

# RULE-BASED COREFENCE RESOLUTION WITH BART

Julian Baumann, Xenia Kühling, Sebastian Ruder Institute for Computational Linguistics, Univ. Heidelberg

Goal

Goal...

## Conclusion

Conclusion...

### Blocks

Blocks are...

0.603

Stanford

### **Evaluation**

MUC-Score							
	<b>Recall Precision</b>		F_1	Varalaich mit BADTs Machine Learning			
<b>Unser System</b>	er System 0.644 0.69		0.667		Vergleich mit BARTs Machine Learning-		
BART	0.721 0.532		0.612	.612			
Konfiguration (XMLExperiment)							
•			MUC-Score				
		Recall	Preci	sion	F_1		
SpeakerIdentification		0.004	0.637	7	800.0		
+StringMatch		0.157	0.857	7	0.265		
+RelaxedStringMatch		0.180	0.825	5	0.295		
+PreciseConstructs		0.241	0.822	2	0.372	Performanz der einzelnen	
+HeadMatchA		0.295	0.809	)	0.432		
+HeadMatchB		0.355	0.775	5	0.487		
+HeadMatchC		0.357	0.771	L	0.488		
+ProperHeadNounMatch		0.358	0.771	L	0.489		
+RelaxedHeadMatch		0.383	0.771	L	0.512		
+PronounMatch		0.644	0.691	L	0.667		
sieves							
	MUC-Score						
	F_1	-1					
Unser System 0.420 Vergleich mit dem Stanford-System							

# Columns

By default,...

### Subcolumns


If you...

An example...

Changing the Poster's Appearance

If the default...

# Stanford



# **BART**

