FE-511-B: Final Project

Sean Trinh

November 30, 2019

1 Stevens Honor Pledge

I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System.

2 Problem Description

Introduction. The state of modern economies is measured in gross domestic product (GDP), or the total value of final goods produced and services provided in a country during one year. In other words, GDP is an aggregate calculation of a country's consumer spending, business investment, government spending, and net exports in a given time period. An important distinction to note is that nominal GDP is evaluated at current market prices, which means that its value is affected by inflation or deflation. Read GDP, on the other hand, is not.

In the United States, there are two major stock indexes: the S&P 500 and the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA). The S&P 500 is an index that includes 500 companies, spanning all sorts of industries, and is based on each individual company's market capitalization, meaning companies with higher market capitalization will have a bigger impact on the calculation of the index than companies with lower market capitalization. The DJIA on the other hand includes thirty companies, spanning some of the major industries, and is based on each individual company's stock price.

Economists and investors alike are interested in finding ways to better track and predict the state of the US economy. For example, economists have done a lot of research into the yield curves of the US fixed income market. Since the 1970s, very time the yield curves in the United States have inverted, meaning yields on short term bonds have risen above the yields on long term bonds, the US has entered a recession shortly after.

This project will seek to explore the validity of using either the S&P 500 or the DJIA or both in tracking the state, health, and growth of the US economy. As the S&P 500 and DJIA have much more frequent and regular reportings than US GDP, which is reported on a quarterly basis, it may be beneficial to economists and investors to keep track of the more frequent reportings of both indexes in order to predict whether they should be optimistic, or bullish, on the economy or pessimistic, or bearish, on the economy. In other words, does positive growth in the S&P 500 and/or DJIA indexes necessarily predict a positive growth in US GDP, and vice-versa? If so, which one does it better?

Thesis. The S&P 500 and DJIA indexes keep track of what each index deems as the top 500 and top 30 companies in the United States, respectively. Because these companies make up a large and significant portion of the economy, and thus the country's overall GDP, it should be the case that positive growth in these indexes should predict positive growth in US GDP. Since the S&P 500 keeps track of significantly more companies than the DJIA and is more representative of the entire economy than the DJIA, it should be the case that the S&P 500 does a better job of tracking US GDP than the DJIA.

3 Data and Methodology

Data. The data that will be used to conduct the regressions and analyses includes quarterly data on the nominal US GDP, quarterly S&P 500 data, and quarterly DJIA data. Although S&P 500 and DJIA data is reported more frequently than quarterly, quarterly data must be used in order to do proper regressions on nominal US GDP, which is only reported quarterly. Also, since the data for nominal US GDP only goes back to the 1940s, all sets of data will be scoped to start at the earliest quarterly reporting of nominal US GDP data and end at the most recent quarterly reporting.

The Excel spreadsheet used to conduct this analysis contains all of the data described and can be found here: https://github.com/seantrinh/fe_511_final_project/blob/master/fe_511_data.xlsx.

Methodology. Three regressions to test the hypothesis will be done, all of which will be done using the programming language, R. First, a linear regression will be done to determine the relationship between the S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP. Standard regression results will be reported and analyzed, and a regression equation like the following will be produced:

$$USNominalGDP_t = \alpha * S\&P500_t + \epsilon$$

A second linear regression will be done to determine the relationship between the DJIA and US Nominal GDP. Standard regression results will be reported and analyzed, and a regression equation like the following will be produced:

$$USNominalGDP_t = \alpha * DJIA_t + \epsilon$$

Finally, a multivariate linear regression will be done to determine the relationship between the S&P 500 and DJIA and US Nominal GDP. Standard regression results will be reported and analyzed, and a regression equation like the following will be produced:

$$USNominalGDP_t = \alpha * S\&P500_t + \beta * DJIA_t + \epsilon$$

Using the regression results and equations produced from these three regressions, an analysis will be conducted, and a conclusion on the hypothesis will be made.

