

# Uniformization of discrete Riemann surfaces and Applications

vorgelegt von  
Dipl.-Math. techn. Stefan Sechelmann

von der Fakultät II - Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften  
der Technischen Universität Berlin  
zur erlangung des akademischen Grades

Doktor der Naturwissenschaften  
– Dr. rer. nat. –

Promotionsausschuss

Vorsitzender: NN  
Gutachter/Berichter: Prof. Dr. Alexander I. Bobenko  
NN

Tag der wissenschaftlichen Aussprache: NN

Berlin, den 07. Januar 2013



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Uniformization of discrete Riemann surfaces</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Discrete Riemann surfaces . . . . .	3
1.2 Uniformization . . . . .	5
1.3 Variational principles for discrete metrics in $\mathbb{E}^2$ , $\mathbb{H}^2$ , and $\mathbb{S}^2$ . . . . .	6
1.4 Quotient spaces and fundamental domains . . . . .	6
1.4.1 The cut-graph and fuchsian groups . . . . .	7
1.4.2 Minimal presentation . . . . .	7
1.4.3 Separated handles . . . . .	7
1.4.4 Opposite sides identified . . . . .	7
1.4.5 Canonical Keen Polygons . . . . .	7
1.5 Uniformization of elliptic and hyperelliptic surfaces . . . . .	7
1.5.1 Branched coverings of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . . . . .	8
1.5.2 Elliptic curves . . . . .	8
1.5.3 The moduli space . . . . .	8
1.5.4 Numerical convergence analysis . . . . .	8
1.5.5 The modulus of the Wente torus . . . . .	8
1.5.6 Construction of hyperelliptic surfaces . . . . .	8
1.5.7 Weierstrass points on hyperelliptic surfaces . . . . .	8
1.5.8 Canonical domains . . . . .	9
1.5.9 Lawsons surface . . . . .	9
1.6 Uniformization of Schottky data . . . . .	9
1.6.1 Images of isometric circles . . . . .	9
1.6.2 Hyperelliptic data . . . . .	9
1.7 Meromorphic functions (planned) . . . . .	9
1.7.1 Conformal maps to $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with singularities . . . . .	9

1.7.2	Selection of Branch Data . . . . .	9
1.7.3	Examples . . . . .	9
1.8	Surfaces with boundary . . . . .	9
1.8.1	Variation of edge length . . . . .	9
1.8.2	Examples . . . . .	9
1.9	Conformal maps of planar domains . . . . .	9
1.9.1	Boundary conditions . . . . .	9
1.9.2	Comparison with examples of the Schwarz-Christoffel community . . . . .	9
1.10	Java software for discrete uniformization . . . . .	9
1.10.1	Embedded surfaces . . . . .	9
1.10.2	Elliptic and hyperelliptic surfaces . . . . .	9
1.10.3	Schottky data . . . . .	9
1.10.4	Surfaces with boundary . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Discrete Surface Parameterization</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Discrete quasiisothermic parametrizations . . . . .	11
2.1.1	Discrete quasiisothermic parameterizations . . . . .	12
2.1.2	Formulation as boundary value problem . . . . .	12
2.1.3	Global approach . . . . .	12
2.1.4	Variational principle for S-isothermic surfaces . . . . .	12
2.1.5	Constructing the associated family of appproximate minimal surfaces . . . . .	12
2.1.6	A discrete ellipsoid and its dual surface . . . . .	12
2.1.7	Applications in architecture . . . . .	12
2.2	Piece-wise projective interpolation for arbitrary parameterizations . . . . .	12
2.2.1	Approximation by conformal deformation . . . . .	12
2.2.2	Examples . . . . .	12
2.3	A variational principle for discrete Tschebyshev nets . . . . .	12
2.3.1	Tschebyscheff meshes . . . . .	12
2.3.2	Variational principle . . . . .	12
2.3.3	Applications in architecture . . . . .	12
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>13</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>15</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	Discrete surfaces from glued triangles . . . . .	3
1.2	Euclidean conformal equivalence . . . . .	4
1.3	Conformal equivalence of Euclidean and hyperbolic/spherical metrics . . . . .	5
1.4	Angles at a vertex . . . . .	6
1.5	Hyperbolic flat metric on a genus 2 surface and the axes of the associated hyperbolic motions. . . . .	7
1.6	Square with symmetric slit to the circle . . . . .	9



# Introduction





# Chapter 1

## Uniformization of discrete Riemann surfaces

The discrete uniformization theory presented here is based on the notion of discrete conformal equivalence of triangle meshes. The Euclidean definition was first considered by [Luo04], the variational principle and applications in computer graphics is due to [SSP08, Spr09, BPS10]. The notion of conformal equivalence of non-Euclidean metrics and corresponding variational principles were first defined in [BPS10]. [Guo11] investigate the gradient flow of this principle. Most of the material presented here can be found in [BSS].

