PROYECTO **CULTURAL, CIENTÍFICO Y COLECTIVO** DE NACIÓN

Plantilla de prueba UNAL

Sebastián Echavarría sechavarriam@unal.edu.co

May 19, 2021

Prueba de fuentes regulares

Prueba de fuentes regulares

Prueba de nuevas familias de fuente Ancizar

Familias de texto normales Ancizar

- Texto normal
- TEXTO NORMAL MAYÚSCULAS
- **Texto en negrilla**
- **TEXTO NEGRILLA MAYÚSCULAS**
- *Texto en cursiva*
- *TEXTO EN CURSIVA MAYÚSCULAS*
- Texto en versalitas
- TEXTO EN VERSALITAS MAYÚSCULAS

Nuevas Familias de Texto

Sans y Serif FUENTE SEPARADA

SansBlackItalic

SansBlackItalic

SansBlack

SansExtraboldItalic

SansExtrabold

SansLightItalic

SansLight

SerifExtraboldItalic

SerifExtrabold

SerifLightItalic

SerifLight

Titulo

Subtitulo

Texto de prueba

$$\int_{\Omega}^{\infty} f(x)\,d\mu \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\phi}^h &= \min_{\dot{\phi}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \left\| \mathbf{r}(w, \mathbf{b}, \dot{\phi}) \right\|_2 \right\} \\ &= \min_{\dot{\phi}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sqrt{w_i \mathbf{r}_i^2} \right\} = \min_{\dot{\phi}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} w_i \mathbf{r}_i^2 \right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.

4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. $\square$

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.

4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. $\square$

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. $\square$

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. $\square$

The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.