Common studies on indicators of GDP growth will lag US GDP in response to a variable. In other words, regressions sometimes try to see how a change in variable affects GDP a number of quarters later. An example regression would look like the following:

$$USNominalGDP_{t+n} = \alpha * S\&P500_t + \beta * DJIA_t + \epsilon$$

In the above equations, t represents the variables at a given time. In only the above equation does n represent a number of quarters after the given time, hencing lagging. Lagging will not be done with these regressions, because the idea of this study and hypothesis is to see if either or both of the indexes are able to simulatenously track the direction of GDP so that economists and investors can estimate or predict the quarter's GDP before it is reported. In other words, the idea of this study is not to see how changes in either index affect GDP in future quarters. In theory, GDP is strongly correlated to both indexes and mostly made up of the value produced by and performances of companies in those indexes in a specific, given quarter, not future or previous quarters.

4 Regression, Code, and Results

S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP

Code. The following R code was used to load the quarterly data for the S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP and run a linear regression on the data to produce a regression equation and summary. The correlation coefficient between the two variables was also produced.

Regression. Figure 1 outlines the results of the linear regression on US Nominal GDP and the S&P 500. Additionally, the correlation coefficient between the two variables was reported to be **0.9582932**.

The regression equation, based on the results, is as follows:

$$USNominalGDP_t = 8.3843 * S\&P500_t + 1340.9416$$

DJIA and US Nominal GDP

Code. The following R code was used to load the quarterly data for the DJIA and US Nominal GDP and run a linear regression on the data to produce a regression equation and summary. The correlation coefficient between the two variables was also produced.

```
Call:
lm(formula = us\_nominal\_gdp \sim sp\_500)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-5023.0 -1223.7
                -362.9 1338.6
                                6364.0
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                           <2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 1340.9416
                        134.3904
                                   9.978
                                           <2e-16 ***
               8.3843
                          0.1471
                                  57.004
                0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
Signif. codes:
Residual standard error: 1786 on 289 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9183, Adjusted R-squared: 0.918
F-statistic: 3249 on 1 and 289 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Figure 1: Regression Results - S&P 500.

Regression. Figure 2 outlines the results of the linear regression on US Nominal GDP and the DJIA. Additionally, the correlation coefficient between the two variables was reported to be **0.9603199**.

The regression equation, based on the results, is as follows:

```
USNominalGDP_t = 0.9468 * DJIA_t + 1397
```

DJIA, S&P 500, and US Nominal GDP

Code. The following R code was used to load the quarterly data for the S&P 500, DJIA, and US Nominal GDP and run a multivariate linear regression on the data to produce a regression equation and summary.

```
library ("readxl")
my_data <- as.data.frame(read_excel("Documents/Classes/FE/fe511/fe_511_final_
project/fe_511_data.xlsx"))
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = us_nominal_gdp ~ djia)
Residuals:
   Min
            10 Median
                             30
                                    Max
-5696.9 -1315.4
                -380.3
                         1411.3
                                5794.1
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1.397e+03 1.305e+02
                                           <2e-16 ***
                                   10.71
                                           <2e-16 ***
           9.468e-01 1.617e-02
                                   58.53
djia
               0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '. '0.1 ' 1
Signif. codes:
Residual standard error: 1743 on 289 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9222, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9219
F-statistic: 3426 on 1 and 289 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Figure 2: Regression Results - DJIA.

```
us_nominal_gdp <- my_data[,"us_nominal_gdp"]

sp_500 <- my_data[,"sp_500"]

djia <- my_data[,"djia"]

regression_both <- lm(us_nominal_gdp ~ sp_500 + djia)

summary(regression_both) #Summary of the regression
```

Multivariate Regression. Figure 3 outlines the results of the linear regression on US Nominal GDP and the S&P 500 and DJIA.