### 1.1 Discrete Riemann surfaces

**Definition 1.** A discrete surface is a collection of triangles equipped with a metric of constant Gaussian curvature and geodesic edges. Triangles are glued along edges to form a surface.

By glueing triangles equipped with a metric of constant Gaussian curvature we obtain a surface that has constant curvature everywhere except for points where the metric has cone-like singularities (Figure 1.1). A discrete surface is called Euclidean for  $K = 0$ , hyperbolic for  $K < 0$ , and spherical if  $K > 0$ . Remark: In the latter we will use Gaussian curvature and curvature synonymously. Generically a discrete surface can have boundary components where triangles have not been glued. We consider this case in Section 1.9.




Figure 1.1: Discrete surfaces constructed from glued triangles of constant curvature. Euclidean, hyperbolic, and spherical. Bold edges are identified to create a cone-like singularity at the vertex.




Figure 1.2: Two Euclidean triangles are discretely conformally equivalent if their edge lengths can be scaled by logarithmic factors  $u$  defined on vertices.

A discrete surface consists of vertices, edges, and faces  $S = (V, E, F)$ . We use single indices for denoting vertices, e.g.,  $i \in V$ , edges are denoted  $ij \in E$ , and faces  $ijk \in F$ .

**Definition 2.** *The map  $l : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of triangle edge lengths of a discrete surface is called a discrete Euclidean, hyperbolic, or spherical metric respectively.*

As in the smooth theory we define what it means for a metric to be conformally equivalent to another metric.

**Definition 3.** *A discrete Euclidean metric with edge lengths  $l$  is discretely conformally equivalent to the discrete Euclidean metric  $\tilde{l}$  if there is a function  $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that for all edges  $ij \in E$  it is*

$$l_{ij} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(u_i+u_j)} \tilde{l}_{ij} \quad (1.1)$$

This definition is motivated by the smooth theory of Riemann surfaces where two metrics  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  on a 2-manifold  $M$  are conformally equivalent if there is a smooth function  $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with

$$g = e^{2u} \tilde{g}.$$

Every discrete Euclidean metric is discretely conformally equivalent to a corresponding discrete hyperbolic or discrete spherical metric by the following

**Definition 4.** *A discrete Euclidean metric  $l$  and a discrete hyperbolic or discrete spherical metric  $\tilde{l}$  are discretely conformally equivalent if for all edges  $ij \in E$*

$$l_{ij} = 2 \sinh \frac{\tilde{l}_{ij}}{2} \quad (1.2)$$

$$l_{ij} = 2 \sin \frac{\tilde{l}_{ij}}{2} \quad (1.3)$$

for  $\tilde{l}$  hyperbolic or spherical respectively (see Figure 1.3).

Literally this means that in the spherical case if a triangle is fit onto the sphere the conformally equivalent spherical lengths are the lengths of spherical geodesics connecting the triangle vertices. The same intuition holds on the upper sheet of the two-sheeted unit hyperboloid for hyperbolic triangles.

Combining Equations 1.1 and 1.2/1.3 we can define conformal equivalence of Euclidean and hyperbolic/spherical triangulations via transitivity of equivalence.




Figure 1.3: Relations between hyperbolic/spherical lengths  $\tilde{l}$  and corresponding Euclidean edge lengths  $l$ . See Definition 4.

With this general notion of conformal equivalence of Euclidean, hyperbolic, and spherical metrics we can now define discrete Riemann surfaces.

**Definition 5.** *A discrete Riemann surface is an equivalence class of discretely conformally equivalent metrics.*

If one restricts the equivalence class to either Euclidean, hyperbolic, or spherical metrics the length cross-ratio  $\text{lcr}_{ij}$  at edge  $ij$  with opposite vertices  $k$  and  $m$  is a conformal invariant. Note that this definition depends on the orientation of the surface.

$$\text{lcr}_{ij} = \frac{l_{ik}l_{jm}}{l_{mi}l_{kj}} \quad (1.4)$$

## 1.2 Uniformization

We can now state the uniformization problem: *Given a discrete Riemann surface, find a metric of constant curvature without cone singularities.*

This means that the angles  $\alpha_{jk}^i$  of Euclidean, hyperbolic, or spherical triangles around each vertex  $i \in V$  sum up to  $2\pi$  (Figure 1.4). A discrete surface with a cone-like singularity free metric has constant curvature everywhere.

