

## Workshop 26 Oct 2012

The aim of this workshop is to show that Carmichael numbers are squarefree and have at least 3 distinct prime factors.

- (1) (Warm-up question.) Show that  $n > 1$  is prime iff  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n-1$ .

If  $n$  is prime, then the result is true by Fermat's Theorem. If  $n$  is composite, then, for  $a = p$ , a prime factor of  $n$ , the equation  $p^{n-1} + kn = 1$  has no solution, since the LHS is divisible by  $p$ . So result is true iff  $n$  prime.

Recall that a positive integer is said to be *squarefree* if it is not divisible by the square of any prime number.

Recall too that a *Carmichael number* is a composite number  $n$  with the property that for every integer  $a$  coprime to  $n$  we have  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

- (2) *Proving that Carmichael numbers are squarefree.*

- (a) Show that a given nonsquarefree number  $n$  can be written in the form  $n = p^\ell N$  for some prime  $p$  and integers  $N$  and  $\ell$  with  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $\gcd(p, N) = 1$ .  
 (b) Show that  $(1 + pN)^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ .  
 (c) Deduce that Carmichael numbers are squarefree.

(a) Take a prime  $p$  such that  $p^2 \mid n$ . Then  $p^\ell \parallel n$  for some  $\ell \geq 2$ . So  $n = p^\ell N$  say, where  $N = n/p^\ell$  is coprime to  $p$ .

(b) Now  $(1 + pN)^{n-1} \equiv 1 + pN(n-1) \pmod{p^2} \equiv 1 - pN \pmod{p^2} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , by the Binomial Theorem and because  $p^2 \mid n$  and  $\gcd(N, p) = 1$ .

(c) Now take  $a = 1 + pN$ . Then  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  by (b), so  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Hence, as  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ,  $n$  is not a Carmichael number.

- (3) *Proving that Carmichael numbers have at least 3 distinct prime factors.*

- (a) Let  $p$  and  $q$  be distinct primes. Prove that if  $\gcd(a, pq) = 1$  then  $a^{\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ .

- (b) Now let  $g$  be a primitive root  $(\text{mod } p)$  and  $h$  be a primitive root  $(\text{mod } q)$ . Using  $g$  and  $h$ , apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to specify an integer  $a$  whose order  $(\text{mod } pq)$  is (exactly)  $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$ .
- (c) Now suppose that  $p$  is the larger of the primes  $p$  and  $q$ . Calculate  $pq-1 \pmod{p-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-2\}$ . Deduce that  $p-1 \nmid pq-1$ .
- (d) Use the above to show that there is an  $a$  with  $\gcd(a, pq) = 1$  and  $a^{pq-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ .
- (e) Deduce from the above that a Carmichael number must have at least 3 distinct prime factors.

(a) By Fermat's Theorem we have  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $a^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , so that, taking appropriate powers,  $a^{\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $a^{\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Hence  $a^{\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ .

(b): We simply choose  $a$  so that  $a \equiv g \pmod{p}$  and  $a \equiv h \pmod{q}$ . This is possible, by CRT. Since  $g$  has order  $p-1$  modulo  $p$  and  $h$  has order  $q-1$  modulo  $q$ , we have that  $a$  has order  $p-1$  modulo  $p$  and order  $q-1$  modulo  $q$ . Hence  $a$  has order  $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$  modulo  $pq$ .

(c): Now  $pq-1 = (p-1)q + q-1 \equiv q-1 \pmod{p-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ , as  $0 < q-1 < p-1$ . Hence  $p-1 \nmid pq-1$ .

(d): From (b) there is an  $a$  whose order  $(\text{mod } pq)$  is  $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$ , so that if  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$  then from (a) we have that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$  iff  $k$  is a multiple of  $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$ . But  $pq-1$  is not a multiple of  $\text{lcm}(p-1, q-1)$ , since  $q-1 \nmid pq-1$ . So  $a^{pq-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$ .

(e):

Now the number  $a$  in (d) is clearly coprime to both  $p$  and  $q$ , i.e.,  $\gcd(a, pq) = 1$ . Hence, from (d),  $pq$  is not a Carmichael number. As Carmichael numbers are not prime and are not divisible by the square of any prime, they must have at least 3 prime factors.

