# **Naive Bayes**

<ul><li>Туре</li></ul>	Lecture Notes	
☑ Reviewed		
🔆 Available Summary?	Done	
# Week	5	

#### YOU SHOULD KNOW:

- training and using classifier based on Bayes rule
- conditional independence:
  - o what it is
  - o why is it important
- Naive Bayes:
  - o what it is
  - how to estimate the parameters
  - o how to make predictions

# **▼** Bayes Optimal Classifier - Part 1

- ullet Goal is to learn f:X->Y
  - $\circ$  X  $\rightarrow$  features
  - $\circ \quad Y \, \to \, target \, class$

 $Y^* = argmax_{y_k} P(Y = y_k | X)$ 

## **▼** how many parameters must we estimate?

## **▼** boolean and 2 features

Suppose  $X=(X_1,X_2)$  where  $X_i$  and Y are boolean RV's, to estimate  $P(Y|X_1,X_2)$ :

X_1	$X_2$	$P(Y=1 X_1,X_2)$	$P(Y=0 X_1,X_2)$
0	0	0.1	0.9
1	0	0.24	0.76
0	1	0.54	0.46
1	1	0.23	0.77

#### 4 parameters!

$$P(Y = 0|X) = 1 - P(Y = 1|X)$$

### **▼** boolean and n features

Suppose  $X=(X_1,X_2,...,X_n)$  where  $X_i$  and Y are boolean RV's, to estimate  $P(Y|X_1,X_2,...,X_n)$ :

we need  $2^n$  rows to create the table

# ▼ can we reduce parameters using Bayes Rule?

Suppose  $X = \left[ X_1, ..., X_n 
ight]$  and all  $X_i$ s and Y are boolean variables.

To estimate 
$$P(X_1,\ldots,X_n|Y)$$
 :

 $2^n$  rows to create the table and -1 cause all the probabilities are sum up to 1 (so we already know the final probability we do not need to calculate)

 $2*(2^n-1)$  parameters we need

To define P(Y):

we need 1 parameter

Finally:

we need  $2*(2^n-1)+1$  parameters

## **▼** Naive Bayes

Naive Bayes

• Naive bayes assumes:

$$P(X_1,...,X_n|Y)=\prod_i P(X_i|Y)$$
 that  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are conditionally independent given  $Y$  , for all  $i\neq j$ 

- Naive bayes uses assumption that the  $X_i$  are conditionally independent given Y

$$P(X_1, X_2|Y) = P(X_1|X_2, Y).P(X_2|Y)$$

more generally;

$$\underbrace{P(X_1,...,X_d|Y)}_{ ext{likelihood}} = \prod_{i=1}^d P(X_i|Y)$$



### Recall conditionally independence:

X is conditionally independent of Y given Z, if the probability distribution governing X is independent of the value Y, given the value of Z

$$P(X=i|Y=j,Z=k) = P(X=i|Z=k)$$

$$P(X,Y|Z) = P(X|Z).P(Y|Z)$$

**▼** How many parameters to describe  $P(X_1,...,X_n|Y)$  and P(Y)?

Without conditional indep assumption  $\rightarrow 2*(2^n-1)$  and 1

With conditional indep assumption  $\rightarrow 2n$  and 1

**▼** Naive Bayes classification

Bayes Rule:

$$P(Y=y_k|X_1,...,X_n) = rac{P(Y=y_k).P(X_1,...,X_n|Y=y_k)}{\sum_j P(Y=y_j).P(X_1,...,X_n|Y=y_j)}$$

Assuming conditional independence among  $X_i$ 's:

$$P(Y=y_k|X_1,...,X_n) = \frac{P(Y=y_k).\prod P(X_i|Y=y_k)}{\sum_j P(Y=y_j).\prod P(X_i|Y=y_j)} \qquad \text{estimate in training}$$

So, to pick most probable Y for  $X^{new}=(X_1,...,X_n)$ :

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow argmax_{y_k}P(Y=y_k)\prod_i P(X_i^{new}|Y=y_k)$$
 testing

