# Homework 3 - LL Grammars

#### Question 1

The grammar does not satisfy the LL(1) condition, because it contains an indirect left recursion, where the grammar recursively alternates between B and C.

### Solution:

Substitue B into C:

1. C ::= C ri | ti | t

To resolve the left recursion, we create recursive tail from suffix of recursive production:

2. RTail ::= ri RTail

Append Tail to non-recursive productions:

- 1. C ::= ti RTail | t RTail
- 2. RTail := ri RTail

Add empty string  $(\epsilon)$  as a rhs for the tail production:

- 1. C ::= ti RTail | t RTail
- 2. RTail ::= ri RTail |  $\epsilon$

To resolve the FIRST conflict in C, we factor out the prefix t:

- 1. C := t FTail
- 2. RTail ::= ri RTail |  $\epsilon$
- 3. FTail ::= i RTail | RTail

Reorder the productions to make the grammar more readable:

- 1. C := t FTail
- 2. FTail ::= i RTail | RTail
- 3. RTail ::= ri RTail |  $\epsilon$

# The new grammar is:

- 0. A := s C ng |  $\epsilon$
- 1. C := t FTail
- 2. FTail ::= i RTail | RTail
- 3. RTail ::= ri RTail |  $\epsilon$

### Question 2

```
0. S := S; S \mid id := E \mid print(L)
1. E := id \mid num \mid E + E \mid (S, E)
2. L := E \mid L, E
Resolve the left recursion in S, E, and L:
Create recursive tail from suffix of recursive production:
3. STail ::= ;S STail
4. ETail ::= +E ETail
5. LTail ::= ,E LTail
Append Tail to non-recursive productions and add empty string (\epsilon) as a rhs for the tail production:
0. S ::= id:= E STail | print(L) STail
1. E ::= id ETail | num ETail | (S,E) ETail
2. L ::= E LTail
3. STail ::= ;S STail | \epsilon
4. ETail ::= +E ETail | \epsilon
5. LTail ::= ,E LTail \mid \epsilon
The new grammar is:
0. S ::= id:= E STail | print(L) STail
1. E ::= id ETail | num ETail | (S,E) ETail
2. L := E LTail
3. STail ::= ;S STail | \epsilon
4. ETail ::= +E ETail | \epsilon
5. LTail ::= ,E LTail | \epsilon
```