# Introduction to Property Based Testing

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail



- Sebastian Grail
- iOS Developer at Canva
- 🄰 @sebastiangrail
- github: sebastiangrail

## Testing a max function with conventional unit tests

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

4

To illustrate what propert based testing is, I'm going to run through an example of standard TDD workflow

#### Test Driven Development

- write a "single" unit test describing an aspect of the program
- run the test, which should fail because the program lacks that feature
- write "just enough" code, the simplest possible, to make the test pass
- "refactor" the code until it conforms to the simplicity criteria
- repeat, "accumulating" unit tests over time

https://www.agilealliance.org/glossary/tdd/

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)



Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

## Write a single failing test

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return 2
}
```



Write "just enough" code to make the test pass. It's so simple that we don't refactor

Z

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return 2
}
```

### Write another failing test

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return y
}
```



Write "just enough" code to make the test pass. It's so simple that we don't refactor

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return y
}
```

#### •

### Another failing test

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  if x == 3 {
    return x
  }
  return y
}
```



10

And "just enough" code. Again, there isn't much to refactor

```
11
```

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(4, 1), 4)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  if x == 3 {
    return x
  }
  return y
}
```

And yet another failing test



Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(4, 1), 4)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  if x == 3 || x == 4 {
    return x
  }
  return y
}
```



12

Enough code to make the test pass. Maybe we can refactor this.

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(4, 1), 4)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  if x >= 3 {
    return x
  }
  return y
}
```



13

One way to refactor, the tests still pass. So we should write even more tests

```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(4, 1), 4)
XCTAssertEqual(max(0, -3), 0)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  if x >= 3 {
    return x
  }
  return y
}
```



```
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(max(4, 1), 4)
XCTAssertEqual(max(0, -3), 0)

func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
   if x > y {
      return x
   }
   return y
}
```



15

We finally found the correct implementation and can't write any more failing tests

```
XCTAssertEqual(\max(1, 2), 2)
XCTAssertEqual(\max(1, 3), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(\max(3, 1), 3)
XCTAssertEqual(\max(4, 1), 4)
XCTAssertEqual(\max(0, -3), 0)
```

- 👍 100% code coverage
- ¶ Not exhaustive
- ¶ Not robust against changes
- Place Not good documentation
- F Confusing

Next: A different Approach, still TDD, but now we try to avoid the issues in this solution

```
let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a || max(a, b) == b)
```

17

This time we start with a stronger assertion, given any two random values, max should return one of them.

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return 0
}
let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a || max(a, b) == b)

(if one of the Int.random returned 0)
```

18

The simplest implementation might succeed by chance

```
19
```

return 0

for \_ in 0..<100 {

func max(\_ x: Int, \_ y: Int) -> Int {

let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)

XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a | | max(a, b) == b)

If we run it 100 times, we can be more confident about our test

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return x
}

for _ in 0..<100 {
  let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
  XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a || max(a, b) == b)
}</pre>
```



20

Write the simplest code to make our test pass

```
21
```

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return x
}

for _ in 0..<100 {
  let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
  XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a || max(a, b) == b)
}

for _ in 0..<100 {
  let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
  XCTAssert(max(a, b) >= a && max(a, b) >= b)
}
```

Our second assertion checks that the returned value is not smaller than any of the inputs, i.e. it is greater or equal to both

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return x > y ? x : y
}

for _ in 0..<100 {
  let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
  XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a || max(a, b) == b)
}

for _ in 0..<100 {
  let (a, b) = (Int.random, Int.random)
  XCTAssert(max(a, b) >= a && max(a, b) >= b)
```



22

We now can only write a corrcect implementation

```
XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a | | max(a, b) == b)

XCTAssert(max(a, b) >= a && max(a, b) >= b)
```

- de 100% code coverage
- Les Exhaustive<sup>1</sup>
- de Robust against changes
- Documents what the function does
- Harder to read and write at first
- 👍 🕆 The test data changes with every run

23

Now we are left with 2 assertions that precisely describe our requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eventually we will have tested *every* input