As in the smooth case we expect to find a discrete metric with zero Gaussian curvature for tori, a constant negative curvature metric for surfaces with genus  $g > 1$ , and a metric with positive constant curvature for spheres. In the latter we will normalize the curvature of the target spaces to have constant Gaussian curvature 0,  $-1$ , or 1.

In this work we calculate discrete uniformizations as minimizers of functionals that fit the target geometry. The variational description of the uniformization problem then amounts to finding a critical point of the functional  $E(u)$  where

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial u_i} = 2\pi - \sum_{ijk \ni i} \alpha_{jk}^i. \quad (1.5)$$




Figure 1.4: Angles at a vertex

### 1.3 Variational principles for discrete metrics in $\mathbb{E}^2$ , $\mathbb{H}^2$ , and $\mathbb{S}^2$

Construction of discrete flat metrics. A discrete Euclidean flat metric is the minimizer of a convex functional.

$$\lambda_{ij} := 2 \log l_{ij} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{ij} := \lambda_{ij} + u_i + u_j \quad (1.7)$$

$$f_{Euc}(u_i, u_j, u_k) := \alpha_i \tilde{\lambda}_{jk} + \alpha_j \tilde{\lambda}_{ki} + \alpha_k \tilde{\lambda}_{ij} + 2(\mathcal{I}(\alpha_i) + \mathcal{I}(\alpha_j) + \mathcal{I}(\alpha_k)) \quad (1.8)$$

**Definition 6.**

$$E_{Euc}(u) := \sum_{ijk \in F} \left( f_{Euc}(u_i, u_j, u_k) - \frac{\pi}{2} (\tilde{\lambda}_{jk} + \tilde{\lambda}_{ki} + \tilde{\lambda}_{ij}) \right) + \sum_{i \in V} \Theta_i u_i \quad (1.9)$$

This definition and the derivatives can be found in [BPS10]

For the hyperbolic case  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  are defined as before. Further define

$$\beta_i := \frac{1}{2} (\pi + \alpha_i - \alpha_j - \alpha_k) \quad (1.10)$$

$$\beta_j := \frac{1}{2} (\pi - \alpha_i + \alpha_j - \alpha_k) \quad (1.11)$$

$$\beta_k := \frac{1}{2} (\pi - \alpha_i - \alpha_j + \alpha_k) \quad (1.12)$$

$$f_{Hyp}(u_i, u_j, u_k) := \beta_i \tilde{\lambda}_{jk} + \beta_j \tilde{\lambda}_{ki} + \beta_k \tilde{\lambda}_{ij} \quad (1.13)$$

$$+ \mathcal{I}(\alpha_i) + \mathcal{I}(\alpha_j) + \mathcal{I}(\alpha_k) + \mathcal{I}(\beta_i) + \mathcal{I}(\beta_j) + \mathcal{I}(\beta_k) \quad (1.14)$$

$$+ \mathcal{I} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\pi - \alpha_i - \alpha_j - \alpha_k) \right) \quad (1.15)$$

**Definition 7.**

$$E_{Hyp}(u) := \sum_{ijk \in F} \left( f_{Hyp}(u_i, u_j, u_k) - \frac{\pi}{2} (\tilde{\lambda}_{jk} + \tilde{\lambda}_{ki} + \tilde{\lambda}_{ij}) \right) + \sum_{i \in V} \Theta_i u_i \quad (1.16)$$

### 1.4 Quotient spaces and fundamental domains

Every Riemann surface  $R$  has a universal cover  $X$ , i.e., a simply connected covering space and a corresponding covering map. A metric of constant curvature on a compact 2-manifold can be realized as the quotient of the universal cover over a uniformizing group.




Figure 1.5: Hyperbolic flat metric on a genus 2 surface and the axes of the associated hyperbolic motions.

Triangulated surfaces of genus  $g \geq 2$  without boundary can be equipped with a discretely conformally equivalent flat hyperbolic metric [BPS10]. By flat hyperbolic metric we mean that the edge length are hyperbolic and for any vertex the angle sum is  $2\pi$ . To realize this metric in the hyperbolic plane e.g. in the Poincaré disk model one has to introduce cuts along a basis of the homotopy. This creates a simply connected domain in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . Matching cut paths are related by a hyperbolic motion i.e. the Möbius transformations that leave the unit disk invariant (Figure 1.5).

