

Plantilla de prueba UNAL

Sebastián Echavarría sechavarriam@unal.edu.co

May 18, 2021

Prueba de fuentes regulares

Prueba de fuentes regulares

Prueba de nuevas familias de fuente Ancizar

Familias de texto normales Ancizar

Texto normal

TEXTO NORMAL MAYÚSCULAS

Texto en negrilla

TEXTO NEGRILLA MAYÚSCULAS

Texto en cursiva

TEXTO EN CURSIVA MAYÚSCULAS

TEXTO EN VERSALITAS

TEXTO EN VERSALITAS MAYÚSCULAS

Nuevas Familias de Texto

Sans y Serif FUENTE SEPARADA

SansBlackItalic

SansBlackItalic

SansBlack

SansExtraboldItalic

SansExtrabold

SansLightItalic

SansLight

SerifExtraboldItalic

SerifExtrabold

SerifLightItalic

SerifLight

Titulo

Subtitulo

Texto de prueba

$$\int_{\Omega}^{\infty} f(x) d\mu \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}^h &= \min_{\dot{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \left\| \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{b}, \dot{\phi}) \right\|_2 \right\} \\ &= \min_{\dot{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \sqrt{w_i \mathbf{r}_i^2} \right\} = \min_{\dot{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} w_i \mathbf{r}_i^2 \right\}. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Consider the number $p + 1$.
3. $p + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. □

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. □

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. □

There Is No Largest Prime Number

The proof uses *reductio ad absurdum*.

Theorem

There is no largest prime number.

Proof.

1. Suppose p were the largest prime number.
2. Let q be the product of the first p numbers.
3. Then $q + 1$ is not divisible by any of them.
4. But $q + 1$ is greater than 1, thus divisible by some prime number not in the first p numbers. □

The proof used *reductio ad absurdum*.