#### Properties

```
XCTAssert(max(a, b) == a | | max(a, b) == b)

XCTAssert(max(a, b) >= a && max(a, b) >= b)
```

- $ullet \ \ orall a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}: max(a,b) = a ee max(a,b) = b$
- $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}: max(a,b) \geq a \land max(a,b) \geq b$

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

24

We can describe these assertions in two mathematical properties

#### Properties

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
• \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z} : max(a,b) = a \lor max(a,b) = b forAll { (a: Int, b: Int) in \max(a,b) == a \mid \mid \max(a,b) == b } • \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z} : max(a,b) \geq a \land max(a,b) \geq b forAll { (a: Int, b: Int) in \max(a,b) >= a \&\& \max(a,b) >= b }
```

And can then translate those properties back into pseudo Swift code

#### SwiftCheck

SwiftCheck is a testing library that automatically generates random data for testing of program properties.

https://github.com/typelift/SwiftCheck

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

26

SwiftCheck is a Swift implementation of the Haskell testing library QuickCheck

There are several QuickCheck implementation for other languages, including Java and Kotlin

#### SwiftCheck

```
func testMax() {
  property("max returns one of its inputs") <- forAll { (a: Int, b: Int) in
     max(a,b) == a || max(a,b) == b
  }
  property("the output is >= to both inputs") <- forAll { (a: Int, b: Int) in
     max(a,b) >= a && max(a,b) >= b
  }
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

27

The pseudo code before is actually valid code in SwiftCheck. Here it is inside an XCTestCase test\* method

We give the property a name and assign or logical proposition to it. SwiftCheck then takes care of the details and runs the closure 100 times

## Examples of Properties

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

#### Reflexivity

```
property("Integer equality is reflexive") <-
   forAll { (x: Int) in
      x == x
}</pre>
```

- is subset of
- is divisible by

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

29

Other reflexive functions: is subset of, is divisble.

#### Commutativity

```
property("Integer addition is commutative") <-
  forAll { (x: Int, y: Int) in
    x + y == y + x
}</pre>
```

- Many maths operations are commutative (e.g. max)
- Set insertion

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

30

This is useful for all operations where the order of arguments doesn't matter.

For some operations, commutativity holds for part of the output, e.g. writing to a database might be independent of the order when discarding IDs. Writing to a cache might be commutative if it is big enough for both values

#### Associativity

```
property("appending strings is associative") <-
  forAll { (x: String, y: String, z: String) in
      (x + y) + z == x + (y + z)
}</pre>
```

- Addition and multiplication of numbers, vectors
- Matrix multiplication
- Union and intersection of sets
- Can be performed in parallel on large data sets

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

#### Inverses

```
property("reversing an array twice is identity") <-
forAll { (xs: [Int]) in
    xs.reversed().reversed() == xs
}</pre>
```

- reversed is the inverse of itself
- Works similar for other inverses
- E.g. serialising/deserialising

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

32

While this check is usually insufficient by itself, it makes sense in a suite of property tests

#### Distributivity

```
property("dot product distributes over vector addition")
<- forAll { (a: Vector, b: Vector, c: Vector) in
  let left = a.dot(b + c)
  let right = a.dot(b) + a.dot(c)
  return left.isCloseTo(right)
}</pre>
```

- Many maths operations are distributive
- map distributes over function composition

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

33

Often two functions need to work together in a specific way

#### Invariants

```
property("zip returns a sequence the length of its shortest argument")
<- forAll { (xs: [Bool], ys: [Bool], zs: [Bool]) in
   Array(zip(xs, ys, zs)).count == min(xs.count, min(ys.count, zs.count))
}</pre>
```

- zip returns prefixes of all its arguments
- map doesn't change the structure of a type
- Sorting doesn't add or remove elements

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

34

Many functions have invariants, i.e. part of the input is unchanged in the output

Other examples include map doesn't change the structure of a type, sorting doesn't add or remove elements

## Replicating Test Failures

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return x
}

*** Failed! Proposition: the output of max is greater or equal to both inputs
...
failed - Falsifiable; Replay with 1731542611 351985798 and size 1
```

36

The output includes the seeds for the random number generator and the size for the generator

```
func max(_ x: Int, _ y: Int) -> Int {
  return x
}

*** Failed! Proposition: the output of max is greater or equal to both inputs
...
failed - Falsifiable; Replay with 1731542611 351985798 and size 1

let arguments = CheckerArguments(replay: (StdGen(1731542611, 351985798), 1))
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

37

# We can use this information to create custom CheckerArguments

38

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

We pass the arguments into the property call and can now set a breakpoint and debug

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

39

Property tests are only as good as the generators they use. Let's take a closer look where those generators come from.