- (4) (Cool-down question.) Suppose that  $a, k, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $a^\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Prove that

- (a)  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm}(m, n)}$ ;  
 (b)  $a^{\gcd(k, \ell)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\gcd(m, n)}$ .

(a) Let  $kk' = \text{lcm}(k, \ell)$ . Then  $a^k - 1$  divides  $a^{kk'} - 1 = a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} - 1$ , so that  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Similarly,  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Hence  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} - 1$  is divisible by both  $m$  and  $n$ . Writing  $m = \prod_p p^{e_p}$  and  $n = \prod_p p^{f_p}$ , we see that, for each  $p$  prime,  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} - 1$  is divisible by  $p^{\max(e_p, f_p)}$ , and so is divisible by  $\prod_p p^{\max(e_p, f_p)} = \text{lcm}(m, n)$ . So  $a^{\text{lcm}(k, \ell)} \equiv 1 \pmod{\text{lcm}(m, n)}$ .

(b) From  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  and  $a^\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and the fact that  $G = \gcd(m, n)$  divides both  $m$  and  $n$  we have  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{G}$  and  $a^\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{G}$ . Next, by the Extended Euclidean Algorithm we can find integers  $k_1$  and  $\ell_1$  such that  $kk_1 + \ell\ell_1 = \gcd(k, \ell)$ . Hence

$$a^{\gcd(k, \ell)} = a^{kk_1 + \ell\ell_1} = (a^k)^{k_1} \cdot (a^\ell)^{\ell_1} \equiv 1^{k_1} \cdot 1^{\ell_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{G}.$$

## Handin: due Friday, week 7, 2 Nov, before 12.10 lecture. Please hand it in at the lecture

### The squarefree part of $n$

You are expected to write clearly and legibly, giving thought to the presentation of your answer as a document written in mathematical English.

- (5) (a) Show that every positive integer  $n$  can be written uniquely in the form  $n = n_1 n_2^2$ , where  $n_1$  is squarefree.

Let us denote  $n_1$  by  $g(n)$ , the *squarefree part of  $n$* .

- (b) Prove that  $g(n)$  is a multiplicative function.

- (c) Find the Euler product for  $D_g(s)$ .

- (d) Prove that  $D_g(s) = \zeta(2s)\zeta(s-1)/\zeta(2s-2)$ .

(a) From  $p^{2k+1} = p \cdot (p^k)^2$  and  $p^{2k} = 1 \cdot (p^k)^2$  we see that we can take  $n_1$  to be the product of primes that divide  $n$  to an odd power, as then  $n_1$  is squarefree and  $n/n_1$  is a square,  $= n_2^2$  say. [2 marks]

(b) For  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ ,  $g(m)g(n) = m_1 n_1$  with  $\gcd(m_1, n_1) = 1$ , so that  $mn = m_1 n_1 (m_2 n_2)^2$ , with  $m_1 n_1$  squarefree, giving  $g(mn) = m_1 n_1 = g(m)g(n)$ . [2 marks]

- (c) Now  $g(p^{2k+1}) = p$ ,  $g(p^{2k}) = 1$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(p^k)}{p^{ks}} &= \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{p}{p^{ks}} + \sum_{k \text{ even}} \frac{1}{p^{ks}} \\ &= (p/p^s + 1)(1 + 1/p^{2s} + 1/p^{4s} + \dots) \\ &= (1 + 1/p^{s-1})/(1 - 1/p^{2s}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $D_g(s) = \prod_p (1 + 1/p^{s-1})/(1 - 1/p^{2s})$ . [3 marks]

- (d) Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_g(s) &= \prod_p (1 + 1/p^{s-1})/(1 - 1/p^{2s}) \\ &= \prod_p (1 - 1/p^{2s-2})/((1 - 1/p^{2s})(1 - 1/p^{s-1})) \\ &= \zeta(2s)\zeta(s-1)/\zeta(2s-2). \end{aligned}$$

---

## Problems on congruences

- (6) Let  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  be pairwise relatively prime. Show that as  $x$  runs through the integers  $x = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ , the  $n$ -tuples  $(x \bmod m_1, x \bmod m_2, \dots, x \bmod m_n)$  run through all  $n$ -tuples in  $\prod_{i=1}^n \{0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1\}$ .

