FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM FOR REGULAR PRIMES

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Discriminants of number fields	1
3.	Cyclotomic fields	3
4.	Fermats Last Theorem for regular primes	5
References		7

1. Introduction

We prove Fermat's Last Theorem for regular primes and give some of the necessary background. It uses [Sam70, Mar18, Was82].

2. Discriminants of number fields

We recall basic facts about the discriminant.

Lemma 2.1. Let K be a number field, $\alpha \in K$ and let σ_i be the embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i} \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

Proof. The proof is standard.

Lemma 2.2. Let K be a number field, $\alpha \in K$ and let σ_i be the embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} . Then

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{i} \sigma_i(\alpha)$$

Proof. The proof is standard.

Definition 2.3. Let A, K be commutative rings with K and A-algebra. let $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ be a set of elements in K. The discriminant of B is defined

$$\Delta(B) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_1b_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_1b_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_nb_1) & \cdots & \operatorname{Tr}_{K/A}(b_nb_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let L/K be an extension of fields and let $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ be a K-basis of L. Then $\Delta(B) \neq 0$.

Proof. The proof is standard.

Lemma 2.5. Let K be a number field and B, B' bases for K/\mathbb{Q} . If P denotes the change of basis matrix, then

$$\Delta(B) = \det(P)^2 \Delta(B').$$

Proof. The proof is standard.

Lemma 2.6. Let K be a number field with basis $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ and let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ be the embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} . Now let M be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(b_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(b_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(b_1) & \cdots & \sigma_n(b_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Delta(B) = \det(M)^2.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we know that $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(b_ib_j) = \sum_k \sigma_k(b_i)\sigma_k(b_j)$ which is the same as the (i,j) entry of M^tM . Therefore

$$\det(T_B) = \det(M^t M) = \det(M)^2.$$

Lemma 2.7. Let K be a number field and $B = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Then

$$\Delta(B) = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(\alpha) - \sigma_j(\alpha))^2$$

where σ_i are the embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} . Here $\Delta(B)$ denotes the discriminant.

Proof. First we recall a classical linear algebra result relating to the Vandermonde matrix, which states that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j).$$

Combining this with Proposition 2.6 gives the result.

Lemma 2.8. Let f be a monic irreducible polynomial over a number field K and let α be one of its roots in \mathbb{C} . Then

$$f'(\alpha) = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta),$$

where the product is over the roots of f different from α .

Proof. We first write $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$ which we can do (over \mathbb{C}) as α is a root of f, where now $g(x) = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)$. Differentiating we get

$$f'(x) = g(x) + (x - \alpha)g'(x).$$

If we now evaluate at α we get the result.

Lemma 2.9. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field with $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and let $B = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$. Then

$$\Delta(B) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\alpha}(\alpha))$$

where m'_{α} is the derivative of $m_{\alpha}(x)$ (which we recall denotes the minimal polynomial of α).

Proof. By Proposition 2.7 we have $\Delta(B) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$ where $\alpha_k := \sigma_k(\alpha)$. Next, we note that the number of terms in this product is $1 + 2 + \cdots + (n-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. So if we write each term as $(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = -(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ we get

$$\Delta(B) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j).$$

Now, by lemmas 2.8 and 2.2 we see that

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\alpha}(\alpha)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} m'_{\alpha}(\alpha_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j),$$

which gives the result.

Lemma 2.10. If K is a number field and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ then $N_{K/\mathbb{O}}(\alpha)$ is in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. The proof is standard. \Box

Lemma 2.11. If K is a number field and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ then $\operatorname{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ is in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. The proof is standard.

Lemma 2.12. Let K be a number field and $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ be elements in \mathcal{O}_K , then $\Delta(B) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Immediate by 2.11.

Lemma 2.13. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field, where α is an algebraic integer. Let $B = \{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]-1}\}$ be the basis given by α and let $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $\Delta(B)x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

Proof. See the Lean proof.

Lemma 2.14. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field, where α is an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial that is Eisenstein at p. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $p^n x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ for some n. Then $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

Proof. See the Lean proof. \Box

3. Cyclotomic fields

Lemma 3.1. For n any integer, Φ_n (the n-th cyclotomic polynomial) is a polynomial of degree $\varphi(n)$ (where φ is Euler's Totient function).

Proof. The proof is classical. \Box

Lemma 3.2. For n any integer, Φ_n (the n-th cyclotomic polynomial) is an irreducible polynomial.

