# COM1033 FOUNDATIONS OF COMPUTING II

## Jim S. Lam

## March 22, 2024

## Contents

1	Vec	etors	<b>2</b>	
	1.1	Vector Definition	2	
	1.2		2	
		1.2.1 Addition		
		1.2.2 Scalar Multiplication		
		1.2.3 Dot Product / Scalar Product	2	
<b>2</b>	Linear Dependence / Independence			
	2.1	Linear Combination	2	
	2.2			
3	Matrices			
	3.1	Matrix Multiplication	5	
4	Linear Equations			
	4.1	System of Linear Equations	6	
		4.1.1 Mock test	8	
5	Vec	etor Spaces	9	

## 1 Vectors

#### 1.1 Vector Definition

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and n > 0.

The set of all vectors is the cartesian product of  $\mathbb{R}$  by n times, which is a set of ordered n-tuples of real numbers.

For instance, a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is defined as:

$$\mathbb{R}^3 = \{ (x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

#### **Null Vector**

The null vector is a vector with all elements equal to 0.

$$\vec{o} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## 1.2 Vector Operations

#### 1.2.1 Addition

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a+d \\ b+e \\ c+f \end{pmatrix}$$

## 1.2.2 Scalar Multiplication

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a \\ \lambda b \\ \lambda c \end{pmatrix}$$

## 1.2.3 Dot Product / Scalar Product

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2 + \dots + a_n b_n$$

## 2 Linear Dependence / Independence

#### 2.1 Linear Combination

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  be n scalars, and  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, ..., \vec{v_n}$  be n vectors.

$$\vec{w} = \lambda_1 \vec{v_1} + \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + \lambda_n \vec{v_n}$$

 $\vec{w}$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, ..., \vec{v_n}$  using the scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$ .

## 2.2 Linear Dependence

Let there be n vectors of the same dimension.

If the null vector  $\vec{o}$  can be expressed as linear combination of the n vectors as defined, using non null scalars.

In other words, the n vectors are linearly dependent if:

$$\vec{w} = \lambda_1 \vec{v_1} + \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} + ... + \lambda_n \vec{v_n} \mid \exists \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n \neq 0, 0, ..., 0$$

#### Exercises

Question 1: Sum the following vectors  $\in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\vec{v_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Calculate the product  $\lambda \vec{v_1}$  with  $\lambda = 2$ 

$$\lambda \vec{v_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 6\\10\\-8 \end{pmatrix}$$

Question 2

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\vec{u}\vec{v} = 3 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 2 + -4 \cdot 4$$
$$= 6 + 10 - 16$$
$$= 0$$

Question 3

$$\vec{v_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{v_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\2\\2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{v_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\6\\5 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

when: 
$$\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 2, \lambda_3 = -1$$
 (2)

$$\lambda_1 \vec{v_1} + \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} + \lambda_3 \vec{v_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (3)

Question 4

Let  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$  be n linearly independent vectors. Consider the set of scalers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  such that  $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + ... + \lambda_n v_n = 0$ . Find alternative sets of the scalers.

Just multiply all the scalars by a common scaling factor, let's say  $\mu$ 

Question 5:

 $a_3$  is on the same line

## 3 Matrices

## 3.1 Matrix Multiplication

Let A be a  $m \times n$  matrix, and B be a  $n \times p$  matrix.

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m,1} & a_{m,2} & \dots & a_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & b_{1,2} & \dots & b_{1,p} \\ b_{2,1} & b_{2,2} & \dots & b_{2,p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ b_{n,1} & b_{n,2} & \dots & b_{n,p} \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

= (6)

Question 6:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$0 - 2 - 0 + 0 + 12 - 20 = -10$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-1 - (0) - (0) + 0 + 6 - (-12) = 17$$

$$v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 \text{ i.e.: } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} - 1 - (0) - (0) + 0 + 6 - (-12)$$

$$= 17$$

Start with a matrix with a determinant of 0.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 1 & a_1 2 & a_1 3 \\ a_2 1 & a_2 2 & a_2 3 \\ a_3 1 & a_3 2 & a_3 3 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (7)

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

the first laplace theorem is to expand the determinant of a matrix along the first row.

$$a_1 1 \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - a_1 2 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + a_1 3 \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (9)

for the example matrix M:

$$\det(\mathbb{M}) = 6 - 6 - 0 + 6 + 0 - 12 = -6 \tag{10}$$

(11)

2. Yes

question 4: 2

Row three is a null row.

has determinant 0

Row three plus a row one multiplied by the some scalar has the same determinant.

Row three plus row two multiplied by the some scalar has the same determinant. -170 for both  $\det(AB)$  and  $\det(BA)$ 

## 4 Linear Equations

## 4.1 System of Linear Equations

$$\begin{cases}
a_{1,1}x + a_{1,2}y = b_1 \\
a_{2,1}x + a_{2,2}y = b_2
\end{cases}$$
(12)

the above can be expressed as a matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

Let's use a 4 by 4 square matrix as an example:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} \\
a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\
a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} \\
a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\ y \\ z \\ w
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ b_4
\end{pmatrix}$$
(14)

/subsubsectionKramer's method

For the above 4 by 4 matrix, we can solve for x by using the following formula:

$$x = \frac{\det(\mathbb{M}_x)}{\det(\mathbb{M})} \tag{15}$$

note that  $\mathbb{M}_x$  is the matrix  $\mathbb{M}$  with the first column replaced by the column vector  $\mathbb{B}$ .

So  $x_1$  for instance would be:

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(\mathbb{M}_1)}{\det(\mathbb{M})} \tag{16}$$

$$x_{1} = \frac{\det(\mathbb{M}_{1})}{\det(\mathbb{M})}$$
Where  $\mathbb{M}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} \\ b_{2} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\ b_{3} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} \\ b_{4} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$(16)$$

#### Mock test 4.1.1

$$\begin{cases} 3x - 2y + z = 2 \\ 2z = 2 \end{cases}$$
 Can be expressed as: 
$$x + y = 2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 the determinant of the matrix is:

$$0 - 6 - 0 - 4 + 0 - 0 = -1$$

It is solvable.

The solution for x is:

$$\det(\mathbb{M}_x) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 & 1\\ 2 & 0 & 2\\ 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 - 4 + 0 - 8 + 2 - 0 = -10$$
$$= \frac{-10}{-10} = 1$$

Question 2.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 the determinant of the matrix is:

$$0 - 6 + 8 - 4 + 2 + 0 = 0$$

It is not solvable.

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$-3y - 1(2) = -4$$

$$-3y = -2$$

$$-3y = -2$$

$$y = \frac{2}{3}$$
$$x = \frac{1}{3}$$

Question 4.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & a & b \\
c & 0 & d \\
e & f & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

## 5 Vector Spaces

Fuck you

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be a non-null set  $(\mathbf{E} \neq \emptyset)$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  be a scalar set. We designate vectors as elements of  $\mathbf{E}$  let '+' be an internal compositional law, i.e.  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}$  let '.' be an internal compositional law, i.e.  $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}$