Arquillian - a different kind of testing

Nils Heyer Christian Neumann

Fontys Hogeschool voor Techniek en Logistiek

December 7, 2015

Arquillian - a different kind of testing

NH,CN

ntroduction

Advantages & Disadvantages

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

vith Arquillian

Examples

Unit test Shrinkwrappe

Complete test

rsistence test

nctional test

Any open issues?

Arquillian is a Test framework, that can be used for:

- testing inside a remote or embedded container
- deploy an archive to a container so the test can interact as a remote client

It is capable of:

- Unit tests
- Persistence tests
- Functional tests

Arquillian integrates with other testing frameworks (e.g., JUnit or TestNG), allowing the use of IDE, Ant, and Maven test plugins

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

Arquillian - a different kind of testing

NH,CN

ntroduction

Advantages & Disadvantages

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

ith Arquillian

Examples

Unit test

Shrinkwrapper

Complete test

nctional test

inctional test

Any open issues?

- Testing of all kind of beans or methods
- Many various ways of manipulating testing package
- Can keep the package for testing deployment small
- Allows to do different types of tests with one framework
- Able to test in different containers
- Contexts and Dependency Injection
- fast test due to ShrinkWrapper
- server-side debugging (you can just drop a breakpoint in the test or application code and debug the test)
- Many extensions are available/support for new extensions given

Disadvantages of testing with Arquillian

Arquillian - a different kind of testing

NH,CN

Disadvantages of testing with Arguillian

- Needs deployment for each test execution
- Takes some times for making test packages
- initial setup takes some time
- manual adjustments needed for Shrinkwrapper

NH CN/FHTenl

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

with Arquillian

LAampie

Unit test

Shrinkwrapper

rsistence test

unctional test

Any open issues?

Simple Greeter test

- @Injected Dependency inject objects that are used during the tests, equals as using jUnits @before to set up tests
- @Test defines which methods are tests and should be executed
- Asserts are the same as in jUnit

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

Disadvantages of test with Arquillian

Examples

..

Shrinkwrapper

Complete test Persistence test

Functional test

Any open issues?

Greeter test Shrinkwrapper

- @Deployment defines which method is run before a test is executed. It need to return the minimal archive which is needed to execute the tests of this class
- JavaArchive a class representing the structure of a jar file
- **ShrinkWrap.create** allows to create an archive of the specified class, in case of a unit test JavaArchive.class

@Deployment

Greeter test Shrinkwrapper

return jar;

Advantages of testing with Arquillian Disadvantages of testing

With Arquillian

Examples

Shrinkwrapper

Complete test Persistence test

unctional test

Any open issues?

addClasses allows to add classes, that are needed during the tests, to the jar

public static JavaArchive createDeployment() { JavaArchive jar = $ShrinkWrap.create(\leftarrow)$

JavaArchive. class)

.class)

- addAsManifestResource allows to add a resource, that are needed for the execution e.g. database configuration file, to the jar
- EmptyAsset.INSTANCE creates an empty file

NH,CN/FHTenL Arquillian - a different kind of testing December 7, 2015 7/14

 $.\, \texttt{addClasses} \big(\, \texttt{Greeter} \, . \, \, \texttt{class} \,\, , \,\,\, \texttt{PhraseBuilder} \! \hookleftarrow \,\,$

.addAsManifestResource(EmptyAsset. ← INSTANCE, "beans.xml");

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

Disadvantages of test with Arquillian

Examples

nrinkwrapper

Complete test
Persistence test

tional test

Any open issues?

est d with

Greeter test

```
@RunWith(Arguillian.class)
public class GreeterTest {
    @Deployment
    public static JavaArchive createDeployment() {
        JavaArchive jar = ShrinkWrap.create(JavaArchive.class)
            .addClasses(Greeter.class. PhraseBuilder.class)
            .addAsManifestResource(EmptvAsset.INSTANCE. "beans.xml"):
        return jar;
    @Inject
    Greeter greeter:
    @Test
    public void should_create_greeting() {
        Assert.assertEquals("Hello, Earthling!",
            greeter.createGreeting("Earthling"));
```

 QRunWith(Arquillian.class) is need for every test class to indicate that this test should be executed with Arquillian Persistance shrinkwrapper

public static Archive<?> createDeployment() {
 // You can use war packaging...

persistence.xml")

.addPackage(Game.class.getPackage())

.addAsWebInfResource("jbossas-ds.xml")

@Deployment

return war:

file

Advantages of testing with Arquillian

with Arquillian

Examples

hrinkwrapper

Persistence test

inctional test

۸.....

• WebArchive a class representing the structure of a war

WebArchive war = ShrinkWrap.create(WebArchive.class. "test.war←

.addAsWebInfResource(EmptyAsset.INSTANCE, "beans.xml");

.addAsResource("test-persistence.xml", "META-INF/←

- test-persistence.xml copy the used persistence.xml into the archive
- jbossas-ds.xml add the server config as WEB-INF resource

Advantages of testing with Arquillian Disadvantages of testing

with Arquillian

Example

Shrinkwrappe

Persistence test

netional test

Any onen issues?

Persistance shrinkwrapper

```
@PersistenceContext
EntityManager em;
@Inject
UserTransaction utx;
@Refore
public void preparePersistenceTest() throws Exception {
    clearData(): //private method
    insertData(); //private method
    startTransaction(); //private method
@Test
public void shouldFindAllGamesUsingJpqlQuery() throws Exception {
    // given
    String fetchingAllGamesInJpql = "select g from Game g order by \( \lefta \)
          g.id";
    // when
    System.out.println("Selecting (using JPQL)...");
    List < Game > games = em.createQuery(fetchingAllGamesInJpql, Game <
         .class).getResultList();
    // then
    System.out.println("Found " + games.size() + " games (using ←
         JPQL):");
    assertContainsAllGames(games);
```

Advantages of testing with Arquillian Disadvantages of testing

with Arquillian

Examples

Shrinkwrapper

Complete test

Functional test

Functional shrinkwrapper

- @Deployment(testable = false) global deployment on client
- **@RunAsClient** run method on client
- addAsWebResource add html files needed for the test to the archive
- merge add a new generic shrinkwrapper which contains all html files to the archive

Functional test

Functional shrinkwrapper

```
@Drone
DefaultSelenium browser;
@ArquillianResource
URL deploymentUrl;
@Test
public void should login with valid credentials() {
    browser.open(deploymentUrl.toString().replaceFirst("/$". "") +↔
          "/login.isf"):
    browser.type("id=loginForm:username", "user1");
    browser.tvpe("id=loginForm:password", "demo");
    browser.click("id=loginForm:login");
    browser.waitForPageToLoad("15000");
    Assert.assertTrue("User should be logged in!",
        browser.isElementPresent("xpath=//li[contains(text(),'
             Welcome')]")):
```

- **QDrone** dependency injection of the browser controller
- @ArquillianResource inject the URL
- **@FindBy** find dom object by id or css
- @FindByJQuery find dom object by jQuery expressions

Any open issues?

Not all understood?

Arquillian - a different kind of testing

NH,CN

Any open issues?

Questions?

Questions or remarks?

• see http://arquillian.org/guides/

Any open issues?

Arquillian - a different kind of testing

NH,CN

Any open issues?

Death to all bugs!

NH CN/EHTenl