Regression Model Course Project

Sebastian Jaroszewicz

12/10/2020

Introduction

The objective of this study is to ooking at a data set of a collection of cars and to explore the relationship between a set of variables and miles per gallon (MPG) (outcome). In particular it is of interest to answer the following questions:

- "Is an automatic or manual transmission better for MPG"
- "Quantify the MPG difference between automatic and manual transmissions"

The data set that will be used to carry out the study will be the mtcars.

Exploratory Data Analysis

```
library(ggplot2)
data(mtcars)
head(mtcars,3)
##
                 mpg cyl disp hp drat
                                          wt qsec vs am gear carb
                        6 160 110 3.90 2.620 16.46
## Mazda RX4
                21.0
## Mazda RX4 Wag 21.0
                          160 110 3.90 2.875 17.02 0 1
                                                                  4
## Datsun 710
                 22.8
                        4 108 93 3.85 2.320 18.61 1 1
                                                                  1
a <- dim(mtcars)
```

The data set is composed of with 32 observations on 11 (numeric) variables.

- 1. mpg Miles/(US) gallon
- 2. cyl Number of cylinders
- 3. disp Displacement (cu.in.)
- 4. hp Gross horsepower
- 5. drat Rear axle ratio
- 6. wt Weight (1000 lbs)
- 7. qsec 1/4 mile time
- 8. vs Engine (0 = V-shaped, 1 = straight)
- 9. am Transmission (0 = automatic, 1 = manual)
- 10. gear Number of forward gears
- 11. carb Number of carburetors

```
# Transform same variables into factor
mtcars$am <- factor(mtcars$am,labels=c("Automatic","Manual"))
mtcars$cyl <- as.factor(mtcars$cyl)
mtcars$vs <- as.factor(mtcars$vs)
mtcars$gear <- as.factor(mtcars$gear)
mtcars$carb <- as.factor(mtcars$carb)</pre>
```

In order to better understand the data, we made a box plot graph mpg by tansmission type (see appendix).

The plot shows a significant difference between manual and automatic transmissions. To quantitatively analyze this difference we are going to perform a t-test

```
testResults <- t.test(mtcars$mpg ~ mtcars$am)</pre>
testResults
##
##
    Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: mtcars$mpg by mtcars$am
## t = -3.7671, df = 18.332, p-value = 0.001374
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -11.280194 -3.209684
## sample estimates:
## mean in group Automatic
                               mean in group Manual
##
                  17.14737
                                           24.39231
```

The T-Test rejects the null hypothesis that the difference between transmission types is 0. The difference estimate between the 2 transmissions is about 7 mpg in favor of the manual.

Regression Model

```
To study the relationship between mpg and the other variables, we performed a regression model for this
dataset. We use multiple linear regression and the R step function, which chooses the best model.
fit <- lm(mpg~.,mtcars)
summary(fit)
fit_Step <- step(fit)</pre>
The model suggest to use the formula mpg \sim cyl + hp + wt + am
model = lm(mpg~ wt + qsec + am, data=mtcars)
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ wt + qsec + am, data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q Median
                                  3Q
                                         Max
## -3.4811 -1.5555 -0.7257 1.4110 4.6610
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                 9.6178
                             6.9596
                                       1.382 0.177915
## wt
                 -3.9165
                             0.7112
                                      -5.507 6.95e-06 ***
## qsec
                  1.2259
                             0.2887
                                       4.247 0.000216 ***
## amManual
                  2.9358
                             1.4109
                                       2.081 0.046716 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
```

Residual standard error: 2.459 on 28 degrees of freedom ## Multiple R-squared: 0.8497, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8336

```
## F-statistic: 52.75 on 3 and 28 DF, \, p-value: 1.21e-11
```

In th appendix we plot the residuals of the model.

`geom_smooth()` using formula 'y ~ x'

Conclusions

From our study we can determine that there is a difference in mpg in relation to transmission type in favor of manual. But a better explanation adjust with weight and qsec.

Appendix

```
#boxplot(mpq ~ am, data = mtcars, col = (c("red", "blue")), ylab = "Miles Per Gallon", xlab = "Transmiss
g <- ggplot(mtcars, aes(x=am, y=mpg, color = am)) +</pre>
          geom_boxplot()
g <- g + geom_jitter(shape=16, position=position_jitter(0.2))
g
   35 -
   30 -
   25 -
                                                                               am
 mpg
                                                                                    Automatic
                                                                                    Manual
   20 -
   15 -
   10-
                                                      Manual
                     Automatic
                                        am
ggplot(model, aes(.fitted, .resid)) + geom_point() +
  stat_smooth(method="loess", col="steelblue") + geom_hline(yintercept=0, linetype="dashed") +
  xlab("Fitted values")+ylab("Residuals") +
  ggtitle("Residual vs Fitted Plot") + theme_bw()
```

Residual vs Fitted Plot