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
func forAll <A> (pf: @escaping (A) -> Testable)
    -> Property where A : Arbitrary

func forAll <A, B> (pf: @escaping (A, B) -> Testable)
    -> Property where A : Arbitrary, B : Arbitrary

...
```

40

This is the (simplified) signature of the forAll function we used before.

The function takes any type that conforms to arbitrary and returns any type that is Testable. Bool conforms to Testable

```
func forAll <A> (pf: (A) -> Testable) -> Property where A : Arbitrary
public protocol Arbitrary {
  public static var arbitrary: Gen<Self> { get }
  public static func shrink(_: Self) -> [Self]
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

41

Any type conforming to Arbitrary can produce a generator and shrink itself.

We'll come back to shrinking later.

```
func forAll <A> (pf: (A) -> Testable) -> Property where A : Arbitrary

public protocol Arbitrary {
   public static var arbitrary: Gen<Self> { get }
   public static func shrink(_: Self) -> [Self]
}

public struct Gen<A> {
   ...
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

42

Gen is a generic struct that provides various ways of constructing generators

- Gen represents a generator for random arbitrary values of type A.
- Gen wraps a function that, when given a random number generator and a size, can be used to control the distribution of resultant values.
- Create with single value, range or collection of values
- Create a new generator by modifying an existing generator
- Compose multiple generators into a new generator
- SwiftCheck comes with Arbitrary implementations for many Swift types

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

43

Value types that uses a random number generator as a dependency. This makes testing deterministic

```
44
```

```
Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail
```

struct Vector {

let dx: Double

let dy: Double

extension Vector: Arbitrary {

return Gen<(Double, Double)>

public static var arbitrary: Gen<Vector> {

.map {  $Vector(dx: \$0, dy: \$1) }$ 

.zip(Double.arbitrary, Double.arbitrary)

Often we can compose existing generators into generators for our custom types.

zip turns a tuple of generators into a generator of tuples.

map modifies generated values

# Custom Generators

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

### **Custom Generators**

```
func forAll <A> (
   gen: Gen<A>,
   pf: @escaping (A) -> Testable)
   -> SwiftCheck.Property where A : Arbitrary
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

46

One overload of the forAll function takes a generator as an argument

### **Custom Generators**

```
forAll { (x: Double) in
    ...
}

let gen = Double.arbitrary
forAll(gen) { x in
    ...
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

47

These two snippets are equivalent. Note that in the second we can omit the type for the closure argument

#### suchThat

```
let gen = Double.arbitrary.suchThat { $0 >= 0 }
forAll(gen) { x in
   ...
}
```

- Generates only values that satisfy the predicate
- Discards values that don't satsify the predicate
- Can be slow if a lot of values fail

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

48

# There's usually a better alternative

#### map

```
let gen = Double.arbitrary.map { abs($0) }
forAll(gen) { x in
   ...
}
```

- Modifies values
- Fast, because no values need to be discarded

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

49

There are many more operators, we'll see some of them later in the talk

# Testing Custom Types

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

50

Let's look at some more ways of composing generators

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

Compose provides a very readable (and imperative) way of combining generators generate returns a generic, the type is inferred from the context

```
User(name: "", verified: true, age: 0)
User(name: "", verified: true, age: 1)
User(name: "x$", verified: false, age: 2)
User(name: "úÏö", verified: false, age: -1)
User(name: "
ëd", verified: true, age: 1)
User(name: "½în", verified: true, age: -4)
User(name: "\tyïÏ", verified: true, age: -1)
User(name: "kþóß:Õ", verified: false, age: -3)
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

