

Project Status Report

Project Name:

Enhanced APC Faculty Loading System

Department:

School of Computing and Information Technologies (SoCIT)

Focus Area:

Applied Project 2 (Csproj)

Product/Process:

Prepared By:

Document Owner(s)	Project/Organization Role
Ochotorena, Rafael	Project Manager
Lazaro, Louise Gabrielle	Quality Assurance/Control
Jauod, Jameiah Nicole	Systems Designer/Researcher
Alfafara, Von Matthew	Systems Developer
Baldovino, Allen	Systems Analyst

Project Status Report Version Control

Version	Date	Author	Change Description
2.1	11/24/16	Louise Lazaro	Updated Documentation
2.2	11/24/16	Jameiah Jauod	Update Milestones

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PROJ	ECT STATUS REPORT PURPOSE	. 3
2			. 3
	2.1	Project Status Report Details	. 3
	2.2	Project Status Report Template	. 3
3	PROJ	ECT STATUS REPORT APPROVALS	. 6
4	APPE	NDICES	. 7
	4.1	Document Guidelines	. 7
	4.2	Project Status Report Sections Omitted	. 7

1 PROJECT STATUS REPORT PURPOSE

This report is used by the team to monitor progress in the Enhanced APC Faculty Loading System Project. The APC Faculty Loading System is a system where a matching algorithm will be developed and an analytics tool will be used for a more systematic and optimized scheduling of the School of Computing and Information Technologies (SoCIT) faculty teaching assignments.

2 PROJECT STATUS REPORT TEMPLATE

2.1 Project Status Report Details

Team Member Tasks Update

For the period of November 21-25, 2016, systems analyst had a tutorial for power bi with the project consultant, Miss Gardon. She told us that it is only a descriptive analytics. It will only show a report on how a faculty is rated per criteria.

- o Criteria will only be 3 Specialization, History of teachings, and OTE.
- Given measurements will be
 - 60% for specialization
 - 30% for history of teachings
 - 10% for OTE
- o Updated front end of the system. It has to be same as the Flavio system.

These updates were based from the weekly discussions with the adviser/client.

2.2 Project Status Report Template

Project Name			
Prepared by:	Date:	F	Reporting Period:
Louise Gabrielle Lazaro	11/24/16		11/21/16 to 11/25/16
Project Overall Status: The team members plan to upd	ate the working sy	stem and add other	er required documents.
Project Summary: The gathered ideas about the w	7.5		to the adviser/client.
Milestone Deliverables perfor	mance reporting	over last period	
Milestone Deliverables	Due Date	% Completed	Deliverable Status
Milestone 1	<u> </u>		

Client Meeting	11/24/16	100%	On Schedule
Research on Power BI	11/21/16	60%	On Schedule
Add quality Plan	11/23/16	80%	On Schedule
Milestone 2			

Milestone Deliverables scheduled for completion over next period

Milestone De	liverables	Due Date	% Completed	Deliverable Status
Milestone 1				
 Add softwarequirements specificate 	ents	11/28/16	20%	On Schedule
Add exec	utive summary	11/29/16	30%	On Schedule
Client me Algorithm		12/1/16	20%	On Schedule
Milestone 2	8			
Update fu template	III document	12/2/16	40%	On Schedule
Add user/	admin manuals	12/2/16	40%	On Schedule

Project impact of milestone success or failure for project remainder

Г	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	

Project Budget/Financial Status

Budget Item	Planned Budget	Actual Cost Variance/Explanation	
Printing	P200.00	P105.00	
		,	

Project Risk Management Status

Risk Risk Risk	
Risk and Description Chance Impact Priority	

11/25/2016

Proposed System revisions	Medium	Medium	High	Update the design of the system
			5	

Project Issue Management Status

Issue and Description	Project Impact	Target Due Date	Issue Status	Issue Resolution
Proposed System revisions	High	12/3/16	Open	Revisions are to be made within the week and target day of completion is on Saturday December 3, 2016.

Project Recommendations

- Will the project be completed on time and on budget?
 The project is on currently on track, although prioritization on the development of diagrams will be made. The team is ensuring that every milestone should be completed on the target day of completion.
- Will the project deliverables be completed within acceptable quality levels?
 The team is trying to ensure acceptable quality levels in the whole documentation, all required diagrams, and development of the proposed system by having a scheduled meeting with adviser. A quality person is assigned to monitor all deliverables.
- Are scope change requests being managed successfully?
 Yes. All changes are discussed with the adviser.
- Are project issues and risks being addressed successfully and mitigated?
 Yes. Alternative options are made.
- Are all customer concerns being addressed successfully?]
 N/A

Objectives for Next Project Status Review

- To present updated proposed system to adviser
- To plan for other documentations in the paper SOW, Project vision and scope, Gantt Chart, Activity List, Work breakdown, estimation, Change management plan document, user/admin manuals, software requirement specifications, quality plan document

Related Project Information					
		and and			

3 PROJECT STATUS REPORT APPROVALS

Prepared by

Louise Gabrielle Lazaro

Quality Assurance/Control

Approved by

Ms. Rhea Valbuena

Proje¢t Advisor

Client Sponsor

- 4 APPENDICES
- 4.1 Document Guidelines
- 4.2 Project Status Report Sections Omitted