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1. **Group Assessment**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Definition of rubrics and scale (1-4)** | | | |
| **Beginning**  **1** | **Developing**  **2** | **Accomplished**  **3** | **Exemplary**  **4** |
| **1. Ability to define user requirements of an information system and to design a system** |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1. Correct application of analysis and design principles |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2. Appropriate requirements gathering |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3. Is the final product relevant for a practical implementation of the information system |  |  |  |  |
| **2. Ability to apply feasibility analysis, requirements analysis and UML modeling in practice:** |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1. How are requirements assumptions relevant |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2. Is there evidence of application of the covered analysis and design principles |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3. Is there an evidence of knowing UML |  |  |  |  |
| **3. Project findings** |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1. Have the main points to emerge from the project being picked up for discussion? |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2. Is there a consideration on the resources needed for the suggested system and the schedule |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 3.3. Was the project developed within the time allocated for the analysis and design phases? |  |  |  |  |
| **4. Presentation** |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1. Clarity of explanation and conclusions |  |  |  |  |
| 4.2. Visual impact of the project walkthrough |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3. Use of audio visual aids, body language |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4. Response to questions |  |  |  |  |
| **Comments:** | | | | |

1. **Individual Assessment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Student** | **Rate (%)** |
| Jesus P. Brugada Jr. |  |
| Denzel F. Oribiana |  |
| Manuel Victor P. Serrano |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. **Overall Assessment**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Pass** | **Re-defense** | **Fail** |
|  |  |  |
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