▼ EXAMPLE

Train Naïve Bayes (examples)

for each $^*$  value  $y_k$ 

estimate 
$$\pi_k \equiv P(Y = y_k)$$

for each\* value  $x_{ij}$  of each attribute  $X_i$ 

estimate 
$$\theta_{ijk} \equiv P(X_i = x_{ij}|Y = y_k)$$

• Classify (Xnew)

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg\max_{y_k} \ P(Y = y_k) \prod_i P(X_i^{new} | Y = y_k)$$

$$Y^{new} \leftarrow \arg\max_{y_k} \quad \pi_k \prod_i \theta_{ijk}$$



Posterior = Likelihood \* Prior

In naive bayes, we are multiplying lots of small numbers. It may cause underflow.



Underflow occurs when you perform an operation that's smaller than the smallest magnitude non-zero number.

Solution:

Perform all computations by summing logs of probabilities rather than multiplying probabilities.

$$p_1*p_2=e^{log(p_1)+log(p_2)}$$

# **▼** Document Classification using Naive Bayes:



To generate a document for giving class; randomly pick a number to predict for, if the  $word\_i$  should be in the document or not.

#### **▼** Bernoulli document model:

a document is represented by a binary feature vector, whose elements indicate absence or presence of corresponding word in the document

#### **▼** Classification with Bernoulli Model

#### Training data:

 $\mathbf{Matrix} \colon X$ 

document: i

feature vector:  $X_i$ 

presence of word t in the document i:  $X_{it}$ 

#### **Parameter Estimation (MLE):**

Priors: 
$$P(Y=y_k)=rac{N_K}{N}$$

Likelihoods: 
$$P(w_t|Y=y_k)=\frac{n_k(w_t)}{N_t}$$

(use a likelihood vector )

# Classify new document D with feature vector X:

$$P(Y=y_k|X)=P(Y=y_k).P(X|Y=y_k)$$

$$P(X|Y = y_k) = \prod_{t=1}^{|V|} [X_t . P(w_t|Y = y_k) + (1 - X_t)(1 - P(w_t|y = y_k))]$$

#### **▼** Multinomial document model:

a document is represented by an integer feature vector, whose elements indicate frequency of corresponding word in the document

### **▼** Classification with Multinomial Model

#### Training data:

Matrix: X

document: i

feature vector:  $X_i$ 

the count of number of times  $w_t$  occurs in document  $i \colon X_{it}$ 

1 if document i is of class  $y_k$ , otherwise 0:  $Z_{i,k}$ 

#### Parameter Estimation (MLE):

Priors: 
$$P(Y=y_k)=rac{N_K}{N}$$

Likelihoods: 
$$P(w_t|Y=y_k)=rac{\sum_{i=1}^NX_{i,t}.Z_{i,k}}{\sum_{t'=1}^{|V|}\sum_{i=1}^NX_{i,t'}.Z_{i,k}}$$

The relative frequency of  $w_t$  in documents of class  $Y=y_k$  with respect to the total number of words in documents of that class

### Classify new document D with feature vector X:

$$P(Y = y_k | X) = P(Y = y_k).P(X | Y = y_k)$$

$$P(X|Y=y_k) = \prod_{t=1}^{|V|} P(w_t|Y=y_k)^{X_t}$$

# Parameter estimation (MAP, with lpha=1):

Likelihoods: 
$$P(w_t|Y=y_k)=rac{lpha+\sum_{i=1}^NX_{i,t}.Z_{i,k}}{|V|lpha+\sum_{i'=1}^{|V|}\sum_{i=1}^NX_{i,t'}.Z_{i,k}}$$

A trick to avoid zero counts which is equivalent to MAP estimation with Dirichlet prior with lpha=1.

# **▼** Bayes Optimal Classifier - *Part 2*

- Very fast, low storage requirements
- robust to irrevelant features

- optimal if the conditional independence assumptions hold
- a good dependable baseline for text classification

# **▼** Violating the NB classifier:

- usually features are not conditionally independent
- in practice it often works well
- it does not produce accuracte probability estimates when its independence assumptions are violated, it may still (and often) pick the correct maximum-probability class in many cases
- typically handles noise well since it does not even focus on completely fitting the training data

Naive Bayes 4