If for  $x, x' \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n\}$  the  $n$ -tuples

$$(x \bmod m_1, x \bmod m_2, \dots, x \bmod m_n)$$

and

$$(x' \bmod m_1, x' \bmod m_2, \dots, x' \bmod m_n)$$

were equal, then the  $n$ -tuple  $(x - x' \bmod m_1, x - x' \bmod m_2, \dots, x - x' \bmod m_n)$  would be 0, so that  $x - x'$  would be divisible by  $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$ . This is impossible as they differ by less than this number. Hence all the  $n$ -tuples  $(x \bmod m_1, x \bmod m_2, \dots, x \bmod m_n)$  for  $x = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$  are different. As there are only  $m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$  of them, they must run through them all.

---

- (7) Show that the equation  $x^y \equiv 2 \pmod{19}$  has a solution in integers  $\{x, y\}$  iff  $x$  is congruent to a primitive root  $\bmod 19$ . Deduce that then  $y$  is uniquely specified  $\bmod 18$ .

Now (easily checked) 2 is a primitive root  $\pmod{19}$ , so if  $x$  is not a primitive root, then  $x^y$  certainly isn't. On the other hand, if  $x$  is a primitive root, then the powers  $x^y$  with  $\gcd(y, 18) = 1$  give all primitive roots, including 2. Also, if  $\gcd(y, 18) > 1$  then  $x^y$  is not a primitive root. As  $x^{18} \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$ ,  $y$  is uniquely specified  $\pmod{18}$ .

---

- (8) *Wilson's Theorem.* This states that, for a prime  $p$ , we have  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Prove Wilson's Theorem in (at least!) two different ways.

[Suggestions: (i) Factorize  $x^{p-1} - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . (ii) Try to pair up  $a \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$  with its multiplicative inverse.]

(i) Since, from Fermat's Theorem,  $x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv (x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(p-1)) \pmod{p}$ , Wilson's Theorem follows on putting  $x = 0$ .

(ii) For any  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  there's an  $a' \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  with  $aa' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Further,  $a' = a$  iff  $a = 1$  or  $p-1$ . Hence, in  $(p-1)!$ , the numbers forming this product can be cancelled in pairs, apart from 1 and  $p-1$ . Hence result.

- 
- (9) (a) Find a primitive root for the prime 23.  
 (b) How many such primitive roots are there?  
 (c) Find them all.  
 (d) Find all the quadratic residues and all the quadratic non-residues mod 23.

(a) 5 is one.  
 (b)  $\phi(\phi(23)) = \phi(22) = 10$ .  
 (c) All powers  $5^k \pmod{23}$  with  $\gcd(k, 22) = 1$ , i.e. 5, 10, 20, 17, 11, 21, 19, 15, 7, 14  
 (d) The quadratic residues are the even powers of 5 (mod 23), namely 2, 4, 8, 16, 9, 18, 13, 3, 6, 12, 1, while the quadratic nonresidues are the odd powers of 5 (mod 23), namely 5, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22.

---

- (10) Solve the equation  $x^6 = 7$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{19}$ , i.e. the equation  $x^6 \equiv 7 \pmod{19}$  for  $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, 18\}$ .

(Solution by standard procedure) Now 2 is a primitive root for 19, and then  $2^6 = 64 = 7$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{19}$ . Putting  $x = 2^y$ , we need to solve  $x^6 = 2^{6y} = 7 = 2^6$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{19}$ , i.e.  $6y \equiv 6 \pmod{18}$ . So  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $y = 1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16$ ,  $x = 2^y \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5 \pmod{19}$ .

