1. Existence of Ring Completion

Proof of Existence of Definition ??.

The existence of a ring completion is shown through an explicit construction. Take any commutative semiring with additive cancellation $(S, +, \cdot)$ and consider the equivalence relation \sim on $S \times S$ defined as follows: for (a_1, b_1) , (a_2, b_2) in $S \times S$, then let $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ if $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$. The aim is to make the set of equivalence classes under \sim into a ring.

First, define the additive operation + by

$$[(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(a+c,b+d)]$$

Next, define the multiplicative operation \cdot by

$$[(a,b)] \cdot [(c,d)] = [(ac+bd, ad+bc)]$$

This proof aims to verify that the set of equivalence classes $S \times S / \sim$ paired with the operations $(+,\cdot)$ forms a commutative ring that is a ring completion of S.

It must be verified that the additive operation is well defined, so consider elements (a_1, b_1) , (a_2, b_2) , (c_1, d_1) , (c_2, d_2) in $S \times S$ such that $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ and $(c_1, d_1) \sim (c_2, d_2)$. Then, I claim that $(a_1 + c_1, b_1 + d_1) \sim (a_2 + c_2, b_2 + d_2)$. Indeed, this satisfies the definition of the equivalence relation, for

$$(a_1 + c_1) + (b_2 + d_2) = (a_1 + b_2) + (c_1 + d_2)$$

= $(a_2 + b_1) + (c_2 + d_1) = (a_2 + c_2) + (b_1 + d_1)$

where the above computation used the substitutions $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$ and $c_1 + d_2 = c_2 + d_1$ promised by the relations $(m_1, m_2) \sim (m'_1, m'_2)$ and $(l_1, l_2) \sim (l'_1, l'_2)$. This confirms that + is well-defined on $(S \times S) / \sim$.

The transitivity and commutativity of + on the equivalence classes follows immediately from the commutativity and transitivity of the operation + on S.

Next, note that the additive identity in $(S \times S)/\sim$ is given by [(0,0)] where 0 denotes the identity element in S. Indeed, we have [(a,b)]+[(0,0)]=[(a,b)] for any element [(a,b)].

The proposed ring has an inverse mapping for the addition operation. Consider an element [(a,b)]. Then, I claim the element [(b,a)] forms the desired inverse. To see this, consider the sum [(a+b,b+a)] and note that (a+b)+0=0+(b+a), which shows [(a+b,b+a)]=[(0,0)].

It must be verified that the multiplicative operation is well-defined before verifying any further properties. Consider the elements $(a_1, b_1) \sim (a_2, b_2)$ and $(c_1, d_1) \sim (c_2, d_2)$ in $S \times S$. It then must be verified that $(a_1c_1 + b_1d_1, a_1d_1 + b_1c_1) \sim (a_2c_2 + b_2d_2, a_2d_2 + b_2c_2)$. To accomplish this, consider the following $M_1, M_2 \in S$:

$$M_1 = c_2(a_1 + b_1) + b_2(c_1 + d_1) + b_2c_2$$

$$M_2 = c_1(a_2 + b_2) + b_1(c_2 + d_2) + b_1c_1$$

Next, observe that using the relations $a_1 + b_2 = a_2 + b_1$ and $c_1 + d_2 = c_2 + d_1$, it follows that $a_1c_1 + b_1d_1 + M_1 = a_2c_2 + b_2d_2 + M_2$.

$$a_1c_1 + b_1d_1 + M_1 = a_1c_1 + b_1d_1 + c_2a_1 + c_2b_1 + b_2c_1 + b_2d_1 + b_2c_2$$

$$= (a_1 + b_2)(c_1 + c_2) + (d_1 + c_2)(b_1 + b_2)$$

$$= (a_2 + b_1)(c_1 + c_2) + (d_2 + c_1)(b_1 + b_2)$$

$$= a_2c_2 + b_2d_2 + c_1a_2 + c_1b_2 + b_1c_2 + b_1d_2 + b_1c_1 = a_2c_2 + b_2d_2 + M_2$$

A similar process shows that $a_1d_1 + b_1c_1 + M_1 = a_2d_2 + b_2c_2 + M_2$. Then, summing the two results gives

$$(a_1c_1 + b_1d_1) + (a_2d_2 + b_2c_2) + (M_1 + M_2) = (a_2c_2 + b_2d_2) + (a_1d_1 + b_1c_1) + (M_1 + M_2)$$

Applying the additive cancellation property of S to the term $(M_1 + M_2)$ gives the desired relation and provides the conclusion $(a_1c_1 + b_1d_1, a_1d_1 + b_1c_1) \sim (a_2c_2 + b_2d_2, a_2d_2 + b_2c_2)$ and so the multiplicative operation is well defined.

