### **APPENDIX**

A

**VERDICT FORMS** 

		A	Asia Pacific College School of Engineering
CONTICUENCE ENGINEERING		System Analysis and Design Presentation Verdict Form	System Analysis and Design gn Presentation Verdict Form
DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPONENTS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17,2018	Alejandro, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C.	Asia Pacific College- School of Engineering Academic and Curricular	Adviser: Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal
	Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Intranet Advising System (ACAMS)	Panel: S. Peruda Jr
			E. Yong
			L. Samaniego Jr.
			S. Brucal

. Comments (for the group and project proposal)

(Refer to attached title proposal)

pmoving for formuly for Co Areclonic of corresponden ?.
Lo and consider of variants of consider of subjects. Morro - Gaed I Con congry - Foron, our congry - Foron 0 hepsel for open one pas ontming saries 160gs. System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form Chartheatris: - regetiation: menual or celt. outshin.
- logs | reports ( vietning | pregres)
- choring ( reports. - emountaken degreents from stacents. SYSADLB

<b>i</b>
----------

IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

Category Problem Analysis System Analysis	Criteria  The problem statement clearly stated  The stated objectives solve the problem.  The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified  System constraints is identified  Appropriate information was completely gathered  The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood  The analysis is clear, organized and complete  Important points are identified and well explained.  The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding  The proposed design meets the requirements  The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate	Exceptional	ν γειλ good	poog w \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Violosiarisotory	əməvorqmi zbəəM 👊	incomplete Undesirable	Semanta Marie Mari	
r	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow. Reports generated by the system is appropriate.								
Documentation	Document is well organized Document is well written and easy to understand			1					
	Document is complete.			1					
	Clarity of explanation			_					
				\					
Presentation	Response to question and issues.			\					
		Supplied Property	Company Company	The second pressure	CONTRACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	CANADAM CANADAM			2007/2009/2009

SYSADLB

System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

ζ	d	ŕ
٠	-	ú
#	2	
(	_	
1	ï	
3	8	7
Þ	×	ú
1	_	
Į		
4	=	
		,
٠		
Į	á	i
	2	7
1		1
1	•	į

#### PASS

This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

# CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS.

- This decision is given if:
- At least one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below. and/or
  - At least one (1) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.
- There are any minor revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

# REDEFENSE

- This decision is given if:
- More than one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

There are major revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

### REPEAT.

- This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
- More than one (1) criteria in for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

Recommendations/Verdict: Ind . Puss >

Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory coordinator, and one member.)

Glan F. Brach Signature of Panelist: Name of Panelist:

System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

Moven mil Date:

MANIEN

Designation:

SYSADLB

# System Analysis and Design Presentation Verdict Form $1^{st}\,/\,2^{nd}$ System Analysis and Design Presentation Verdict Form

Asia Pacific College

School of Engineering

ENGINEERING			5 4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPONENTS	PROPOSED TITLE	KEMAKKS
March 17,2018	Alejandro, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College- School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System (ACAMS)  Panel: S. Peruda Jr	Adviser: Engr. Stanley Glenn Brue Panel: S. Peruda Jr
н			E. Yong L. Samaniego Jr. S. Brucal

(Refer to attached title proposal)

Comments (for the group and project proposal)

practing the strando ope suspered / provide 8 war works - Monnohol

b. 34. proprietors were ween work azerszand short on . J. 5.17. FUBUS misserve

System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

SYSADLB

	e experient projections			7
For revisions (based on the submitted project proposal)	pense: threes h theres in the stop of the stop of the cold	Additional requirements (based on the submitted project proposal)		System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form
П.		Ή		SYSADLB

IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

		Exceptional	Very good	bood	Satisfactory Mends improvement	Meeds improvement incomplete	Undesirable	
Category	Criteria	7	9	r.	4	3 2	-	Remarks
	The problem statement clearly stated			-	1	_		
Signal on Managed	The stated objectives solve the problem.			'	/	3		
rionem Analysis	The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified			1	1			
	System constraints is identified			,	1			
	Appropriate information was completely gathered			1	1			
Citylera A motoric	The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood			,	1			
System Analysis	The analysis is clear, organized and complete				1	1		
	Important points are identified and well explained.			1	`			
Tree B	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding				1	1		
	The proposed design meets the requirements				1	1		
<b>Proposed Design</b>	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate				1			
	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.			,	1			
	Reports generated by the system is appropriate.			1	4			
	Document is well organized				1	1		
Documentation	Document is well written and easy to understand				1	,		
	Document is complete.				1	1		
	Clarity of explanation			1				
20:00:00	visual impact of the presentation			1	1			
Liesentation	Response to question and issues.			1	,			
	use of audio/visual aid and body language			1				

Α8

SYSADLB

System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

# PANEL DECISIONS:

#### PASS

This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

# CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS.

- This decision is given if:
- At least one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below. and/or
  - At least one (1) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.
    - There are any minor revisions or recommendations by any panel members. or

## REDEFENSE

or

- This decision is given if:
   More than one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.
- There are major revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

#### REPEAT.

- This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
- More than one (1) criteria in for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory Recommendations/Verdict: coordinator, and one member.) >

525 Designation: Date: System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form کے Sportero Signature of Panelist: Name of Panelist: SYSADLB

COII COI COI COI COI COI COI COI COI COI		School of Engineering System Analysis and Design Presentation Verdict Form	School of Engineering System Analysis and Design 3n Presentation Verdict Form
DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPONENTS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17,2018	Alejandro, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College- School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System (ACAMS)	Adviser: Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal Panel: S. Peruda Jr E. Yong L. Samaniego Jr. S. Brucal