Proof. The proof is classical. \Box

Proposition 3.3. Let ζ_p be a p-th root of unity for p an odd prime, let $\lambda_p = 1 - \zeta_p$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Then

$$\Delta(\{1,\zeta_p,\ldots,\zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = \Delta(\{1,\lambda_p,\ldots,\lambda_p^{p-2}\}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}}p^{p-2}.$$

Proof. First note $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$.

Since $\zeta_p = 1 - \lambda_p$ we at once get $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_p]$ (just do double inclusion). Next, let $\alpha_i = \sigma_i(\zeta_p)$ denote the conjugates of ζ_p , which is the same as the image of ζ_p under one of the embeddings $\sigma_i : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \to \mathbb{C}$. Now by Proposition 2.7 we have

$$\Delta(\{1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = \prod_{i < j} ((1 - \alpha_i) - (1 - \alpha_j))^2$$
$$= \Delta(\{1, \lambda_p, \dots, \lambda_p^{p-2}\})$$

Now, by Proposition 2.9, we have

$$\Delta(\{1,\zeta_p,\cdots,\zeta_p^{p-2}\}) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_p'(\zeta_p))$$

Since p is odd $(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)}{2}}$. Next, we see that

$$\Phi_p'(x) = \frac{px^{p-1}(x-1) - (x^p - 1)}{(x-1)^2}$$

therefore

$$\Phi_p'(\zeta_p) = -\frac{p\zeta_p^{p-1}}{\lambda_p}.$$

Lastly, note that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_p) = 1$, since this is the constant term in its minimal polynomial. Similarly, we see $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda_p) = p$. Putting this all together, we get

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\Phi_p'(\zeta_p)) = \frac{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p)N_{K\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_p)^{p-1}}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(-\lambda_p)} = (-1)^{p-1}p^{p-2} = p^{p-2}$$

Theorem 3.4. Let ζ_p be a p-th root of unity for p an odd prime, let $\lambda_p = 1 - \zeta_p$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda_p]$.

Proof. We need to prove is that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. The inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ is obvious. Let now $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$. By Lemma 2.13 and Proposition 3.3, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^k x \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. We conclude by Lemma 2.14.

Lemma 3.5. Let α be an algebraic integer all of whose conjugates have absolute value one. Then α is a root of unity.

Lemma 3.6. Let p be a prime, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ $\alpha \in K$ such that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^n = 1$, then $\alpha = \pm \zeta_n^k$ for some k.

Proof. If n is different to p then K contains a 2pn-th root of unity. Therefore $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2pn}) \subset K$, but this cannot happen as $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = p-1$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2pn}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(2np)$.

Lemma 3.7. Any unit u in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ can be written in the form $\beta \zeta_p^k$ with k an integer and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let p be a prime and $n = p^k$. Then

$$p = u(1 - \zeta_n)^{\varphi(n)}$$

where $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]^{\times}$.

Lemma 3.9. Let R be a Dedekind domain, p a prime and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ ideals such that

$$\mathfrak{ab} = \mathfrak{c}^p$$

and suppose $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ are coprime. Then there exist ideals $\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{d}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{e}^p \qquad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}^p \qquad \mathfrak{ed} = \mathfrak{c}$$

Proof. It follows from the unique decomposition of ideals in a Dedekind domain.

4. Fermats Last Theorem for regular primes

Lemma 4.1. Let $p \geq 5$ be an prime number, ζ_p a p-th root of unity and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime.

For $i \neq j$ we can write

$$(\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j) = u(1 - \zeta_p)$$

with u a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. From this it follows that the ideals

$$(x+y), (x+\zeta_p y), (x+\zeta_p^2 y), \dots, (x+\zeta_p^{p-1} y)$$

are pairwise coprime.

Proof. Lemma 3.8 gives that u is a unit. So all that needs to be proved is that the ideals are coprime. Assume not, then for some $i \neq j$ we have some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} dividing by $(x+y\zeta_p^i)$ and $(x+y\zeta_p^j)$. It must then also divide their sum and their difference, so we must have $\mathfrak{p}|(1-\zeta_p)$ or $\mathfrak{p}|y$. Similarly, \mathfrak{p} divides $\zeta_p^{\mathfrak{I}}(x+y\zeta_p^i)-\zeta_p^i(x+y\zeta_p^{\mathfrak{I}})$ so \mathfrak{p} divides x or $(1-\zeta_p)$. We can't have \mathfrak{p} dividing x, y since they are coprime, therefore $\mathfrak{p}|(1-\zeta_p)$. We know that since $(1-\zeta_p)$ has norm p it must be a prime ideal, so $\mathfrak{p}=(1-\zeta_p)$. Now, note that $x + y \equiv x + y\zeta_+p^i \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{p}$. But since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ this means we would have $x + y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which implies $z^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ which contradicts our assumptions.

