

Homework 6 Solutions

Math 198: Math for Machine Learning

Due Date:

Name:

Student ID:

1 Ridge Regression and Kernel Trick

1. (Adapted from CS189 Fa19 HW2.) Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be a data matrix, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an observation vector, and $\mathbf{w}_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the ridge regression solution, i.e., $\mathbf{w}_\lambda = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top$ be the SVD of \mathbf{X} .

- (a) Show that $\mathbf{w}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_\lambda &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= ((\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top)^\top \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} (\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top)^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma}^2 \mathbf{V}^\top + \lambda \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \text{ by unitarity of } \mathbf{V} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2 \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top + \lambda \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top \right)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (\sigma_i^2 + \lambda) \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^\top \right)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{V} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{V}^\top)^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{V}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $(\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a diagonal matrix with entries $\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda}$ on the diagonal. Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_\lambda &= \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{\Sigma}^2 + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{y} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.

- (b) Deduce that the OLS solution $\mathbf{w}_{\text{OLS}} = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

The OLS solution is identical to the ridge regression solution, except with $\lambda = 0$. Setting λ to 0 in the ridge regression solution from (a) yields this solution.

- (c) Prove that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{w}_\lambda = \mathbf{w}_{\text{OLS}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{w}_\lambda &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\
&= \mathbf{w}_{\text{OLS}}
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Show that if $\mathbf{w}_\lambda \neq 0$, then the map $\lambda \rightarrow \|\mathbf{w}_\lambda\|^2$ is strictly decreasing and strictly positive on $(0, \infty)$. What is the effect of λ on \mathbf{w}_λ ?

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{w}_\lambda\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right\|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_j^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \mathbf{v}_i \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right\|^2 \text{ since } \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^2 + \lambda} \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{y} \rangle \right)^2 \text{ since } \mathbf{v}_i^\top \mathbf{v}_i = 1
\end{aligned}$$

Because this is a sum of squares, it is always positive; furthermore, as λ increases, the denominator of each term increases, and thus $\|\mathbf{w}_\lambda\|^2$ decreases. Therefore, λ reduces the norm of the solution, and so higher values of λ will produce less complex weights.

2. Prove that the kernel trick holds for cubic polynomials in two variables. That is, if the feature map ϕ maps

$$[a_i \quad b_i]^\top \mapsto [a_i^3 \quad b_i^3 \quad \sqrt{3}a_i^2b_i \quad \sqrt{3}a_ib_i^2 \quad \sqrt{3}a_i^2 \quad \sqrt{3}b_i^2 \quad \sqrt{6}a_ib_i \quad \sqrt{3}a_i \quad \sqrt{3}b_i \quad 1]^\top$$

then $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j + 1)^3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) &= \phi(\mathbf{x}_i)^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}_j) \\
&= a_i^3 a_j^3 + b_i^3 b_j^3 + 3a_i^2 b_i a_j^2 b_j + 3a_i b_i^2 a_j b_j^2 + 3a_i^2 a_j^2 + 3b_i^2 b_j^2 + 6a_i b_i a_j b_j + 3a_i a_j + 3b_i b_j + 1 \\
&= (a_i^3 a_j^3 + 3a_i^2 a_j^2 b_i b_j + 3a_i a_j b_i^2 b_j^2 + b_i^3 b_j^3) + 3(a_i^2 a_j^2 + 2a_i a_j b_i b_j + b_i^2 b_j^2) + 3(a_i a_j + b_i b_j) + 1 \\
&= (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j)^3 + 3(\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j)^2 + 3(\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j) + 1 \\
&= (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j + 1)^3
\end{aligned}$$

2 Linear Algebra Review

- Let V be an arbitrary vector space. Prove that the zero vector $\mathbf{0} \in V$ is unique. Additionally, prove that for any vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$, the additive inverse $-\mathbf{v}$ is unique.
Suppose towards a contradiction that $\mathbf{0}$ is not unique. Then a second, distinct zero vector $\mathbf{0}' \in V$, exists. But then $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}' = \mathbf{0}'$. So $\mathbf{0}'$ is not distinct as claimed; therefore $\mathbf{0}$ is unique.
Suppose towards a contradiction that the additive inverse of \mathbf{v} is not unique. Then a second, distinct vector $\mathbf{w} \in V$ exists such that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$. But then $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0} - \mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{v}$. So \mathbf{w} is not distinct as claimed; therefore $-\mathbf{v}$ is unique up to \mathbf{v} .
- Prove that the dot product is a valid inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .
Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(a) Linearity (first coordinate). $(a\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n (au_i + v_i)w_i = \sum_{i=1}^n au_iw_i + \sum_{i=1}^n v_iw_i = (a\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})$

(b) Symmetry. $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_iw_i = \sum_{i=1}^n w_iv_i = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}$

(c) PSD. $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 \geq 0$; $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2 = 0 \iff \forall v_i, v_i = 0 \iff \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.

