  $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is standard.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.12.** Let K be a number field and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$  be elements in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\Delta(B) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Immediate by 2.11.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be a number field, where  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer. Let  $B = \{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]-1}\}$  be the basis given by  $\alpha$  and let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\Delta(B)x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

*Proof.* See the Lean proof.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be a number field, where  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial that is Eisenstein at p. Let  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $p^n x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some n. Then  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

*Proof.* See the Lean proof.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Cyclotomic fields

**Lemma 3.1.** For n any integer,  $\Phi_n$  (the n-th cyclotomic polynomial) is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $\varphi(n)$  (where  $\varphi$  is Euler's Totient function).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\zeta_p$  be a p-th root of unity for p an odd prime, let  $\lambda_p = 1 - \zeta_p$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_p]$  moreover

$$\Delta(\{1,\zeta_p,\ldots,\zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = \Delta(\{1,\lambda_p,\ldots,\lambda_p^{p-2}\}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}}p^{p-2}.$$

*Proof.* First note  $[K:\mathbb{Q}]=p-1$ .

Since  $\zeta_p = 1 - \lambda_p$  we at once get  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_p]$  (just do double inclusion). Next, let  $\alpha_i = \sigma_i(\zeta_p)$  denote the conjugates of  $\zeta_p$ , which is the same as the image of  $\zeta_p$  under one of the embeddings  $\sigma_i : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \to \mathbb{C}$ . Now by Proposition 2.7 we have

$$\Delta(\{1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = \prod_{i < j} ((1 - \alpha_i) - (1 - \alpha_j))^2$$
$$= \Delta(\{1, \lambda_p, \dots, \lambda_p^{p-2}\})$$

Now, by Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\Delta(\{1,\zeta_p,\cdots,\zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_p'(\zeta_p))$$

Since p is odd  $(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}}$ . Next, we see that

$$\Phi_p'(x) = \frac{px^{p-1}(x-1) - (x^p - 1)}{(x-1)^2}$$

therefore

$$\Phi_p'(\zeta_p) = -\frac{p\zeta_p^{p-1}}{\lambda_p}.$$

Lastly, note that  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_p) = 1$ , since this is the constant term in its minimal polynomial. Similarly, we see  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda_p) = p$ . Putting this all together, we get

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_p'(\zeta_p)) = \frac{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p)N_{K\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_p)^{p-1}}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(-\lambda_p)} = (-1)^{p-1}p^{p-2} = p^{p-2}$$

So the last thing we need to prove is that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . From the calculation we just did, the only prime dividing the discriminant is p, therefore Lemma 2.13 tells us the only prime we need to check is p. But from Lemma 2.14 we know that dividing by p wont give us any new integral elements, so this must be an integral basis which give the result.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic integer all of whose conjugates have absolute value one. Then  $\alpha$  is a root of unity.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let p be a prime,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$   $\alpha \in K$  such that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha^n = 1$ , then  $\alpha = \pm \zeta_p^k$  for some k.

*Proof.* If n is different to p then K contains a 2pn-th root of unity. Therefore  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2pn}) \subset K$ , but this cannot happen as  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p-1$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2pn}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(2np)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Any unit u in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  can be written in the form  $\beta \zeta_p^k$  with k an integer and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let p be a prime and  $n = p^k$ . Then

$$p = u(1 - \zeta_n)^{\varphi(n)}$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]^{\times}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** Let R be a Dedekind domain, p a prime and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  ideals such that

$$\mathfrak{ah} = \mathfrak{c}^p$$

and suppose  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are coprime. Then there exist ideals  $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{d}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{e}^p \qquad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}^p \qquad \mathfrak{e}\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}$$

#### 4. Fermats Last Theorem for regular primes

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $p \geq 5$  be an prime number,  $\zeta_p$  a p-th root of unity and  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprime.

For  $i \neq j$  we can write

$$(\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j) = u(1 - \zeta_p)$$

with u a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . From this it follows that the ideals

$$(x+y), (x+\zeta_p y), (x+\zeta_n^2 y), \dots, (x+\zeta_n^{p-1} y)$$

are pairwise coprime.

Proof. Lemma 3.6 gives that u is a unit. So all that needs to be proved is that the ideals are coprime. Assume not, then for some  $i \neq j$  we have some prime ideal  $\mathfrak p$  dividing by  $(x+y\zeta_p^i)$  and  $(x+y\zeta_p^j)$ . It must then also divide their sum and their difference, so we must have  $\mathfrak p|(1-\zeta_p)$  or  $\mathfrak p|y$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak p$  divides  $\zeta_p^j(x+y\zeta_p^i)-\zeta_p^i(x+y\zeta_p^j)$  so  $\mathfrak p$  divides x or  $(1-\zeta_p)$ . We can't have  $\mathfrak p$  dividing x,y since they are coprime, therefore  $\mathfrak p|(1-\zeta_p)$ . We know that since  $(1-\zeta_p)$  has norm p it must be a prime ideal, so  $\mathfrak p=(1-\zeta_p)$ . Now, note that  $x+y\equiv x+y\zeta_+p^i\equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak p$ . But since  $x,y\in\mathbb Z$  this means we would have  $x+y\equiv 0 \pmod p$ , which implies  $z^p\equiv 0 \pmod p$  which contradicts our assumptions.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let p be an prime number,  $\zeta_p$  a p-th root of unity and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Then  $\alpha^p$  is congruent to an integer modulo p.

