


Project Name:	SM Foundation Project – Module 1				
Meeting Location:	Boardroom, Bldg B, SM Corporate Office				
Meeting Date:	July 15, 2015	Start Time:	10:00 am	End Time:	2:30 pm
Client Name:	Consuelo O. Cadeliña				
Signature:					

Instructions:

Please complete this evaluation for the presentation of the group
Give a rating from 1(lowest) to 9(highest) for each of the questions/ items
for evaluation listed below.

Ratings: Below Avg. 1, 2, 3 Satisfactory 4, 5, 6 Excellent 7, 8, 9

The team members started the presentation at the designated time:

Rating: 9

Comments:

It was the client who had some technical problem, thus the presentation did not start on time.

The team members came prepared for the presentation. (Set up time was less than ten minutes)

Rating: 9

Comments:

Since module 1 was presented after Module 2, there were less set up time.

The presentation was clear and to the point.

Rating: 6

Comments: It seems that the team was not sure of the client requirements.

The students were able to meet the requirements of the client.

Rating: 6

Comments: The screen design is a little cluttered and some of the requirements were not included. But for a 1st iteration, the presentation is ok.


The students were able to answer the questions of the client in a satisfactory manner.

Rating: 6

Comments: The presenter was waiting for the support of the ED and Professors before she answered some of the questions.

Overall Comments on the student's presentation:

Module 1 's requirement is simpler and the team could have made some confirmation or clarification on the process – current and planned from the client. They could have done better.

Project Name:	SM Foundation Project – Module 2				
Meeting Location:	Boardroom, Bldg B, SM Corporate Office				
Meeting Date:	July 15, 2015	Start Time:	10:00 am	End Time:	2:30 pm
Client Name:	Consuelo O. Cadeliña				
Signature:					

Instructions:

Please complete this evaluation for the presentation of the group
Give a rating from 1(lowest) to 9(highest) for each of the questions/ items
for evaluation listed below.

Ratings: Below Avg. 1, 2, 3 Satisfactory 4, 5, 6 Excellent 7, 8, 9

The team members started the presentation at the designated time:

Rating: 9

Comments:

It was the client who had some technical problem, thus the presentation did not start on time.

The team members came prepared for the presentation. (Set up time was less than ten minutes)

Rating: 9

Comments:

Set up was done fast.

The presentation was clear and to the point.

Rating: 9

Comments: The presentation was very organized, clear and considering that this is the 1st iteration, more than expected.

The students were able to meet the requirements of the client.

Rating: 8

Comments: Since this is a customized program and the objective is to improve efficiency, the client introduced some changes to what has been discussed in prior meetings. The team should be flexible to accept these changes.


The students were able to answer the questions of the client in a satisfactory manner.

Rating: 8

Comments: They understood the initial requirement almost perfectly.

Overall Comments on the student's presentation:

Module 2 is the most complex of the 3 modules but in terms of presentation and content, the team have the most impressive program design and output. The team has to develop better interpersonal skills to create rapport with the client.

Project Name:	SM Foundation Project – Module 3				
Meeting Location:	Boardroom, Bldg B, SM Corporate Office				
Meeting Date:	July 15, 2015	Start Time:	10:00 am	End Time:	2:30 pm
Client Name:	Consuelo O. Cadeliña				
Signature:					

Instructions:

Please complete this evaluation for the presentation of the group
Give a rating from 1(lowest) to 9(highest) for each of the questions/ items
for evaluation listed below.

Ratings: Below Avg. 1, 2, 3 Satisfactory 4, 5, 6 Excellent 7, 8, 9

The team members started the presentation at the designated time:

Rating: 9

Comments:

It was the client who had some technical problem, thus the presentation did not start on time.

The team members came prepared for the presentation. (Set up time was less than ten minutes)

Rating: 9

Comments:

Since module 3 was presented after Module 1 and 2, there were less set up time.

The presentation was clear and to the point.

Rating: 7

Comments: The team was able do a good output based on what was given.

The students were able to meet the requirements of the client.

Rating: 7

Comments: Since this was a thought after module, the requirement was not complete yet. The nice thing about the team was they created add-ons that would add value and use to the module. For a 1st iteration, the presentation is ok.

The students were able to answer the questions of the client in a satisfactory manner.

Rating: 7

Comments: For what they have done, they were able to respond nicely.

Overall Comments on the student's presentation:

Module 3 's original requirement is simplier than the other modules but with the additional request, this could be a challenging project for the team. The team is creative and looking ahead of what the client might need.