The transitivity of the multiplicative operation follows directly from + and \cdot transitive in S. Similarly, the commutativity of the multiplicative operation follows directly from the commutativity of + and \cdot in S.

Next, note that the element [(1,0)] acts as an identity element for the multiplicative operation. Indeed, $[(1,0)] \cdot [(a,b)] = [(a,b)]$ for any element [(a,b)].

It only remains to show that + distributes over \cdot to verify that $S \times S / \sim$ forms a ring. Indeed, for elements [(a,b)], [(c,d)], [(e,f)]:

$$\begin{split} &[(e,f)]\cdot([(a,b)]+[(c,d)])=[(e,f)]\cdot[(a+c,b+d)]\\ &=[(ea+fb+ec+fd,eb+ed+fa+fe)]\\ &=[(ea+fb,eb+fa)]+[(ec+fd,ed+fc)]=[(e,f)]\cdot[(a,b)]+[(e,f)]\cdot[(e,d)] \end{split}$$

Thus we have that $(S \times S)/\sim$ forms a commutative ring under the proposed operations. However, it remains to show that $(S \times S)/\sim$ is a valid ring completion. The necessary inclusion map $i: S \to (S \times S)/\sim$ is given by i(s) = [(s,0)]. Then, take any ring R' and homomorphism $\varphi: S \to R'$; the existence and uniqueness of a commuting ring homomorphism $\psi: (S \times S)/\sim R'$ must be shown.

Uniqueness follows quickly from its homomorphism properties and the commutativity of the universal property. Indeed, take two commuting ring homomorphisms ψ and ψ' from $S \times S/ \sim$ to R'. Then, the restrictions $\psi \circ i = \varphi$ and $\psi' \circ i = \varphi$ paired with i injective gives that $\psi = \psi'$ over the image i(S). Then observe that any element [(a,b)] is the composition of elements in i(S) by [(a,b)] = [(a,0)] - [(b,0)]. Then, the homomorphism properties of rings extends ψ and ψ' to be equivalent over all of $(S \times S)/\sim$ giving uniqueness.

It only remains to show existence of the homomorphism. The map $\psi : [(a,b)] \mapsto \varphi(a) - \varphi(b)$ works. Commutativity follows easily, for $(\psi \circ i)(s) = \psi([(s,0)]) = \varphi(s)$ for all $s \in S$. Now, it must be verified that ψ is a homomorphism. So, consider elements [(a,b)] and [(c,d)] of the ring completion.

The following equality chain shows that the the additive property of φ gives the additive property of ψ .

$$\psi([(a,b) + (c,d)]) = \psi([(a+c,b+d)]) = \varphi(a+c) - \varphi(b+d)$$

= $(\varphi(a) - \varphi(b)) + (\varphi(c) - \varphi(d)) = \psi([(a,b)]) + \psi([(c,d)])$

Similarly, the additive and multiplicative property of φ gives the multiplicative property of ψ .

$$\psi([(a,b)] \cdot [(c,d)]) = \psi([(ac+bd,ad+bc)])$$

$$= \varphi(ac+bd) - \varphi(ad+bc) = \varphi(a)\varphi(c) + \varphi(b)\varphi(d) - \varphi(b)\varphi(c) - \varphi(a)\varphi(d)$$

$$= (\varphi(a) - \varphi(b))(\varphi(c) - \varphi(d)) = \psi([(a,b)]) \cdot \psi([(c,d)])$$

Finally $\psi(1) = \psi([(1,0)]) = \varphi(1) = 1$, completing the proof.