**52** 

This is great if we want to test edge cases in names and age, but what if User has a failable initialiser?

```
let nonNegativeNumbers = Int.arbitrary.map { abs($0) % 200 }
let validAges = Gen<Int>.fromElements(in: 0...200)
```

Multiples ways of creating a more realistic age generator fromElements chooses any value from a range with equal probability

We can now pass our custom generator into the generate function inside compose.

```
User(name: "", verified: false, age: 72)
User(name: "ô", verified: false, age: 33)
User(name: "\#^2 \times g", verified: false, age: 131)
User(name: "\#^0 \mid iz", verified: false, age: 110)
User(name: "\#^0 \mid iz", verified: true, age: 67)
User(name: "\#^1 \circ gi", verified: true, age: 200)
User(name: "\#^1 \circ gi", verified: true, age: 3)
```

56

This generator produces a Character from 'a' to 'z' with equal probability.

We can use it as a building block for the name

```
let charGenerator: Gen<Character> = Gen<Character>.fromElements(in: "a"..."z")
// Gen<Int>
let lowersGenerator = Gen<Int>.choose((3, 19))
```

We now build a generator for the lowercase suffix First we create a generator that will give us the length

```
58
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

let lowersGenerator = Gen<Int>.choose((3, 19))

.flatMap { n -> Gen<[Character]> in

// Gen<[Character]>

We can use flatMap to create generators that depend on other generators

let charGenerator: Gen<Character> = Gen<Character>.fromElements(in: "a"..."z")

let generators = Array(repeating: charGenerator, count: n)

return sequence(generators) // [Gen<T>] -> Gen<[T]>

For every value generated, we return a new generator that depends on that value

In this case, given a generated length, we create a generator of arrays of that length

```
let charGenerator: Gen<Character> = Gen<Character>.fromElements(in: "a"..."z")

// Gen<String>
let lowersGenerator = Gen<Int>.choose((3, 19))
    .flatMap { n -> Gen<[Character]> in
        let generators = Array(repeating: charGenerator, count: n)
        return sequence(generators) // [Gen<T>] -> Gen<[T]>
    }
    .map { String($0) }
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

**59** 

In the end we can use map to create a string from the Character array

Here I've used zip and map to create a generator for the whole name

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
User(name: "Qfcgfofolfpnps", verified: false, age: 27)
User(name: "Zusquveglknr", verified: true, age: 43)
User(name: "Djsatcdioiefqqasctcw", verified: true, age: 67)
User(name: "Utnpnohyjbxopk", verified: false, age: 123)
User(name: "Umkkqgruxdpgnnzwsnbut", verified: false, age: 117)
User(name: "Covfefe", verified: false, age: 161)
User(name: "Tuoslidvouzmj", verified: true, age: 120)
User(name: "Sfafwbojao", verified: false, age: 10)
User(name: "Pgwlrlqxzitwzvncv", verified: true, age: 110)
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

61

If we use this generator for the name property we get something like this

# Shrinking

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

62

A very powerful feature of SwiftCheck is its ability to find simple test data for failure cases.

# Shrinking

```
func reverse <T> (_ xs: [T]) -> [T] {
   guard let first = xs.first else { return [] }
   return reverse(Array(xs.dropLast())) + [first]
   //
}
```

#### Succeeds for

- arrays with less than 2 values
- arrays where all values are equal

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

63

This function uses dropLast instead of dropFirst, but it still works for some inputs.

If the test fails with a huge array, it might be hard to debug.

# Shrinking

On failure, SwiftCheck uses shrink to find the smallest test case that fails the test.

```
public protocol Arbitrary {
  public static var arbitrary: Gen<Self> { get }
  public static func shrink(_: Self) -> [Self]
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

64

Arbitrary has a default implementation for shrink which returns the empty array

# Shrinking Examples

shrink returns an array of values smaller than the input

- Int, Float and Double converge towards zero
- String replaces some characters with "smaller" characters and converges towards the empty string
- Arrays shrink to smaller arrays containing smaller values

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

65

When a test fails, SwiftCheck uses shrink to find smaller test data and will report the smallest input that fails the test.

# QuickCheck finds the smallest test case that doesn't satisfy the property