Lemma 4.2. Let p be an prime number, ζ_p a p-th root of unity and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Then α^p is congruent to an integer modulo p.

Proof. Just use $(x+y)^p \equiv x^p + y^p \pmod{p}$ and that ζ_p is a p-th root of

Lemma 4.3. Let $p \geq 5$ be an prime number, ζ_p a p-th root of unity and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. If there is an integer n such that $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, then n divides each

Proof. Looking at $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\zeta_p + \cdots + a_{p-1}\zeta_p^{p-1}$, if one of the a_i 's is zero and $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, then $\alpha/n = \sum_i a_i/n\zeta_p^i$. Now, as $\alpha/n \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, pick the basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ which does not contain ζ_p (which is possible as any subset of $\{1,\zeta_p,\ldots,\zeta_p^{p-1}\}$ with p-1 elements forms a basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$.). Then $\alpha=$ $\sum_i b_i \zeta_p^i$ where $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore comparing coefficients, we get the result.

Lemma 4.4. Let $p \geq 5$ be an prime number, ζ_p a p-th root of unity and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Suppose that $x + y\zeta_p^i = u\alpha^p$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. Then there is an integer k such that

$$x + y\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^{2k}x - \zeta_p^{2k-i}y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Using lemma 3.7 we have $(x + y\zeta_p^i) = \beta \zeta_p^k \alpha^p$ which is equivalent to $\beta \zeta_p^k a \pmod{p}$ with a and integer 4.2). Now, if we consider the complex conjugate we have $\overline{(x + y\zeta_p^i)} \equiv \beta \zeta_p^{-k} a \pmod{p}$. Looking at $(x + y\zeta_p^i) - \zeta_p^{2k} \overline{(x + y\zeta_p^i)}$ then gives the result.

Lemma 4.5. Let $p \geq 5$ be an prime number, ζ_p a p-th root of unity and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Assume that we have $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with gcd(xyz, p) = 1 and such that

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$
.

Then without loss of generality, we may assume x, y, z are pairwise coprime and

$$x \not\equiv y \mod p$$
.

Proof. The first part is easy.

Reducing modulo p, using Fermat's little theorem, you get that if $x \equiv y \equiv -z \pmod{p}$ then $3z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. But since p > 3 this means p|z but this contradicts $\gcd(xyz,p) = 1$. Now, if $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$ then $x \not\equiv -z \pmod{p}$ we can relabel y,z so that wlog $x \not\equiv y$ (this uses that p is odd).

Definition 4.6. A prime number p is called regular if it does not divide the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

Theorem 4.7. Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and gcd(xyz, p) = 1.

Proof. First thing is to note that if $x^p + y^p = z^p$ then

$$z^p = (x+y)(x+\zeta_p y)\cdots(x+y\zeta_p^{p-1})$$

as ideals. Then since by 4.1 we know the ideals are coprime, then by lemma 3.9 we have that each $(x+y\zeta_p^i)=\mathfrak{a}^p$, for \mathfrak{a} some ideal. Note that, $[\mathfrak{a}^p]=1$ in the class group. Now, since p does not divide the size of the class group we have that $[\mathfrak{a}]=1$ in the class group, so its principal. So we have $x+y\zeta_p^i=u_i\alpha_i^p$ with u_i a unit. So by 4.4 we have some k such that $x+y\zeta_p-\zeta_p^{2k}x-\zeta_p^{2k-1}\equiv 0\pmod{p}$. If $1,\zeta_p,\zeta_p^{2k},\zeta_p^{2k-1}$ are distinct, then 4.3 (which uses that p>3) says that p divides x,y, contrary to our assumption. So they cannot be distinct, but checking each case leads to a contradiction, therefore there cannot be any such solutions.

Theorem 4.8. Let p be a regular prime and let $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$. If $u^p \equiv a \mod p$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists $v \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]^{\times}$ such that $u = v^p$.

Theorem 4.9. Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p|xyz.

Theorem 4.10. Let p be an odd regular prime. Then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p$$

has no solutions with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $xyz \neq 0$.

References

- [Mar18] Daniel A. Marcus. Number fields. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2018. Second edition of [MR0457396], With a foreword by Barry Mazur.
- [Sam70] Pierre Samuel. Algebraic theory of numbers. Translated from the French by Allan J. Silberger. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston, Mass., 1970.
- $[Was 82] \ Lawrence \ C. \ Washington. \ Introduction \ to \ cyclotomic \ fields, \ volume \ 83 \ of \ Graduate \\ Texts \ in \ Mathematics. \ Springer-Verlag, \ New \ York, \ 1982.$