The regression equation, based on the results, is as follows:

```
USNominalGDP_{t+n} = 0.6461 * S\&P500_t + 0.8742 * DJIA_t + 1390.5869
```

5 Discussion

Observations

Observations about the Regression on the S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP. The correlation coefficient for this regression was reported to be 0.9582932, which indicates a strong positive correlation between the S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP. The t-values for both the α and ϵ values were significant in that, for each value, the probability of obtaining a higher t-value if the null hypothesis was true was close to zero percent. In other words, the correlation is statistically significant. This observation is further supported by the fact that the adjusted R-squared value for this regression was 0.918.

```
Call:
lm(formula = us\_nominal\_qdp \sim sp\_500 + djia)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q
                 Median
                             30
                                     Max
-5652.1 -1309.4
                 -373.9
                         1425.8
                                 5837.1
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                        132.0020
                                   10.535
(Intercept) 1390.5869
                                           < 2e-16
sp_500
               0.6461
                          2.0370
                                    0.317 0.751354
                          0.2295
                                    3.808 0.000171 ***
djia
               0.8742
                0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
Residual standard error: 1746 on 288 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9222, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9217
F-statistic: 1708 on 2 and 288 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Figure 3: Multivariate Regression Results - S&P 500 and DJIA.

Observations about the Regression on the DJIA and US Nominal GDP. The correlation coefficient for this regression was reported to be 0.9603199, which also indicates a strong positive correlation between the DJIA and US Nominal GDP. The t-values for both the α and ϵ values were also significant and were relatively higher than the t-values for the regression done on the S&P 500. The adjusted R-squared value for this regression, 0.9219, was also slightly higher than the prior regression. Based on the regression summary, the relationship between the DJIA and US Nominal GDP is statistically significant.

Observations about the Multivariate Regression on the S&P 500, DJIA, and US Nominal GDP. The multivariate regression had an adjusted R-squared value of 0.9217, which was slightly higher than the adjusted R-squared value for the first regression and slightly lower than the adjusted R-squared value for the second regression. The t-values for the β and ϵ values, or the coefficient for the DJIA and the intercept, were significant, with the probability of obtaining a higher t-value if the null hypothesis being less than 0.02% for the coefficient for the DJIA. The t-value for the α value, or the coefficient for the S&P 500 was not significant.

Conclusion. To review, the initial hypothesis can be broken down into two parts: 1) positive growth in the indexes predicts positive growth in GDP and 2) the S&P 500 does a better job of tracking GDP than the DJIA. Both indexes have a strong positive correlation with US Nominal GDP and statistically significant t-values and adjusted R-squared values, resulting in a failure to reject part one of the hypothesis. In examining part two of the hypothesis, there are a couple of things to note. The correlation coefficient was better for the DJIA than the S&P 500. The regression on the DJIA and US Nominal GDP had better t-values and adjusted R-squared values than the regression on the S&P 500 and US Nominal GDP. In addition, the multivariate regression reveals that the coefficient for the DJIA was higher than the S&P 500, indicating that it has a more

significant contribution to US Nominal GDP than the S&P 500. Also, the t-value for the coefficient for the DJIA was statistically significant. The t-value for the coefficient for the S&P 500 was not. Therefore, part two of the hypothesis is rejected.

Further Steps. The failure to reject part one of the hypothesis, based on the statistical values produced by the regressions, makes sense, as both indexes are comprised of companies that make up a large portion of the economy. It would make sense that if those companies are doing well, then the overall economy should also be doing well. Further steps to test this theory would be to analyze the impact on GDP of companies excluded from both indexes.

A possible rationale for the rejection of part two of the hypothesis is that the DJIA includes only thirty companies, most or all of which produce final goods whose value makes up a significant portion of US GDP. The S&P 500 includes many more companies, some of which may not have a contribution to GDP and instead only produce value in the form of intermediate goods, which is excluded from the calculation of GDP. If those intermediate good producing companies perform well when final good producing companies are not, the S&P 500 may well overstate how well US GDP may be. The opposite may be true: if those intermediate good producing companies are performing poorly when final good producing companies are not, the S&P 500 may well understate how well US GDP may be. To test this, an adjusted S&P 500 index would need to be made in order to exclude companies that only produce value in the form of intermediate goods. A regression would also need to be run in order to see if that adjusted index is better able to track US Nominal GDP. If it performs better, it may be the case that the inclusion of companies that only produce intermediate goods are affecting the tracking accuracy of the S&P 500.