Programming Paradigms 2024 Session 5: Recursion

Hans Hüttel

15 October 2024

Our plan for today

- 1. The learning goals
- 2. Presentations of the discussion problems
- 3. Problem no. 1
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Problem no. 2
- 6. Break
- 7. Problem no. 3
- 8. Discussion
- 9. Problem no. 4
- 10. Problem no. 5
- 11. If time allows: More problems at your own pace.
- 12. We evaluate today's session please stay until the end!

Learning goals

- ➤ To understand the structure of a recursive function definition
- ➤ To be able to read and write recursive function definitions on lists
- ➤ To be able to read and write recursion definitions that make use of multiple recursion or mutual recursion
- ➤ To be able to write recursive function definitions in a structured fashion

Discussion problem – replicate

Define the function replicate – and use pattern matching in your solution. This function takes an integer n and and an element x and gives us a list with n elements where x has been repeated exactly n times.

As an example, replicate $3\ 5$ should give us [5,5,5]. What should the type of replicate be?

Discussion problem – improve

Define the function improve – and use pattern matching in your solution. It takes a list xs and, if xs contains at least two elements, it gives us a list where every other element has been removed.

As an example, improve [1,2,3,4,5,6,7] should give us [1,3,5,7]. What should the type of improve be?

About the problems

- ▶ When you work on the problems in this problem set (and in the future), use the approach outlined in Section 6.6 of the book learning this strategy is a way to reach the learning goals of this part of the course.
- ▶ Avoid "headtailery"! (using head, tail etc. for taking lists apart) Pattern matching is your friend here. Use it instead.
- ▶ Avoid "intboolery"!, that is, wrongly assuming that whole numbers and truth values are all there is.

 Polymorphism is all over the place! Try having your type specification as a comment at first and use type inference to find the actual type. Types are often more general than one assumes.

Problem 1 – reverse (10 minutes)

The function reverse appears in the Haskell prelude. It will reverse a list such that e.g. reverse [1,2,3] evaluates to [3,2,1].

Now it is your task to define your own version of this function, rev.

Discussion – Descending lists

A list $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$ is descending if $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots \geq a_n$. The list descending [6,5,5,1] is descending. The list descending ["plip", "pli", "ppp"] is not.

A famous stand-up comedian has defined a function descending that will return True if a list is descending and False otherwise.

Here is what the stand-up comedian wrote.

```
descending (x:y:xs) = if (x \ge y)
then True
else False
```

Will this work?

Problem 2 – descending (15 minutes)

Now it is your turn!

Write a function descending that will return True if a list is descending and False otherwise.

Discussion – isolate

The function isolate takes a list l and an element x and returns a pair of two new lists (l1, l2). The first list l1 is a list that contains all elements in l that are not equal to x. The second list l2 is a list that contains all occurrences of x in l.

- ▶ isolate [4,5,4,6,7,4] 4 evaluates to ([5,6,7],[4,4,4]).
- ► isolate ['g','a','k','a'] 'a' evaluates to (['g','k'], ['a','a']).

A very confident Java programmer wrote the following definition of isolate (also found on the Moodle page as isolate.hs) using their Java skills.

```
isolate ys x = if (head ys == x) == False
                      then ([(head ys)]
                              ++ fst (isolate (tail
                                   vs) x)
                                     snd (isolate (
                                         tail ys) x)
               else
                                (fst (isolate (tail
                                   vs) x),[(head vs
                              ++ (snd (isolate (
                                  tail vs) x)))
```

The Java programmer exclaimed: Why does everything have to be so clumsy in Haskell?

Comments, please!

Problem 3 – Your own isolate (20 minutes)

Now it is your turn: Define isolate in Haskell using recursion¹ but *without* using fst, snd, head or tail.

What should the type of isolate be?

Major hint: Place the recursive call in a where-clause and use pattern matching to find the components in the result of that call.

Problem 4 – Wrapping up (20 minutes)

The function wrapup is a function that takes a list and returns a list of lists. Each list in this list contains the successive elements from the original list that are identical.

For instance,

```
wrapup [1,1,1,2,3,3,2] should give us [[1,1,1],[2],[3,3],[2]]
```

wrapup [True,True,False,False,False,True] should give us [[True,True],[False,False,False],[True]].

Define wrapup in Haskell using recursion² but without using fst, snd, head or tail. What is the type of wrapup?

Problem 5 – Triples (20 minutes)

A former minister of science and education has decided to get a university degree and is now trying to define a Haskell function triples that takes a list of tuples (each tuple has exactly 3 elements) and converts that list of tuples into a tuple of lists.

```
triples [(1,2,3), (4, 5, 6), (7, 8, 9)] should produce ([1,4,7], [2, 5, 8], [3, 6, 9]).
```

The minister wrote the following but ran into problems. What seems to be wrong?

```
triples :: Num a => [(a,a,a)] -> ([a],[a],[a])
triples [] = ()
triples [(a,b,c)]= ([a],[b],[c])
triples (x:xs,y:ys,z:zs) = [x,y,z] : Triples [(xs,ys,zs)]
```

Can you fix these issues? How can Section 6.6 help you here?

Evaluation

- ▶ What did you find difficult?
- ▶ What surprised you?
- ▶ What went well?
- ▶ What could be improved? How does the setup work this time?
- ► Is there a particular problem that we should follow up on with a short video?