---

- (11) (a) Let an integer  $n > 1$  be given, and let  $p$  be its smallest prime factor. Show that there can be at most  $p - 1$  consecutive positive integers coprime to  $n$ .  
 (b) Show further that the number  $p - 1$  in (a) cannot be decreased, by exhibiting  $p - 1$  consecutive positive integers coprime  $n$ .  
 (c) What is  $\gcd(p - 1, n)$ ?  
 (d) Show that  $2^n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

(a) Every  $p$ th number is divisible by  $p$ , which implies the result.  
 (b) None of  $1, 2, 3, \dots, p-1$  has a factor in common with  $n$  as all their prime factors are smaller than  $p$ , while  $p$  is the smallest prime factor of  $n$ .  
 (c) This is 1, as all prime factors of  $p - 1$  are less than  $p$ , as in (b).  
 (d) Assume  $2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . As  $p \mid n$  we have  $2^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and also (Fermat)  $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $2^{\gcd(p-1, n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , so by (c)  $2^1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $p \mid 1$ , a contradiction.

---

## Problems on arithmetic functions

- (12) (a) Let a divisor  $d$  of  $n$  be given. Among the integers  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  show that  $\varphi(n/d)$  of them have  $\gcd(k, n) = d$ .

(b) Deduce that  $\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n$ .

(c) Deduce that  $\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} d\mu(n/d)$ .

(a) Those  $k$  with  $\gcd(k, n) = d$  are of the form  $k = k'd$  with  $k' \leq n/d$  and  $\gcd(k', n/d) = 1$ . So there are  $\varphi(n/d)$  of them.

(b) Every  $k = 1, \dots, n$  has  $\gcd(k, n) = d$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ , so number of  $k$ 's  $= n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$ .

(c) Comes immediately from Möbius inversion.

- (13) (a) Prove that  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \Delta(n)$ , the 1-detecting function.

(b) Let  $g$  be any function  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and put  $G(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} g(x/n)$ , the sum being taken over all positive integers  $n \leq x$ . Prove that if  $x \geq 1$  then  $g(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)G(x/n)$ .

(a) Now the function  $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)$  equals 1 for  $n = 1$ , and is 0 for  $n$  a prime power. Also, it is multiplicative, as it is the sum-over-divisors of the multiplicative function  $\mu$ . Hence it is 0 for all  $n > 1$ , and hence is  $\Delta(n)$ .

(b) Now  $\text{RHS} = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)G(x/n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \sum_{k \leq x/n} g(x/nk)$ . Putting  $d = nk$  we have that this equals  $\sum_{d \leq x} g(x/d) \sum_{n|d} \mu(n) = \sum_{d \leq x} g(x/d) \Delta(d) = g(x)$  using definition of  $\Delta$ .

- (14) (a) For which integers  $n$  is  $\tau(n)$  odd? Here  $\tau(n)$  is the number of (positive) divisors of  $n$ .

(b) Prove that  $\sum_{k|n} \tau(k)^3 = \left( \sum_{k|n} \tau(k) \right)^2$ .

[Note that both sides of the equation are multiplicative functions of  $n$ .]

(a) Now  $p^k$  has  $k+1$  divisors, which is odd for  $k$  even. Hence  $\tau(n)$  is odd exactly when  $n = \prod_p p^{e_p}$  when all  $e_p$  are even, i.e., when  $n$  is a square.

(b) First prove multiplicativity of each side. Then take  $n = p^{k-1}$ . Then  $\text{LHS} = \tau(1)^3 + \tau(p)^3 + \dots + \tau(p^{k-1})^3 = 1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + k^3 = (k(k+1)/2)^2$  (well-known formula). Similarly  $\text{RHS} = (1 + 2 + \dots + k)^2 = (k(k+1)/2)^2$ , so the result is true for prime powers. Therefore by multiplicativity, it's true for all  $n$ .

- (15) (a) An arithmetic function  $f(n)$  is said to be *strongly multiplicative* if  $f(nm) = f(n)f(m)$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that a strongly multiplicative function is completely determined by its values at primes.
- (b) Show that if  $f(n)$  is a strongly multiplicative function then the Euler product of its Dirichlet function  $D_f(s)$  is of the form  $\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{f(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$ .

(a) For such a function, and  $n = p^k \dots q^\ell$ , we have  $f(n) = f(p^k \dots q^\ell) = f(p)^k \dots f(q)^\ell$ , so  $f(n)$  is determined by  $f(p), \dots, f(q)$ .

