

Homework 9 Solutions

Math 198: Math for Machine Learning

Due Date:

Name:

Student ID:

Instructions for Submission

Please include your name and student ID at the top of your homework submission. You may submit handwritten solutions or typed ones (L^AT_EX preferred). If you at any point write code to help you solve a problem, please include your code at the end of the homework assignment, and mark which code goes with which problem. Homework is due by start of lecture on the due date; it may be submitted in-person at lecture or by emailing a PDF to both facilitators.

1 Practice with Newton's Method

1. Let $f(x) = x^4$. For any given x_0 , what will the update rule given by Newton's method be? Will it lead us to the function's minimum? Why or why not?

We have $f'(x) = 4x^3$ and $f''(x) = 12x^2$, so the update rule is $x_{k+1} = \frac{2}{3}x_k$. By inspection, the minimum of $f(x)$ is $x = 0$; Newton's method will converge towards this value but never reach it.

2. Let $f(x) = x^3$. For any given x_0 , what will the update rule given by Newton's method be? Will it lead us to the function's minimum? Why or why not?

We have $f'(x) = 3x^2$ and $f''(x) = 6x$, so the update rule is $x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_k$. This function does not have a minimum, and so Newton's method will get stuck near the saddle point $x = 0$.

2 Gauss-Newton Algorithm Proofs

1. Let $f(\mathbf{x}; \beta)$ be a nonlinear function from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ parameterized by an m -dimensional vector β . Define $L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta))^2 = \|\mathbf{r}(\beta)\|_2^2$ be the loss function we wish to minimize. (Note that this is an equivalent formulation to how we present the Gauss-Newton algorithm in note 9.)

- (a) Show that $\nabla L(\beta) = 2\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}^\top(\beta)\mathbf{r}(\beta)$.

First, we note that

$$\nabla L(\beta)_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} [r_i(\beta)^2] = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_j}$$

We recall that \mathbf{r} is composed of residual functions $r_i(\beta) = y_i - f(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta)$, and so

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla r_1(\beta)^\top \\ \vdots \\ \nabla r_n(\beta)^\top \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial \beta_m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \beta_m} \end{bmatrix}$$

We therefore have

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}^\top(\beta)\mathbf{r}(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \beta_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial r_1}{\partial \beta_m} & \cdots & \frac{\partial r_n}{\partial \beta_m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_1(\beta) \\ \vdots \\ r_n(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

and so $2[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}^\top(\beta)\mathbf{r}(\beta)]_j = 2\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta)\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_j} = \nabla L(\beta)_j$ as desired.

- (b) Show that $\nabla^2 L(\beta) = 2(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)^\top \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta)\nabla^2 r_i(\beta))$.

We again proceed by noting

$$\nabla^2 L(\beta)_{jk} = \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left[2 \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k} \right] = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left[r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k} \right]$$

Using the product rule, we then derive

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \left[r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k} \right] = \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k} + r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial^2 r_i}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k}$$

and so

$$\nabla^2 L(\beta)_{jk} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k} + r_i(\beta) \frac{\partial^2 r_i}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k} \right]$$

We then have

$$[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)^\top \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)]_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_j} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial \beta_k}$$

and of course $\nabla^2 r_i(\beta)_{jk} = \frac{\partial^2 r_i}{\partial \beta_j \partial \beta_k}$, so

$$\nabla^2 L(\beta)_{jk} = 2([\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)^\top \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{r}}(\beta)]_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(\beta)\nabla^2 r_i(\beta)_{jk})$$

as desired.