*Proof.* Just use  $(x+y)^p \equiv x^p + y^p \pmod{p}$  and that  $\zeta_p$  is a p-th root of unity.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $p \geq 5$  be an prime number,  $\zeta_p$  a p-th root of unity and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . If there is an integer n such that  $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ , then n divides each  $a_i$ .

*Proof.* Looking at  $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\zeta_p + \cdots + a_{p-1}\zeta_p^{p-1}$ , if one of the  $a_i$ 's is zero and  $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ , then  $\alpha/n = \sum_i a_i/n\zeta_p^i$ . Now, as  $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ , pick the basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  which does not contain  $\zeta_p$  (which is possible as any subset of  $\{1, \zeta_p, \ldots, \zeta_p^{p-1}\}$  with p-1 elements forms a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .). Then  $\alpha = \sum_i b_i \zeta_p^i$  where  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore comparing coefficients, we get the result.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $p \geq 5$  be an prime number,  $\zeta_p$  a p-th root of unity and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Suppose that  $x + y\zeta_p^i = u\alpha^p$  with  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Then there is an integer k such that

$$x+y\zeta_p^i-\zeta_p^{2k}x-\zeta_p^{2k-i}y\equiv 0\pmod p.$$

*Proof.* Using lemma 3.5 we have  $(x+y\zeta_p^i)=\beta\zeta_p^k\alpha^p$  which is equivalent to  $\beta\zeta_p^ka\pmod p$  with a and integer 4.2). Now, if we consider the complex conjugate we have  $\overline{(x+y\zeta_p^i)}\equiv\beta\zeta_p^{-k}a\pmod p$ . Looking at  $(x+y\zeta_p^i)-\zeta_p^{2k}\overline{(x+y\zeta_p^i)}$  then gives the result.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $p \geq 5$  be an prime number,  $\zeta_p$  a p-th root of unity and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ . Assume that we have  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\gcd(xyz, p) = 1$  and such that

$$x^p + y^p = z^p.$$

Then without loss of generality, we may assume x, y, z are pairwise coprime and

$$x \not\equiv y \mod p$$
.

*Proof.* The first part is easy.

Reducing modulo p, using Fermat's little theorem, you get that if  $x \equiv y \equiv -z \pmod{p}$  then  $3z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But since p > 3 this means p|z but this contradicts  $\gcd(xyz,p) = 1$ . Now, if  $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$  then  $x \not\equiv -z \pmod{p}$  we can relabel y, z so that wlog  $x \not\equiv y$  (this uses that p is odd).

**Definition 4.6.** A prime number p is called regular if it does not divide the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and gcd(xyz, p) = 1.

*Proof.* First thing is to note that if  $x^p + y^p = z^p$  then

$$z^p = (x+y)(x+\zeta_p y)\cdots(x+y\zeta_p^{p-1})$$

as ideals. Then since by 4.1 we know the ideals are coprime, then by lemma 3.7 we have that each  $(x+y\zeta_p^i)=\mathfrak{a}^p$ , for  $\mathfrak{a}$  some ideal. Note that,  $[\mathfrak{a}^p]=1$  in the class group. Now, since p does not divide the size of the class group we have that  $[\mathfrak{a}]=1$  in the class group, so its principal. So we have  $x+y\zeta_p^i=u_i\alpha_i^p$  with  $u_i$  a unit. So by 4.4 we have some k such that  $x+y\zeta_p-\zeta_p^{2k}x-\zeta_p^{2k-1}\equiv 0\pmod{p}$ . If  $1,\zeta_p,\zeta_p^{2k},\zeta_p^{2k-1}$  are distinct, then 4.3 (which uses that p>3) says that p divides x,y, contrary to our assumption. So they cannot be distinct, but checking each case leads to a contradiction, therefore there cannot be any such solutions.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let p be a regular prime and let  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$ . If  $u^p \equiv a \mod p$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then there exists  $v \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$  such that  $u = v^p$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and p|xyz.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $xyz \neq 0$ .

# REFERENCES

- [Mar18] Daniel A. Marcus. Number fields. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2018. Second edition of [MR0457396], With a foreword by Barry Mazur.
- [Sam70] Pierre Samuel. Algebraic theory of numbers. Translated from the French by Allan J. Silberger. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Mass., 1970.
- [Was82] Lawrence C. Washington. Introduction to cyclotomic fields, volume 83 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.