#### 1.4.1 The cut-graph and fuchsian groups

*Want so say here: the number of transformations generated by the mapping of corresponding edges equals the number of path segments in the homotopy-cut-graph. They generate a fuchsian group with #vertices relations*

#### 1.4.2 Minimal presentation

#### 1.4.3 Separated handles

#### 1.4.4 Opposite sides identified

#### 1.4.5 Canonical Keen Polygons

### 1.5 Uniformization of elliptic and hyperelliptic surfaces

In this section we discuss surfaces that arise as branched coverings of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . A Riemann surface that can be represented as a double cover of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is called elliptic for  $g = 1$  and hyperelliptic for  $g > 1$  [Jos07, p. 235].

### 1.5.1 Branched coverings of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+2} \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \forall i \neq j$ . The algebraic curve

$$C = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (z - \lambda_i)\} \quad (1.17)$$

is a one dimensional complex manifold. A branched double cover of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is the projection  $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $C \ni (z, w) \mapsto z$ . A discrete branched cover of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  is a triangulation of  $\pi(C)$  with vertices at  $\lambda_i$ .

### 1.5.2 Elliptic curves

### 1.5.3 The moduli space

### 1.5.4 Numerical convergence analysis

### 1.5.5 The modulus of the Wente torus

### 1.5.6 Construction of hyperelliptic surfaces

Any hyperelliptic Riemann surface can be expressed as an algebraic curve of the form

$$w^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (z - \lambda_i) \quad g \geq 1, \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \forall i \neq j.$$

Here  $\lambda_i$  are the branch points of the doubly covered Riemann sphere.

### 1.5.7 Weierstrass points on hyperelliptic surfaces

A hyperelliptic surface comes together with a holomorphic involution  $h$  called the hyperelliptic involution. The branch points are fixed points under this transformation. For a hyperelliptic algebraic curve it is  $h(\mu, \lambda) = (-\mu, \lambda)$




Figure 1.6: Square with symmetric slit to the circle

### 1.5.8 Canonical domains

### 1.5.9 Lawsons surface

## 1.6 Uniformization of Schottky data

### 1.6.1 Images of isometric circles

### 1.6.2 Hyperelliptic data

## 1.7 Meromorphic functions (planned)

### 1.7.1 Conformal maps to $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ with singularities

### 1.7.2 Selection of Branch Data

### 1.7.3 Examples

## 1.8 Surfaces with boundary

### 1.8.1 Variation of edge length

### 1.8.2 Examples

## 1.9 Conformal maps of planar domains

### 1.9.1 Boundary conditions

### 1.9.2 Comparison with examples of the Schwarz-Christoffel community

## 1.10 Java software for discrete uniformization

### 1.10.1 Embedded surfaces

### 1.10.2 Elliptic and hyperelliptic surfaces

### 1.10.3 Schottky data

### 1.10.4 Surfaces with boundary





## Chapter 2

# Discrete Surface Parameterization

### 2.1 Discrete quasiisothermic parametrizations

The notion of quasiconformal parameterizations

- 2.1.1 Discrete quasiisothermic parameterizations
- 2.1.2 Formulation as boundary value problem
- 2.1.3 Global approach
- 2.1.4 Variational principle for S-isothermic surfaces
- 2.1.5 Constructing the associated family of approximate minimal surfaces
- 2.1.6 A discrete ellipsoid and its dual surface
- 2.1.7 Applications in architecture
- 2.2 Piece-wise projective interpolation for arbitrary parameterizations
  - 2.2.1 Approximation by conformal deformation
  - 2.2.2 Examples
- 2.3 A variational principle for discrete Tschebyshev nets
  - 2.3.1 Tschebyscheff meshes
  - 2.3.2 Variational principle
  - 2.3.3 Applications in architecture

# Bibliography

- [BPS10] Alexander I. Bobenko, Ulrich Pinkall, and Boris Springborn. Discrete conformal maps and ideal hyperbolic polyhedra. Preprint; <http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.2698>, 2010.
- [BSS] Alexander I. Bobenko, Stefan Sechelmann, and Boris Springborn. Uniformization of discrete Riemann surfaces. in preparation.
- [Guo11] Ren Guo. Combinatorial yamabe flow on hyperbolic surfaces with boundary. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 13(5):827–842, 2011.
- [Jos07] Jürgen Jost. *Compact Riemann Surfaces*. Universitext (En ligne). Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [Luo04] Feng Luo. Combinatorial yamabe flow on surfaces. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 6(5):765–780, 2004.
- [Spr09] Boris Springborn. Discrete conformal equivalence for triangle meshes. In *Oberwolfach Reports*, volume 6 of *Oberwolfach Reports*, pages 104–106, 2009.
- [SSP08] Boris Springborn, Peter Schröder, and Ulrich Pinkall. Conformal equivalence of triangle meshes. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 27(3):#77, 1–11, 2008.



# Acknowledgements