

# APPENDIX

# B

## COMPLIANCE FORMS



# Asia Pacific College

School of Engineering

## System Analysis and Design System Analysis and Design Compliance Form

DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPOSERS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17, 2018	Alejandro, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College - School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System	<b>Adviser:</b> Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal  <b>Panel:</b> Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal

(This form only applies for groups with verdict of "CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISIONS". Refer to back page for the possible verdicts.)

### IV. Summary of Comments (For the group and project title. Items enumerated here shall not affect the final verdict.)

No	Statements	Remarks
1	Registration: manual vs. self-registration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ p. 4 C1 Specific Objectives</li> <li>✓ p. 16 C3 Proposed Functional Description (par. 6)</li> <li>✓ p. 17 C3 Registration Process</li> <li>✓ p. 39-42 C3 Registration through Admin</li> <li>✓ p. 61-62 C3 Self-Registration of student</li> </ul>
2	Logs of reports (viewing & process)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ p. 4 C1 Scope</li> <li>✓ p.52-54 C3 Reports Form</li> <li>✓ p. 63-68 C3 Sample PDF Reports</li> </ul>
3	Closing of cases	✓ p. 6 C1 Delimitation
4	Consultation request from students	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ p. 4 C1 Scope</li> <li>• p. 5 C1 Case Attributes - Case Status</li> <li>✓ p.57 C3 Case Page Academic/Curricular Student</li> </ul>
5	Academic Vs. Curricular Academic – Currently enrolled subject Curricular – not enrolled subjects/ registration	✓ p. 10 C1 Definition and Acronyms
6	Report for open/close case containing scripts	✓ p.66-67 C3 Case Note Details (open & close)

### V. Summary of revisions (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated under this section aims to address deficiencies or non-conformance with the agreed and approved revisions.)

No	Statements	Compliance Status

### VI. Additional requirements (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated in these section aims to improve the existing proposal to better meet the general objective of the proposal)

No	Statements	Compliance Status
1		


SYSADLB

System Analysis and Design Project Compliance Form

*Stanley Glenn Brucal*

Final Verdict:

PASS

Name of Panelist	STANLEY GLENN E. BRUCAL, PECE, ACPE	Designation <i>(please check one)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	External Panelist
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adviser	<input type="checkbox"/>	Guest Panelist
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Member 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Substitute for:
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Member 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Signature		Date Signed	22 MAY 2018			

IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

Category	Criteria	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Remarks
Problem Analysis	The problem statement clearly stated			/					
	The stated objectives solve the problem.			/					
	The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified			/					
	System constraints is identified			/					
System Analysis	Appropriate information was completely gathered			/					
	The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood			/					
	The analysis is clear, organized and complete			/					
	Important points are identified and well explained.			/					
Proposed Design	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding			/					
	The proposed design meets the requirements			/					
	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate			/					
	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.			/					
Documentation	Reports generated by the system is appropriate.			/					
	Document is well organized			/					
	Document is well written and easy to understand			/					
	Document is complete.			/					
Presentation	Clarity of explanation			/					
	visual impact of the presentation			/					
	Response to question and issues.			/					
	use of audio/visual aid and body language			/					

Stanley Glenn E. Brucal, PECE, ACPE





# Asia Pacific College

School of Engineering

## System Analysis and Design System Analysis and Design Compliance Form

DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPOSERS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17, 2018	Alejandri, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College - School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System	<b>Adviser:</b> Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal  <b>Panel:</b> Engr. Sergio Peruda Jr.

(This form only applies for groups with verdict of "CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISIONS". Refer to back page for the possible verdicts.)

### I. Summary of Comments (For the group and project title. Items enumerated here shall not affect the final verdict.)

No	Statements	Remarks
1	Assessment DFD for Subject and Block	- done -
2	Enrollment of Students per Subject	- done -
3	P 2 No table presented	- done -
4	P 17 Field Missing	- done -
5	P 23 DFD	- done -
6	P 39 Field for username	- done -

### II. Summary of revisions (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated under this section aims to address deficiencies or non-conformance with the agreed and approved revisions.)

No	Statements	Compliance Status
1	Tables and figures in different directions	- done -
2	Scope	- done -
3	DFD and ERD	- done -
4	Import Excel	- done -

### III. Additional requirements (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated in these section aims to improve the existing proposal to better meet the general objective of the proposal)

No	Statements	Compliance Status

Final Verdict:

PASS *K*

Name of Panelist	<i>SENGUO R. PERUA JR.</i>	Designation <i>(please check one)</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	External Panelist
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Adviser	<input type="checkbox"/>	Guest Panelist
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Member 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Substitute for: _____
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Member 2		
Signature	<i>[Signature]</i>	Date Signed	<i>30 - APRIL 2018</i>			

**B7**

SYSADLB

## System Analysis and Design Project Verdict Form

5: FEB 20 1962





# Asia Pacific College

School of Engineering

## System Analysis and Design System Analysis and Design Compliance Form

DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPOSERS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17, 2018	Alejandri, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College - School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System	Adviser: Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal  Panel: Engr. Einstein Yong

(This form only applies for groups with verdict of "CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISIONS". Refer to back page for the possible verdicts.)

