

Dr Sebastian Wild

COMMUNICATING COMPUTER SCIENCE (COMP335)


No. of responses = 4 (50%)



Survey Results


Legend

Question text




1. Standard Questions about this module


1.1) The module was delivered in an appropriate way




1.2) I received the academic support I needed for this module




1.3) The module gave me a good understanding of the subject




1.4) The module was well organised and ran smoothly




1.5) I had access to essential resources when I needed them for the module




1.6) The module assessments so far were a fair test of the module learning outcomes




1.7) The marking criteria for each assessment were made clear (in advance)




1.8) The marking was unbiased




1.9) Feedback on my work has been prompt



1.10) I have received detailed comments on my work



1.11) Feedback on my work helped me clarify things I did not understand



Profile

Subunit: SCE - COMPSCI [School Of EEE - Computer Science]
 Name of the instructor: Dr Sebastian Wild
 Name of the course: COMMUNICATING COMPUTER SCIENCE
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Standard Questions about this module

1.1) The module was delivered in an appropriate way	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.2) I received the academic support I needed for this module	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=5.0	md=5.0	dev.=0.0
1.3) The module gave me a good understanding of the subject	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.4) The module was well organised and ran smoothly	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.5) I had access to essential resources when I needed them for the module	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.6
1.6) The module assessments so far were a fair test of the module learning outcomes	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.0	md=4.0	dev.=0.8
1.7) The marking criteria for each assessment were made clear (in advance)	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.8) The marking was unbiased	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.3	md=4.0	dev.=0.5
1.9) Feedback on my work has been prompt	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.10) I have received detailed comments on my work	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5
1.11) Feedback on my work helped me clarify things I did not understand	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=4	av.=4.8	md=5.0	dev.=0.5

Comments Report

1. Standard Questions about this module

^{1.12)} Please provide comments to explain or clarify your ratings above. Comments may be related to teaching delivery, module organisation, coursework or anything else which would help us to improve the module for the next session. Please be as concise as possible.

■ Great module, really unique

■ Overall great module and delivery!

Part of our mark for lesson delivery is determined by external factors e.g. time of the class, the group of students, students feedback scores - these students can be biased.

1.13) Please list up to three ways in which the module could be improved

- I think more weighting should be given to the lesson delivery as it's the main part of the module that makes it unique.
- Take the best mark achieved teaching, rather than an average of the two best marks

2. Additional Questions about this module

2.1) Please list up to three things that you liked about this module.

- Great lecturer
Interesting and unique
- Not too lecture heavy.
Practical module.
Teaches vital interpersonal skills.
- Well delivered
Interesting material
Teaching the students was fun
- flexible; interactive; sometimes fun