Comments (for the group and project proposal)

- Revisit OFV

SYSADLB

System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

		74
	sed on the submitted project proposal)  Sobre Care report.	
	osal)	_
	Additional requirements (based on the submitted project proposal)  - Global Care	System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form
For revisions (based on the submitted project proposal)	itted pro	Project Ve
project p	the subm	nd Design
thmitted	ased on t	Analysis ar
on the si	ments (b	System
s (based	requirer	
revision	itional l	
For	Add	
ii	Ħ	SYSADLB
		95

IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

		Exceptional	Very good	bood	Ynotoeltsite2	Meeds improveme	etelqmooni	Undesirable	
Category	Criteria	7	9	2	4	m	2	н	Remarks
	The problem statement clearly stated		/						
	The stated objectives solve the problem.		1.						
Problem Analysis	The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified		1						
	System constraints is identified			1					
	Appropriate information was completely gathered			1					
l	The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood				1				
System Analysis	The analysis is clear, organized and complete				1				
	Important points are identified and well explained.								
	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding				1	1			
	The proposed design meets the requirements				1				
Proposed Design	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate				/				
	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.			1	1				
	Reports generated by the system is appropriate.				1				
	Document is well organized								
Documentation	Document is well written and easy to understand				1				
	Document is complete.				1				
	Clarity of explanation			/					
1	visual impact of the presentation			1					
Presentation	Response to question and issues.								
	use of audio/visual aid and body language			1					

u	7
Z	7
C	
F	í
U	2
5	
4	
_	
G	2
AMILI	7
4	1

ζ	Z	9	
7	1	ø	١
į			į
•	4	1	ĺ

This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

# CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS.

- This decision is given if:
- At least one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below. and/or
  - At least one (1) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.
- There are any minor revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

### REDEFENSE

or

This decision is given if:

or

- More than one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

# There are major revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

# REPEAT.

- This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:
- More than one (1) criteria in for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

Name of Panelist:	Elmstanfalled.	Designation:
Signature of Panelist:	8	Date:
SYSADLB	System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form	4

(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual member to be recommended by the official panel member composed of chair, laboratory

on Attorna

Recommendations/Verdict:

>

coordinator, and one member.)

Asia Pacific College School of Engineering System Analysis and Design	REMARKS	Adviser:  Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal Panel: S. Peruda Jr E. Yong L. Samaniego Jr. S. Brucal
Asia Pacific College School of Engineering System Analysis and Design Presentation Verdict Form	PROPOSED TITLE	Asia Pacific College- School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System (ACAMS) Panel: S. Peruda Jr E. Yong L. Samaniego Jr. S. Brucal
	PROPONENTS	Alejandro, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.
CONTROL SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING	DATE OF DEFENSE	March 17,2018

I. Comments (for the group and project proposal)

(Refer to attached title proposal)

i i
-----

GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE): IV.

Problem Analysis System Analysis  System Analysis  The problem statement clearly The stated objectives solve the The scope and limitations is acc System constraints is identified Appropriate information was cc The evidence that the system b The analysis is clear, organized Important points are identified The proposed design is completed	Criteria  The problem statement clearly stated The stated objectives solve the problem. The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified System constraints is identified Appropriate information was completely gathered The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood	7			e2	qmi sbəəN ———— mooni	incomp 		
50.	etement clearly stated ctives solve the problem. imitations is acceptable and was properly justified nts is identified ormation was completely gathered at the system being analyzed is well understood		9	72	4	m	2	1 Ren	Remarks
	ctives solve the problem. imitations is acceptable and was properly justified nts is identified ormation was completely gathered at the system being analyzed is well understood					_	_	-	
299	imitations is acceptable and was properly justified nts is identified primation was completely gathered at the system being analyzed is well understood			\		-			
	nts is identified ormation was completely gathered at the system being analyzed is well understood								
	ormation was completely gathered at the system being analyzed is well understood								
	at the system being analyzed is well understood						_		
Important points The proposed des	The analysis is clear, organized and complete				1				
The proposed des	Important points are identified and well explained.						-		
-	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding				1				
The proposed des	The proposed design meets the requirements			1					
Proposed Design The sequencing o	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate			1	1				
Layout is very clea	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.								
Reports generated	generated by the system is appropriate.			/	\				
Document is well organized	ll organized			1					
Documentation Document is well	Document is well written and easy to understand			1	\				
Document is complete.	nplete.			-	_				
Clarity of explanation	ation		-						
Visual impact of the presentation	the presentation		1	\					
Response to question and issues	stion and issues.		1						
use of audio/visua	use of audio/visual aid and body language								

A16

CO
-
-
0
0
)ment
S
hannel
C
$\cup$
[]
Q
_
. 7
$\mathbf{H}$
$\Xi$
=
-
•
0
_

#### PASS

This decision is given if all criteria for Software Application and Documentation category are rated four (4) and above with no revision and recommendations

# CONDITIONAL PASS WITH REVISIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS.

- This decision is given if:
- At least one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below. and/or
  - At least one (1) criteria for Documentation Category is rated three (3) below.
- There are any minor revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

### REDEFENSE

or

or

- This decision is given if:
   More than one (1) criteria for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.
- There are major revisions or recommendations by any panel members.

### REPEAT.

- This decision is given if the following are met on the second defense:

  More than one (1) criteria in for System Analysis and Proposed Design Category is rated three (3) below.

×.	Recommendations/Verdict: COMBITIONSTR PASS	
	(Refer to back page for the possible verdicts. Grades to individual men	ber to be recommended by the official panel member composed of ch
	coordinator, and one member.)	

ir, laboratory