Modeling the Global LNG Market: Assessing Europe's Supply and its Price until 2040

Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard a,b,*

^aEnergy Economics Group (EEG), Technische Universität Wien, Gusshausstrasse
 25-29/E370-3, 1040 Wien, Austria
 ^bDepartment of Industrial Economics and Technology Management,
 The Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

Abstract

9 Keywords:

Email address: zwickl@eeg.tuwien.ac.at (Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard)

^{*}Corresponding author

1. Introduction

- The world is committed to achieving carbon neutrality by mid-century. Undisputed thereby are measures that increase the share of renewable energy in the 12 energy system and thus replace fossil energy sources [1]. However, the speed 13 on the way there and the specific target year in which net zero emissions are 14 emitted vary between regions. China, for example, has defined 2060 as the target year [2], while Europe aims to achieve climate neutrality in 2050 [3]. For these regions and all others, the question arises of how this sustainable energy 17 transition is shaped in concrete terms [4]. The consensus is that transitional solutions and so-called bridge technologies (or bridge fuels) are necessary if renewable energy cannot fully supply the energy system [5]. A pillar of these bridge technologies, namely liquified natural gas (LNG), is the subject of this paper. 22
- 23 So far, the role of LNG in energy systems has differed significantly among global
- 24 regions. Traditionally, the Asian market and particularly the Japanese one had
- 25 a strong focus on LNG. Other countries, for example, China and South Korea,
- have shifted to LNG and increased their demand partly significantly in the past
- decades [6].

36

38

- 28 In the context of Europe, LNG's importance was minor since Europe has been
- supplied by piped-gas in the last decades. Main supply country for European
- 30 gas demand was Russia, as the share of russian piped-gas was x% in 20xx. Not
- only the geographical nähe but also the general cheap price of Russian piped-
- $_{32}$ gas in the past was the main reason why Europe as LNG market has been
- unattractive until now. This situation has been changed fundamentally as a
- result of the invasion of the Ukraine by Russia in February 2022. Als Reaktion
- belegt mit Sanktionen worauf Russland die versorgung eingestellt hat.
 - bezogen auf europa piped-gas bis vor kurzem
- weshalb Europa als LNG Markt bisher uninteressant war
- durch den Angriffskrieg fundamental geändert

- LNG steht nun auf der Agenda in EU
- essenziell für die versorgungssicherheit in europa (neue Terminals an den Kusten gebaut)
- krisenmodus lng vergleich mit piped gas nicht möglich da es um versorgungssicherheit geht aber gleichwertig mit dem einsatz von öl its emissions
- aktuell supply möglich weil china sehr geringen verbrauch hat, daher derzeitige situation nicht repesentativ
- deswegen auch f
 ür exportl
 änder attraktiv
- unklar wie sich mittel- bis langfristig equilibirum einstellt bzw. willingess
 to pay sein wird und
- dazu trägt auch bei dass viele länder im jahre 2022 versucht haben sehr
 kurzfristige verträge abzuschließen im krisenmodus
- the core objective of this work...

53 Declaration of interests

None.

55 Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors report no declarations of interest.

57 Acknowledgments

- This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
- 59 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 835896. The
- authors acknowledge TU Wien Bibliothek for financial support through its Open
- 61 Access Funding Programme.

62 References

- [1] X. Yuan, C.-W. Su, M. Umar, X. Shao, O.-R. LobonŢ, The race to zero emissions: Can renewable energy be the path to carbon neutrality?, Journal of Environmental Management 308 (2022) 114648. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114648.
- [2] Z. Jia, B. Lin, How to achieve the first step of the carbon-neutrality 2060 target in china: The coal substitution perspective, Energy 233 (2021) 121179.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.121179.
- [3] S. Wolf, J. Teitge, J. Mielke, F. Schütze, C. Jaeger, The european green deal—more than climate neutrality, Intereconomics 56 (2) (2021) 99–107.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10272-021-0963-z.
- P. Capros, M. Kannavou, S. Evangelopoulou, A. Petropoulos, P. Siskos,
 N. Tasios, G. Zazias, A. DeVita, Outlook of the eu energy system up to 2050:
 The case of scenarios prepared for european commission's "clean energy for all europeans" package using the primes model, Energy Strategy Reviews 22
 (2018) 255–263. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2018.06.009.
- [5] C. Gürsan, V. de Gooyert, The systemic impact of a transition fuel: Does
 natural gas help or hinder the energy transition?, Renewable and Sustain able Energy Reviews 138 (2021) 110552. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/
 j.rser.2020.110552.
- [6] R. F. Aguilera, The role of natural gas in a low carbon asia pacific, Applied Energy 113 (2014) 1795–1800. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

 apenergy.2013.07.048.