

Modeling the Global LNG Market: Assessing Europe's LNG Supply and its Price until 2040

Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard^{a,b,*}

^a*Energy Economics Group (EEG), Technische Universität Wien, Gusshausstrasse
25-29/E370-3, 1040 Wien, Austria*

^b*Department of Industrial Economics and Technology Management,
The Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway*

Abstract

Keywords:

1. Introduction

The world is committed to achieving carbon neutrality by mid-century. Undisputed thereby are measures that increase the share of renewable energy in the energy system and thus replace fossil energy sources. However, the speed on the way there and the specific target year in which net zero emissions are emitted vary between regions. China, for example, has defined 2060 as the target year, while Europe aims to achieve climate neutrality in 2050. For these regions and all others, the question arises of how this sustainable energy transition is shaped in concrete terms. The consensus is that transitional solutions and so-called bridge technologies (or bridge fuels) are necessary if renewable energy cannot fully supply the energy system. A pillar of these bridge technologies, namely liquefied natural gas (LNG), is the subject of this paper.

Die Wichtigkeit von LNG hat sich bisher sehr stark zwischen den Regionen unterschieden.

- bezogen auf europa piped-gas bis vor kurzem
- weshalb Europa als LNG Markt bisher uninteressant war
- durch den Angriffskrieg fundamental geändert

*Corresponding author

Email address: zwickl@eeg.tuwien.ac.at (Sebastian Zwickl-Bernhard)

Preprint submitted to Energy Strategy Reviews

December 21, 2022

- 27 • LNG steht nun auf der Agenda in EU
- 28 • essenziell für die versorgungssicherheit in europa (neue Terminals an den
- 29 Kusten gebaut)
- 30 • krisenmodus lng vergleich mit piped gas nicht möglich da es um ver-
- 31 sorgungssicherheit geht aber gleichwertig mit dem einsatz von öl its emis-
- 32 sions
- 33 • aktuell supply möglich weil china sehr geringen verbrauch hat, daher
- 34 derzeitige situation nicht representativ
- 35 • deswegen auch für exportländer attraktiv
- 36 • unklar wie sich mittel- bis langfristig equilibrium einstellt bzw. willingess
- 37 to pay sein wird und
- 38 • dazu trägt auch bei dass viele länder im jahre 2022 versucht haben sehr
- 39 kurzfristige verträge abzuschließen im krisenmodus

40 the core objective of this work...

41 **Declaration of interests**

42 None.

43 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

44 The authors report no declarations of interest.

45 **Acknowledgments**

46 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
 47 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 835896. The
 48 authors acknowledge TU Wien Bibliothek for financial support through its Open
 49 Access Funding Programme.

50 References

- 51 [1] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC),
52 Conference of the Parties Twenty-first session: Adoption of the Paris Agree-
53 ment, retrieved on 14.07.2022, [https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/](https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/109r01.pdf)
54 [2015/cop21/eng/109r01.pdf](https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cop21/eng/109r01.pdf) (2015).
- 55 [2] H. Duan, J. Rogelj, J. Veysey, S. Wang, Modeling deep decarbonization: Ro-
56 bust energy policy and climate action, *Applied Energy* 262 (2020) e114517.
57 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114517>.
- 58 [3] H. Auer, P. C. del Granado, P.-Y. Oei, K. Hainsch, K. Löffler, T. Bu-
59 randt, D. Huppmann, I. Grabaak, Development and modelling of different
60 decarbonization scenarios of the European energy system until 2050 as a
61 contribution to achieving the ambitious 1.5°C climate target—establishment
62 of open source/data modelling in the European H2020 project openEN-
63 TRANCE, *e & i Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik* (2020) 1–13. doi:
64 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-020-00832-7>.
- 65 [4] E. Papadis, G. Tsatsaronis, Challenges in the decarbonization of the en-
66 ergy sector, *Energy* 205 (2020) 118025. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118025)
67 [energy.2020.118025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118025).
- 68 [5] F. Felder, P. Kumar, A review of existing deep decarbonization models and
69 their potential in policymaking, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*
70 152 (2021) 111655. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111655>.
- 71 [6] L. Kotzur, L. Nolting, M. Hoffmann, T. Groß, A. Smolenko, J. Priesmann,
72 H. Büsing, R. Beer, F. Kullmann, B. Singh, et al., A modeler’s guide to han-
73 dle complexity in energy systems optimization, *Advances in Applied Energy*
74 4 (2021) 100063. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adapen.2021.100063>.
- 75 [7] S. Backe, C. Skar, P. C. del Granado, O. Turgut, A. Tomasgard, Empire:
76 An open-source model based on multi-horizon programming for energy tran-

77 sition analyses, SoftwareX 17 (2022) 100877. doi:[https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2021.100877)
78 1016/j.softx.2021.100877.