### I. Summary of Comments (For the group and project title. Items enumerated here shall not affect the final verdict.)

No	Statements	Remarks
1	Revisit DFD	OK
2	Revisit ERD	OK

### II. Summary of revisions (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated under this section aims to address deficiencies or non-conformance with the agreed and approved revisions.)

No	Statements	Compliance Status
1	Proposed Functional Description	OK

### III. Additional requirements (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated in these section aims to improve the existing proposal to better meet the general objective of the proposal)

No	Statements	Compliance Status
1	Global Search	OK
2	PDF Case Report	OK

Final Verdict:

Pass

Name of Panelist	Designation (please check one)	Chair	External Panelist
Einstein Yong		Adviser	Guest Panelist
		Member 1	Substitute for:
		Member 2	
Signature	Date Signed		



IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

Category	Criteria	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Remarks
Problem Analysis	The problem statement clearly stated		/						
	The stated objectives solve the problem.		/						
	The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified		/						
System Analysis	System constraints is identified			/					
	Appropriate information was completely gathered			/					
	The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood				/				
	The analysis is clear, organized and complete				/				
Proposed Design	Important points are identified and well explained.			/					
	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding				/				
	The proposed design meets the requirements				/				
	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate				/				
Documentation	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.				/				
	Reports generated by the system is appropriate.				/				
	Document is well organized				/				
	Document is well written and easy to understand				/				
Presentation	Document is complete.				/				
	Clarity of explanation			/					
	visual impact of the presentation			/					
	Response to question and issues.		/						
	use of audio/visual aid and body language			/					



# Asia Pacific College

School of Engineering

## System Analysis and Design System Analysis and Design Compliance Form

DATE OF DEFENSE	PROPONENTS	PROPOSED TITLE	REMARKS
March 17, 2018	Alejandri, Rosemarie L. Gecale, Gerald Matthew C. Manongsong, Shella Mae S. Marinda, Hamill Jhon O.	Asia Pacific College - School of Engineering Academic and Curricular Intranet Advising System	<b>Adviser:</b> Engr. Stanley Glenn Brucal <b>Panel:</b> Engr. Leonardo Samaniego Jr

(This form only applies for groups with verdict of "CONDITIONAL PASS WITH MINOR REVISIONS". Refer to back page for the possible verdicts.)

I. **Summary of Comments** (For the group and project title. Items enumerated here shall not affect the final verdict.)

No	Statements	Remarks

II. **Summary of revisions** (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated under this section aims to address deficiencies or non-conformance with the agreed and approved revisions.)

No	Statements	Compliance Status
1	Additional column for student name	

III. **Additional requirements** (Based on the presented proposal, items enumerated in these section aims to improve the existing proposal to better meet the general objective of the proposal)

No	Statements	Compliance Status

Final Verdict:

PASSED

Name of Panelist	LEONARDO A. SAMANIEGO JR.	Designation (please check one)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	External Panelist
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Adviser	<input type="checkbox"/>	Guest Panelist
			<input type="checkbox"/>	Member 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	Substitute for:
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Member 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Signature	LA Samaniego	Date Signed	4.12.18			



IV. GRADING Rubrics (GROUP GRADE):

Category	Criteria	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	Remarks
Problem Analysis	The problem statement clearly stated				✓				
	The stated objectives solve the problem.				✓				
	The scope and limitations is acceptable and was properly justified				✓				
System Analysis	System constraints is identified				✓				
	Appropriate information was completely gathered				✓				
	The evidence that the system being analyzed is well understood				✓				
	The analysis is clear, organized and complete				✓				
	Important points are identified and well explained.				✓				
Proposed Design	The proposed design is complete and is ready for coding				✓				
	The proposed design meets the requirements				✓				
	The sequencing of the proposed design is appropriate				✓				
	Layout is very clear and well labeled and easy to follow.				✓				
	Reports generated by the system is appropriate.				✓				
Documentation	Document is well organized				✓				
	Document is well written and easy to understand				✓				
	Document is complete.				✓				
Presentation	Clarity of explanation			✓					
	visual impact of the presentation			✓					
	Response to question and issues.			✓					
	use of audio/visual aid and body language				✓				

5/12/15