

Shrinking together and pulling apart: the Austrian gas grid by 2040 under declining natural gas demand and increasing domestic renewable gas generation

Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard^{a,b,*}, Aria Rodgarkia-Dara^c, Christoph Gatzen^c,
Marcus Otti^a, Antonia Golab^a, Hans Auer^{a,b}

^a*Energy Economics Group (EEG), Technische Universität Wien, Gusshausstrasse
25-29/E370-3, 1040 Wien, Austria*

^b*Industrial Economics and Technology Management, Norwegian University of Science and
Technology, Gløshaugen, Alfred Getz vei 3, Trondheim, 7491, Norway*

^c*Frontier Economics Limited, 71 High Holborn, London WC1V 6DA, United Kingdom*

Abstract

Keywords: Gas networks, decommissioning, modeling, cost-optimal, decarbonization

*Corresponding author

Email address: zwickl@eeg.tuwien.ac.at (Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard)

1. Introduction

Natural gas grids in Europe have been operated economically in recent decades. There are two main reasons for this. First, natural gas has been an extremely cheap energy source, mainly due to its unlimited availability through imports. As a result, natural gas has been demanded in large quantities to cover different energy services. Second, the transport of natural gas through pipelines over short and long distances to cover demand was and is technically efficient and economically cheap. However, the future of natural gas grids and their economic efficiency is unclear today. The main argument for smaller gas grids in the future is the expected decline in demand for natural gas as Europe aims to meet its ambitious decarbonization targets.

das ist sicher ein genereller trend und trasferabel do all the european countries in einigen ländern, wie deutschland, italien und auch österreich, wird die renewable gas production eine rolle spielen. diese ist insbesondere in der fläche zu erwarten, könnte zu einem renewable betrieB von existierenden gasnetzen führen. gleichzeitig würde das zu einem netz in der fläche führen außerdem spielt natürlich das thema hydrogen noch eine wichtige rolle. dessen rolle auf die gasnetze muss vermutlich unterschiedlich auf den netzebene gesehen werden. auf der fernleitungsebene zeichnet sich ein sehr klares bild ab umwidmung da man stränge hat hydrogen backbone etc. auf den untergelagerten netzebene (high, mid, und low pressure) ist die entwicklung unklar nicht nur wo die verbräuche sitzen weiterbetrieb von leitungen, sondern auch durch die einspeisung

the goal of this paper is...

the main research questions are:

the method applied to answer

numerical example

organizer of the paper

2. Results

This section shows the main findings of the Austrian case study. As described above, results for the four scenarios Electrification (Elec), Green Gases (GG), Decentralized Green Gases and Green Methane (GM) are presented. It is structured in three parts. First, Sections 2.1 and 2.2 present the Austrian gas grid in 2030 and 2040 respectively. The quantitative results for grid length, operating and investment costs are presented for both years in detail. Building on this, Section 2.3 focuses on the costs of the grid and shows the grid charges for customers in 2040.

2.1. Austrian gas grid in 2030

The Austrian gas grid in 2030 is shown in Figure 1. The grid, which is the same in all four scenarios, is very similar to the initial grid in 2025 and is only slightly smaller.




Figure 1: Austrian gas grid in 2030 at the transmission (blue), high-pressure (red) and mid-pressure (green) pressure levels in all four scenarios.

The main reason for the reduction is the use of redundancies and duplicate structures in the grid as a result of declining gas demand. Table 1 shows the

reduction in the grid length at the high-pressure and mid-pressure levels in the four scenarios.

Pressure level	2025	2030			
	Initial grid	Elec	GG	DGG	GM
High-pressure	1449 km	−172 km (−11.9 %)	−142 km (−9.8 %)	−142 km (−9.8 %)	−131 km (−9.0 %)
Mid-pressure	3218 km	−283 km (−8.8 %)	−200 km (−6.2 %)	−186 km (−5.8 %)	−208 km (−6.5 %)

Table 1: Absolute and relative reduction in the length of the gas grid at the high-pressure and mid-pressure levels by 2030 compared to the initial grid in 2025. Abbreviations: Electrification (Elec), Green Gases (GG), Decentralized Green Gases (DGG), Green Methane (GM).

The reduction in the grid length at the high-pressure level varies between −131 km and −172 km in the GM and Elec scenarios respectively. The reduction in the grid length at the mid-pressure level varies between −186 km and −283 km in the DGG and Elec scenarios respectively. Removing redundant gas pipelines reduces the operating costs of the grid.¹ The operating costs of the gas grid, which are mainly fixed pipeline costs, decrease compared to the initial grid in 2025 and are around 110 MEUR in all four scenarios in 2030. Note that energy costs for the compressor are not included. By 2030, virtually no gas pipelines are decommissioned due to ageing or because the pipeline is no longer used to transport gas. The assumed young grid age also leads to very low replacement investments into the gas grid. In total, those investments vary by 2030 between 15 MEUR and 18 MEUR in the Elec and GM scenarios respectively. Note that in the model here, replacement investment is necessary when a pipeline reaches its technical lifetime of 75 years. At this point, the model decides whether to invest in replacing the pipeline or to decommission it due to its age.

¹In reality, these gas pipelines, especially at the transmission and high-pressure levels, can form the core of a hydrogen network. For further details, see for example, the plans for the Austrian hydrogen grid by 2030 published by the Austrian gas network operator [1].