(b) We have  $D_f(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{p^s} + \frac{f(p^2)}{p^{2s}} + \dots + \frac{f(p^{ks})}{p^{ks}} + \dots\right) = \prod_p \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{f(p)}{p^s}\right)^k\right)$  using total multiplicativity. Summing this GP gives the result.

---

- (16) *Strengthening Euler's Theorem.* Suppose that  $n$  factorizes as  $n = p_1^{f_1} \dots p_k^{f_k}$ . Show that then, for  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ,  $a^N = 1 \pmod{n}$ , where

$$N = \text{lcm}(p_1^{f_1} - p_1^{f_1-1}, p_2^{f_2} - p_2^{f_2-1}, \dots, p_k^{f_k} - p_k^{f_k-1}).$$

For which  $n$  is this result no stronger than Euler's theorem  $a^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$ ?

- (17) For two arithmetic functions  $A(n)$  and  $B(n)$  show that

$$\sum_{d|n} A(d)B(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} A(n/d)B(d).$$

This is just replacing the sum over all divisors  $d$  of  $n$  with the sum over its 'conjugate divisors'  $n/d$ , which are again the set of all divisors of  $n$ .

---

- (18) (a) Find the Euler product for  $D_{|\mu|}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu(n)|}{n^s}$ .
- (b) Prove that  $D_{|\mu|}(s) = \zeta(s)/\zeta(2s)$ .

(a) Now  $|\mu(n)| = 1$  if  $n$  is squarefree,  $= 0$  otherwise. Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu(p^k)|/p^{ks} = 1 + 1/p^s$  so, as  $|\mu(n)|$  is multiplicative,  $D_{|\mu|}(s) = \prod_p (1 + 1/p^s)$ .

(b) Follows from  $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - 1/p^s)^{-1}$  and  $1 + 1/p^s = (1 - 1/p^{2s})/(1 - 1/p^s)$ .

---

- (19) Let  $\omega(n)$  denote the number of prime factors of  $n$ . Show that the function  $e^{\omega(n)}$  is a multiplicative function.

- (20) Let  $f$  be any arithmetic function.

(a) Show that  $\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{k|n} f(k) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor$ .

(b) Now put  $F(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ . Deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor = \sum_{n \leq x} F\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$ .

(a) Now  $\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{k|n} f(k) = \sum_{k \leq x} f(k) \times \#\{n = jk, n \leq x\} = \sum_{k \leq x} f(k) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor =$   
 answer except with  $k$  instead of  $n$ .

(b) We have  $\text{RHS} = \sum_{n \leq x} F\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{n}} f(k) = \sum_{k \leq x} f(k) \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{k}} 1 =$   
 $\sum_{k \leq x} f(k) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{k} \right\rfloor = \text{LHS}$ , as required.

---

(21) (a) Prove that for  $x \geq 1$  we have  $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor = 1$ .

(b) (Harder) Deduce that for all  $x \geq 1$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \right| \leq 1.$$

(a) Apply Q9(a) with  $f(n) = \mu(n)$ , using the fact that  $\sum_{k|n} \mu(k) = \Delta(n)$  ( $= 1$  for  $n = 1$ ,  $0$  otherwise).

(b) Write  $\frac{x}{n} = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor + \delta_n$ , where  $0 \leq \delta_n < 1$ . (Of course  $\delta_n$  depends on  $x$  too.) Then from (a) we get successively

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor &= 1 \\ \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \left( \frac{x}{n} - \delta_n \right) &= 1 \\ x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} &= 1 + \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to analyse the RHS of the last equation to verify that it's always at most  $x$  in modulus. Since  $\mu(2) = \mu(3) = -1$  and  $\mu(4) = 0$  we see that  $\text{RHS} \in (-1, 1]$  for  $1 \leq x \leq 4$ . So for  $x > 4$  we have  $|\text{RHS} - v| \leq x - 4$ , where  $v \in (-1, 1)$ . Hence  $|\text{RHS}| \leq 1 + x - 4 = x - 3 \leq x$ . So the RHS is at most  $x$  in modulus for all  $x \geq 1$ .

