

## General Relativity (Masters) Assignment Two

1. **Klein's geometry.** A two-dimensional surface is covered by coordinates  $(u, v)$  in the domain  $u^2 + v^2 < 1$ . The independent components of the metric are given by

$$g_{11} = \frac{a^2(1-v^2)}{(1-u^2-v^2)^2}, \quad (1)$$

$$g_{12} = \frac{a^2 uv}{(1-u^2-v^2)^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$g_{22} = \frac{a^2(1-u^2)}{(1-u^2-v^2)^2}, \quad (3)$$

the independent components of the inverse metric are given by

$$g^{11} = a^{-2}(1-u^2)(1-u^2-v^2), \quad (4)$$

$$g^{12} = -a^{-2}uv(1-u^2-v^2), \quad (5)$$

$$g^{22} = a^{-2}(1-v^2)(1-u^2-v^2), \quad (6)$$

and the independent, nonzero Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma_{11}^1 = \frac{2u}{1-u^2-v^2}, \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma_{12}^1 = \frac{v}{1-u^2-v^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma_{12}^2 = \frac{u}{1-u^2-v^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\Gamma_{22}^2 = \frac{2v}{1-u^2-v^2}. \quad (10)$$

Remember that  $g_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $g^{\alpha\beta}$ , and  $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda$  are all symmetric in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

- (a) Starting from (1)–(6), derive the expression (7) for  $\Gamma_{11}^1$ .
- (b) Prove that the Riemann tensor with all indices lowered,  $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ , contains four nonzero elements, any three of which can be written in terms of the fourth.
- (c) Prove that, in Klein's geometry, the Ricci tensor satisfies

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{g_{\alpha\beta}}{a^2}, \quad (11)$$

and the Ricci scalar satisfies

$$R = -\frac{2}{a^2}. \quad (12)$$

- (d) Answer each of the following questions in one or two sentences.
  - i. In what fundamental way does Klein's geometry differ from a two-sphere?
  - ii. The hyperbola  $x^2 - y^2 = 1$  is rotated around the  $y$ -axis to form a three-dimensional hyperboloid of revolution. Does it possess positive or negative curvature? Justify your answer physically with a diagram; do *not* attempt to calculate anything.

- iii. The hyperbola  $x^2 - y^2 = 1$  is now rotated around the  $x$ -axis. What is the sign of the curvature this time? Why?
  - iv. Setting aside their dimensionality, in what fundamental way do the hyperboloids of revolution in parts (d)(ii) and (d)(iii) differ from Klein's geometry? Justify your answer in words; don't try to calculate anything.
  - v. Identify a spacetime manifold, that resembles Klein's geometry. Don't worry too much about the precise mathematical meaning of "resembles", a qualitative justification is fine.
- (e) Consider the triangle  $\triangle ABC$ , whose sides are "straight lines" (geodesics) joining the points  $A(0,0)$ ,  $B(b,0)$ , and  $C(0,b)$ , with  $b < 1$ . It is easy to show (you don't need to!) that the sides  $AB$  and  $AC$  are just the curves  $v = 0$  and  $u = 0$  respectively.
- i. What is the equation of the geodesic joining  $B$  and  $C$ ?
  - ii. Prove that the sum of the interior angles of  $\triangle ABC$  is

$$\Sigma = \angle ABC + \angle BCA + \angle CAB = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2 \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2-b^2}} \right). \quad (13)$$

The sum of the angles is less than 180 degrees!

- iii. Triangles in Klein's geometry can have  $\Sigma = 0$ !<sup>1</sup> Without proof, sketch what such a triangle might look like. Your sketch by necessity will be an incomplete representation; there is no way to draw a Klein triangle faithfully on a flat page.
- (f) i. Write down a closed form expression for the area  $A$  of  $\triangle ABC$  as an integral over a subset of the  $(u, v)$  domain.
- ii. By changing variables to  $y = v + u$  and  $z = v - u$ , recast your integral in the form

$$A = 2a^2 \int_0^b \frac{dy y}{(2-y^2)\sqrt{1-y^2}}. \quad (14)$$

Hence show that one has

$$A = a^2(\pi - \Sigma). \quad (15)$$

- iii. Explain briefly, in one or two sentences, why (15) guarantees the *nonexistence* of similar triangles in Klein's geometry.
- (g) A vector  $\vec{W}$  with equal components  $W^1$  and  $W^2$  at the point  $A(0,0)$  is parallel transported along the geodesic  $AB$ . Show that its components, when it reaches the point  $B(b,0)$ , are in the ratio

$$\frac{W^1}{W^2} = (1-b^2)^{1/2}. \quad (16)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\triangle ABC$  is *not* such a triangle, as is obvious from (13), because  $\angle CAB$  is a right angle.