3. Let V and W be arbitrary vector spaces. Prove that $\dim V = \dim W$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $f : V \rightarrow W$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $\beta = \{\dots, \beta_i, \dots\}$ be a basis for V and $\gamma = \{\dots, \gamma_i, \dots\}$ a basis for W . Define $f : V \rightarrow W$ such that $\beta_i \mapsto \gamma_i$. This definition is valid, as there are as many elements in β as in γ (since the dimensions of V and W are equal). Furthermore, we can define $f^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ such that $\gamma_i \mapsto \beta_i$. Then $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V, f^{-1}(f(\mathbf{v})) = \mathbf{v}$ (since \mathbf{v} can be written as a sum of the basis elements in β , and the action of $f^{-1}(f(\cdot))$ is to switch the β_i 's in that sum to γ_i 's and back). So an isomorphism f exists.

(\Leftarrow) Because f is onto, any vector $\mathbf{w} \in W$ can be written as $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v})$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in V$. But $\mathbf{v} = \sum_i a_i \beta_i$, so $\mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_i a_i f(\beta_i)$. So the set $f(\beta) = \{\dots, f(\beta_i), \dots\}$ spans W . Suppose towards a contradiction that this set is not linearly independent, i.e. $\sum_i b_i f(\beta_i) = \mathbf{0}$ for appropriate b_i . Let $\mathbf{w}_1 = \sum_i (b_i + 1) f(\beta_i)$, $\mathbf{w}_2 = \sum_i b_i f(\beta_i)$. Then $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, and so $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{w}_2$. But f is an isomorphism, so $\sum_i b_i \beta_i = f^{-1}(\mathbf{w}_1) = f^{-1}(\mathbf{w}_2) = \sum_i \beta_i$. Because β is a basis set for V , this is impossible; therefore, $f(\beta)$ is linearly independent, and is thus a basis set for W . Because this set contains the same number of elements as β , the vector spaces they generate, V and W , have equal dimension.

4. Prove that trace is a linear map, i.e. $\text{tr}(c\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = c\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$.

$$\text{tr}(c\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = \sum_i (c\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})_{ii} = \sum_i c\mathbf{A}_{ii} + \sum_i \mathbf{B}_{ii} = c \sum_i \mathbf{A}_{ii} + \sum_j \mathbf{B}_{jj} = c\text{tr}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$$

5. Let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix and λ an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} . Prove that λ^k is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A}^k .

Suppose \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} corresponding to eigenvalue λ . Then $\mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A} \dots \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A} \dots \lambda \mathbf{v} = \lambda^k \mathbf{v}$. So \mathbf{v} is also an eigenvector of \mathbf{A}^k corresponding to eigenvalue λ^k .

6. (Adapted from CS189 Fa19 HW0.) Let \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Define $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^\top$. Find the non-zero eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} and their eigenvectors, and determine the rank of the nullspace of \mathbf{A} .

We have

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & | & \dots \\ \dots & w_i \mathbf{v} & \dots \\ \dots & | & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, the columns of \mathbf{A} are not linearly independent, so \mathbf{A} is not full rank. Since they are all spanned by only one vector, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = 1$, so $\dim \ker(\mathbf{A}) = n - 1$. This implies that there is only one non-zero eigenvector; by observation, \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector, since $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \mathbf{v}$; the corresponding eigenvalue is $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$.

7. Prove that a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is PSD if and only if there exists a matrix $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\top$.

(\Rightarrow) Because \mathbf{A} is PSD, we can take the spectral decomposition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}^\top$ for unitary \mathbf{U} , diagonal $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ contains the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} on its diagonal. Because \mathbf{A} is PSD, all these eigenvalues are non-negative, so the matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ containing their square roots on its diagonal exists. But then $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^\top$, and since $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is symmetric, then if $\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}'^\top$.

(\Leftarrow) Let \mathbf{v} be some vector. Then $\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{v} = \langle \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{v} \rangle = \|\mathbf{U}^\top \mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq 0$. So \mathbf{A} is PSD.