---

(22) The Dirichlet series  $D_f(s)$  of a certain arithmetic function  $f(n)$  has Euler product  $\prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \right)$ .

(a) Show that  $f(n) \neq 0$  iff  $n$  is “cube-free”, and give a precise definition of this term.

(b) Find an explicit description of  $f(n)$ .

(c) Find the Euler product for  $D_{|f|}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{n^s}$ .

(d) Prove that  $D_{|f|}(2s) = D_{|f|}(s) D_f(s)$ .



- (a) An integer  $n$  is said to be *cube-free* if  $k^3 \nmid n$  for every integer  $k > 1$ .
- (b) If  $n$  is cube-free then  $f(n)$  is  $(-1)^\ell$ , where  $\ell$  is the number of primes  $p$  for which  $p|n$  but  $p^2 \nmid n$ . Otherwise  $f(n) = 0$ .
- (c)  $D_{|f|}(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right)$ .
- (d) Here we use the fact that  $(1+x+x^2)(1-x+x^2) = 1+x^2+x^4$ . Hence

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \frac{1}{p^{4s}}\right),$$

and so  $D_{|f|}(2s) = D_{|f|}(s)D_f(s)$ .

## Problems around primality testing

- (23) *Fast exponentiation: Computing  $a^r$  by the SX method.*

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Write  $r$  in binary as  $r = b_k b_{k-1} \cdots b_1 b_0$ , with all  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . From the binary string  $b_k b_{k-1} \cdots b_1 b_0$  produce a string of  $S$ 's and  $X$ 's by replacing each 0 by  $S$  and each 1 by  $SX$ . Now, starting with  $A = 1$  and working from left to right, interpret  $S$  as  $A \rightarrow A^2$  (i.e. replace  $A$  by  $A^2$ ), and  $X$  as  $A \rightarrow Aa$  (multiply  $A$  by  $a$ ).

Prove that the result of this algorithm is indeed  $a^r$ .

[This algorithm is particularly useful for exponentiation (mod  $n$ ), but it works for any associative multiplication on any set. Note that the leading  $S$  does nothing, so can be omitted.]

- (24) Compute  $2^{90} \pmod{91}$  by the  $SX$  method. What does this tell you about 91?

[Maple: `convert(n,binary);`]

- (25) (a) Show that if  $n$  is not a pseudoprime to base  $bb'$  where  $\gcd(b, b') = 1$  then it is not a pseudoprime either to base  $b$  or to base  $b'$ .
- (b) Show that if  $n$  is not a pseudoprime to base  $b^k$  where  $k > 1$  then it is not a pseudoprime to base  $b$ .
- [Thus it's always enough to use the pseudoprime test with prime bases.]
- (c) Repeat (a) and (b) with 'pseudoprime' replaced by 'strong pseudoprime'.

- (26) Show that the Carmichael number 561 is not a strong pseudoprime to base 2, but that 2047 is. Show, however, that 2047 is not a strong pseudoprime to base 3.

[Useful Maple: `with(numtheory); ?phi, ?mod`]

- (27) (a) Prove that if  $6k + 1$ ,  $12k + 1$  and  $18k + 1$  are all prime, then their product is a Carmichael number. [Use Q 16]

- (b) Show that the first few values of  $k$  for which (a) gives Carmichael numbers are  $k = 1, 6, 35, 45, \dots$ . What is the next such value of  $k$ ?

[This is the integer sequence A046025– via “integer sequences”, found e.g., by Google]

[Maple `?isprime`]

(a) Suppose  $p = 6k + 1, q = 12k + 1$  and  $r = 18k + 1$  all prime. Then by Q 16 as  $\text{lcm}(p - 1, q - 1, r - 1) = 36k$ , we have  $a^{36k} \equiv 1 \pmod{pqr}$  for  $(a, pqr) = 1$ .

But  $pqr - 1 = 36k(36k^2 + 11k + 1)$ , so  $a^{pqr-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{pqr}$  for  $(a, pqr) = 1$ . Hence  $pqr$  is a Carmichael number.

(b) 51. (then 55, 56, 100, 121, 195, ...)