2.2. Austrian gas grid in 2040

The Austrian gas grid in 2040 differs significantly between the four scenarios. Four different gas grids emerge, which are mainly determined by the assumptions of the underlying scenarios. Figures 2 and 3 show the smallest and largest gas grids in terms of grid length.




Figure 2: Austria's smallest gas network by 2040 in the scenario Electrification (Elec). Colours: transmission (blue), high-pressure (red) and mid-pressure (green).

The smallest grid is in the Elec scenario and the largest in the GM scenario. The gas grids of the remaining two scenarios GG and DGG are shown in Appendix A. They lie between the two extreme grids in terms of size. Table 2 quantifies the size of the gas grids in 2040 in all the four scenarios by comparing the absolute length of the grids as well as the absolute and relative reduction of grid lengths compared to the initial grid in 2025. In absolute numbers, the reduction of grid length at the mid-pressure level is more significant than at the high-pressure level. In particular, the reduction in the grid length at the mid-pressure level is equally greatest in the two scenarios Elec and GG the greatest with -1316 km and -40.9% compared to the initial grid in 2025. The smallest reduction in length at the mid-pressure level among the four scenarios is with

−811 km (−40.9 %) compared to the initial grid in 2025) in the DGG scenario.




Figure 3: Austria’s largest gas network by 2040 in the scenario Green Methane (GM). Colours: transmission (blue), high-pressure (red) and mid-pressure (green).

The main reason here for the relatively small reduction in the mid-pressure grid length is the significant decentralized production and injection of renewable gas.

Pressure level	Indicator	2040			
		Elec	GG	DGG	GM
High-pressure	Abs. grid length in 2040	964 km	965 km	974 km	1105 km
	Abs. reduction to 2025	−485 km	−484 km	−475 km	−344 km
	Rel. reduction to 2025	−33.5 %	−33.4 %	−32.8 %	−23.7 %
Mid-pressure	Abs. grid length in 2040	1902 km	1902 km	2407 km	2331 km
	Abs. reduction to 2025	−1316 km	−1316 km	−811 km	−887 km
	Rel. reduction to 2025	−40.9 %	−40.9 %	−25.2 %	−27.6 %

Table 2: Absolute length of the grids 2040 in the four scenarios as well as the absolute and relative reduction of grid lengths compared to the initial grid in 2025 at the high-pressure and mid-pressure levels. Abbreviations: Electrification (Elec), Green Gases (GG), Decentralized Green Gases (DGG), Green Methane (GM).

The injection leads to an increased use of mid-pressure pipelines. Figure 4 shows the grid length in the two extreme scenarios Elec (top) and GM (bottom) at

high-pressure (left) and mid-pressure (right) levels. It highlights the reduction in grid length by 2030 and 2040. The grid length in 2025 is shown on the far left and in 2040 on the far right.




Figure 4: Comparison of the Austrian gas grid in 2025 and 2040 in the scenarios extreme scenarios Electrification (Elec) and Green Methane (GM) at high-pressure and mid-pressure levels. In the Elec and GM scenarios, the smallest and the largest gas grids are obtained in terms of the size of the grids.

The operating costs of the gas grid decrease compared to 2025. They vary between 87.5 MEUR and 93.0 MEUR in the Elec and GM scenarios respectively. 50.0 MEUR (the same in all four scenarios) are accounted for the transmission

level. The remaining costs are accounted for the high-pressure and mid-pressure level. Figure 5 shows the total replacement investments in the gas grid in the four scenarios. It includes the replacement investments in 2030 mentioned in Section 2.1 above. The lowest total replacement investments are in the scenarios GG and Elec with 143.0 MEUR and 146.0 MEUR respectively. The highest replacement investments are in the GM scenario with 185.0 MEUR.




Figure 5: Total replacement investments in the Austrian gas grid until 2040 in the four scenarios.

2.3. Grid charges for customers in 2040

This section presents an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the gas grid in four different scenarios. The average grid costs are calculated by dividing the total annual grid costs by the gas demand supplied by the grid, and these figures are provided. These average grid costs serve as a basis for estimating grid charges for customers in 2040. It should be noted that determining grid charges based on minimizing system costs is challenging due to regulatory considerations. Nevertheless, regulatory mechanisms often rely on approaches that aim to minimize system costs. Therefore, it is important to consider and interpret the following results from this perspective. In particular, the different grid costs provide a different perspective on comparing the four scenarios.

Figure 6 shows the grid costs in 2040 in the four different scenarios. The horizontal axis shows the gas demand supplied by the grid in TWh. The Elec scenario is therefore on the far left, as it has the lowest gas demand of the four scenarios.

At the same time, the GM scenario, which has the highest gas demand among the scenarios, is on the far right.




Figure 6: Total replacement investments in the Austrian gas grid until 2040 in the four scenarios.

3. Synthesis

Declaration of interests

None.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

Acknowledgments**References**

- [1] Austrian Gas Grid Management AG (AGGM), Austrian Gas Infrastructure Day (AGID), online available under: <https://www.aggm.at/en/network-information/network-developments-plans/ltip> (2023).

Appendix A. Detaillierte Gasnetz im Szenario A und B 2040