```
Falsifiable (after 6 tests and 8 shrinks):
[1, 0]
...
Replay with 1911878021 8651 and size 5
```

- [1, 0] is the smallest failing input that SwiftCheck found
- We can use CheckerArguments like before to replicate the failure.

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

66

Note that the arguments replicate the *first* failure, SwiftCheck can't find the seeds to recreate the shrunk values.

Subsequent calls however will use the values produced by shrink

## Shrinking a Custom Type

```
public static func shrink(_ vector: Vector) -> [Vector] {
  let dxs = Double.shrink(vector.dx)
  let dys = Double.shrink(vector.dy)
  var result: [Vector] = []
  for dx in dxs {
    for dy in dys {
       result.append(Vector(dx: dx, dy: dy))
    }
  }
  return result
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

67

We can create a customer shrinker for Vectors by shrinking each component and combining the results

# Shrinking with Custom Generators

```
forAll(Int.arbitrary.map { -abs($0) }) { n in
   ...
}
```

- Uses the standard shrinker for Ints
- Runs the tests with positive numbers

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

68

When using a modified generator, SwiftCheck will still use the standard shrinker for that type

# Shrinking with Custom Generators

```
forAll(Int.arbitrary.map { -abs($0) }) { n in
  return (x <= 0) ==> {
    ...
  }
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

69

The ==> operator, takes a boolean on the left and discards the test run if it is false

Careful: This can lead to tests where all runs are discarded

# Shrinking with Custom Generators

```
forAllNoShrink(Int.arbitrary.map { -abs($0) }) { n in
  return ...
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

70

with froAllNoShrink, SwiftCheck doesn't shrink the input

## Providing Custom Shrinkers

```
func forAllShrink<A>(
    _ gen: SwiftCheck.Gen<A>,
    shrinker: @escaping (A) -> [A],
    f: @escaping (A) throws -> Testable)
    -> SwiftCheck.Property
```

No overloads for multiple arguments

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

# Markov Chains using SwiftCheck Generators

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

#### **Markov Chains using SwiftCheck Generators**

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

Has an array of (probability in percent, next letter) for every letter.

Underscore represents start of word, nil represents end of word

#### **Markov Chains using SwiftCheck**

```
func string(following s: String) -> Gen<String?> {
    guard let successorGen = letterFrequency[s] else {
        return Gen.pure(nil)
    }
    return Gen<String?>.weighted(
        successorGen.map { (Int($0*100), $1) }
    )
}
```

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

74

Given a string, create a generator for the successor of that string with the same frequency as in English Immediately terminate if the letter isn't in the dictionary Use weighted to create a generator from a sequence of (relative distribution, value)

#### **Markov Chains using SwiftCheck**

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

```
func unfold <Value> (
    f: @escaping (Value) -> Gen<Value?>,
    initial: Value)
    -> Gen<[Value]>
{
    return f(initial).flatMap { value -> Gen<[Value]> in
        guard let value = value else {
        return Gen<[Value]>.pure([])
      }
    return unfold(f: f, initial: value).map { [value] + $0 }
}
```

Unfold is the opposite of a fold, i.e. given a starting value and a function, it creates a sequence of values.

**75** 

Note how the signature of the function argument matches the function from the previous slide

Call the function with the initial value.

Use flatMap to create a generator that depends on a value

If the value is nil, the recursion terminates

Otherwise we recurse, using the value as the new initial, and concatenating the results

There's a  $\sim 1$  in  $2^{27}$  chance that it will generate "swift"

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

76

The two functions together will produce a generator of "English-like" words

Use map to join the strings, such That to get more interesting words

## Problems I Encountered

- Finding properties is hard
- Swift's type system: Missing conditional conformance
- Sometimes the type system gives up
- Danger of repeating implementation

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail

## Thank You

- Slides with notes and sample code available at <u>github.com/</u> <u>sebastiangrail/property-based-testing-talk</u>
- SwiftCheck is open source at <a href="mailto:github.com/typelift/SwiftCheck">github.com/typelift/SwiftCheck</a>
- Haskell Programming from first principles at <u>haskellbook.com</u> is one of the best books on functional programming

Sebastian Grail / Sydney Cocoaheads Sep 2017 / @sebastiangrail