

# S&DS 351: Stochastic Processes - Homework 5

Bryan SebaRaj

Professor Ilias Zadik

March 3, 2025

1. (20 points) Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected simple graph. Let  $d(i)$  denote the degree of vertex  $i$ , which varies for different vertices. Let  $\pi$  be the uniform distribution on the vertex set  $V$ . Let the base chain be the random walk on  $G$ . Apply the Metropolis method to modify the chain so that the stationary distribution is the uniform distribution  $\pi$ . Find the resulting transition matrix.

2. (Metropolis for optimization) Consider the knapsack problem: Given  $m$  items with weights  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  and values  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ , and a total weight budget  $W$ , the goal is to find the subset of items with maximal value subject to a weight constraint. This can be formulated as a constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m x_i v_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m x_i w_i \leq W \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the maximization is over the decision variable  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ , where  $x_i$  indicates the  $i$ th item is included or not. This is a hard problem to solve fast.

- (a) (5 points) Consider the following Markov chain. Starting from the initial state  $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$  (an empty knapsack), if the current state is  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , in the next step update it as follows: Choose an item  $J$  uniformly at random and replace  $x_J$  by  $1 - x_J$ . If this satisfies the constraint, update  $x$  accordingly; otherwise, do not update  $x$ . Identify the state space of this Markov chain and its transition rule.
- (b) (5 points) Show that the stationary distribution of this chain is the uniform distribution over the feasible set

$$C = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) : \sum_{i=1}^m x_i w_i \leq W, x_i \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

- (c) (5 points) Recall the goal is to maximize the value of the selected items. Fix some parameter  $\beta > 0$ . Define a distribution  $\pi$  over the feasible set  $C$  such that

$$\pi(x) \propto \exp(\beta f(x)), \quad x \in C$$

where  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i v_i$  is the objective function. If we choose a large  $\beta$ ,  $\pi$  is close to the uniform distribution over the maximizers. Use the chain in part (a) as the base chain and apply Metropolis method to produce a modified chain with stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Find the transition rule.

## Chang Problems

1.26. (15 points) Let  $\pi_0$  and  $\rho_0$  be probability mass functions on  $\mathcal{S}$ , and define  $\pi_1 = \pi_0 P$  and  $\rho_1 = \rho_0 P$ , where  $P$  is a probability transition matrix. Show that  $|\pi_1 - \rho_1| \leq |\pi_0 - \rho_0|$ . That is, in terms of total variation distance,  $\pi_1$  and  $\rho_1$  are closer to each other than  $\pi_0$  and  $\rho_0$  were.

2.1. (5 points) For a branching process  $\{G_t\}$  with  $G_0 = 1$ , define the probability generating function of  $G_t$  to be  $\psi_t$ , that is,

$$\psi_t(z) = \mathbb{E}[z^{G_t}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k P(G_t = k).$$

With  $\psi$  defined as in (2.1), show that  $\psi_1(z) = \psi(z)$ ,  $\psi_2(z) = \psi(\psi(z))$ ,  $\psi_3(z) = \psi(\psi(\psi(z)))$ , and so on.

2.3. (5 points) Consider a branching process with offspring distribution Poisson(2), that is, Poisson with mean 2. Calculate the extinction probability  $p$  to four decimal places.

2.7. Consider an irreducible, time-reversible Markov chain  $\{X_t\}$  with  $X_t \sim \pi$ , where the distribution  $\pi$  is stationary. Let  $A$  be a subset of the state space. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and define on the same state space a Markov chain  $\{Y_t\}$  having probability transition matrix  $Q$  satisfying, for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$Q(i, j) = \begin{cases} \alpha P(i, j) & \text{if } i \in A \text{ and } j \notin A, \\ P(i, j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the diagonal elements  $Q(i, i)$  so that the rows of  $Q$  sum to 1.

(a) (8 points) What is the stationary distribution of  $\{Y_t\}$ , in terms of  $\pi$  and  $\alpha$ ?

(b) (2 points) Show that the chain  $\{Y_t\}$  is also time-reversible.

(c) (5 points) Show by example that the simple relationship of part (1) need not hold if we drop the assumption that  $X$  is reversible.

2.12. [Metropolis-Hastings method] For simplicity, let us assume that  $\pi$  is positive, so that we won't have to worry about dividing by 0. Choose any probability transition matrix  $Q = (Q(i, j))$  [again, suppose it is positive], and define  $P(i, j)$  for  $i \neq j$  by

$$P(i, j) = Q(i, j) \min \left( 1, \frac{\pi(j)Q(j, i)}{\pi(i)Q(i, j)} \right),$$

and of course define  $P(i, i) = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} P(i, j)$ .

(a) (5 points) Show that the probability transition matrix  $P$  has stationary distribution  $\pi$ .

(b) (5 points) Show how the Metropolis method we have discussed is a special case of this Metropolis-Hastings method.

3.9. (15 points) Derive the recursion,

$$\beta_{t-1}(x_{t-1}) = \sum_{x_t} A(x_{t-1}, x_t) B(x_t, y_t) \beta_t(x_t).$$

for the “backward” probabilities. Show that it is appropriate to start the calculations by setting

$$\beta_n(x_n) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x_n \in \mathcal{X}.$$