NIST IR 8477-Based Set Theory Relationship Mapping (STRM)
Reference Document: Secure Controls Framework (SCF) version 2025.3
STRM Guidance: https://securecontrolsframework.com/set-theory-relationship-mapping-strm/

Focal Document III.
Focal Document IIII.
http://www.odf-ball.gc.ca/en/guidance/guidance-ibrary/technology-cyber-risk-management
https://wew.odf-ball.gc.ca/en/guidance/guidance-ibrary/technology-cyber-risk-management
https://wew.odf-ball.gc.ca/en/guidance/guidance-ibrary/technology-cyber-risk-management

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship (optional)	Notes (optional)
A	Purpose and scope	This Guideline establishes GST's expectations related to technology and cyber risk management. It is applicable to all fiderally registed financial institutions (FRFIs), including foreign basic branches and foreign insurance company harnches, to the extent its consistent with applicable requirements and legal collegations related to their business in Canada Fontorted Expectations for branches are set out in Guideline E-4 on Foreign Entities Operating in Canada on a Branch Basis. These expectations aim to support FRFIs in developing greater resilience to technology and cyber risks.	Functional	No Relationship	N/A	N/A	No applicable SCF control	N/A	Guidelines - not requirements.
A.1	Definitions	"Technology risk", which includes "optor risk", refer to the risk arising from the inadequacy, disruption, destruction, failure, damper from unauthorised access, modifications, or maticious use of information technology assext being a support business needs, and can result in financial loss and/or reputational damage. A "Technology assext" is something tangible (e.g., hardware, infrastructure) or intangible (e.g., software, data, information) that needs protection and supports the provision of technology services. Technology" is broadly used in this Guideline to include "information technology" (Ti), and "cybes" is broadly used to include "information security."	Functional	Intersects With	Standardized Terminology	SEA-02.1	Mechanisms exist to standardize technology and process terminology to reduce confusion amongst groups and departments.	5	
A2	Structure	This Guideline is organized into three domains. Each sets out key components of sound technology and objer risk management. 1. Governance and risk management – Sets OSF's expectations for the format accountability, leadership, organizational structure and ramework used to support risk management and oversight of technology and cyber security. 2. Technology greations and resilience – Sets OSF's expectations for management and oversight of risks related to the design, implementation, management and recovery of technology assets and services. 3. Cyber security—Sets OSF's expectations for management and Sets of the Sets of	Functional	No Relationship	N/A	N/A	No applicable SCF control	N/A	Guidelines - not requirements.
A.3	Outcomes	managing risks that contribute to developing FRFIs' resilience to technology and ovber risks.	Functional	No Relationship	N/A	N/A	No applicable SCF control	N/A	Substitute The requirements.
A4	Related guidance and information	Section of the control of the contro	Functional	No Relationship	N/A	N/A	No applicable SCF control	N/A	Guidelines - not requirements.
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and	Functional	Subset Of	Cybersecurity and data protection Governance	GOV-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.	10	
1	Governance and risk management	frameworks. Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Program Steering Committee & Program Oversight	GOV-01.1	Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key cybersecurity, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Status Reporting To Governing Body	GOV-01.2	Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make executive decisions about matters considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Publishing Cybersecurity and data protection Documentation	GOV-02	Mechanisms exist to establish, maintain and disseminate cybersecurity and data protection policies, standards and procedures.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity and data protection Program	GOV-03	Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and	Functional	Intersects With	Assigned Cybersecurity and data protection Responsibilities	GOV-04	Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally-manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterorise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Stakeholder Accountability Structure	GOV-04.1	Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and manazing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Authoritative Chain of Command	GOV-04.2	Mechanisms exist to establish an authoritative chain of command with clear lines of communication to remove ambiguity from individuals and teams related to managing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1	Governance and risk management	Outcome: Technology and cyber risks are governed through clear accountabilities and structures, and comprehensive strategies and frameworks.	Functional	Intersects With	Measures of Performance	GOV-05	Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.	5	
1.1	Accountability and organizational structure	Principle 1: Senior Management should assign responsibility for managing technology and cyber risks to senior officers. It should also ensure an appropriate organizational structure and adequate resourcing are in place for managing technology and cyber risks across the FRFI.	Functional	Intersects With	Assigned Cybersecurity and data protection Responsibilities	GOV-04	Mechanisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to centrally-manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	
1.1	Accountability and organizational structure	Principle 1: Senior Management should assign responsibility for managing technology and cyber risks to senior officers. It should also ensure an appropriate organizational structure and adequate resourcing are in place for managing technology and cyber risks across the FRFI.	Functional	Intersects With	Stakeholder Accountability Structure	GOV-04.1	Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Serior Management is accountable for directing the FRFT's technology and opter security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and opter risk governance to serior officers. Examples of such roles include Head of Information Technology, Chille Technology Officer (CTO); Chiler Information Officer (CIO); Head of Cyber Security or Chiler Information Security Officer (CSIO). These roles should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Assigned Cybersecurity and data protection Responsibilities	GOV-04	Necharisms exist to assign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to certificy/manage, coordinate, develop, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Senior Management is accountable for directing the FRFT's technology and cyber security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and cyber risk governance to senior officers. Examples of such ricks include Head of Information Technology, Chile Technology Officer (CTO); Chile Information Officer (CIO); Head of Cyber Security or Chile Information Security Officer (CSIO). These ricks should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Stakeholder Accountability Structure	GOV-04.1	Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Senior Management is accountable for directing the FRF's schnology and cyber security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and cyber risk governance to senior officers. Examples of such roles include Head of Information Technology, Chile Technology Officer (CTO); Chief Information Officer (CIO); Head of Cyber Security or Chief Information Security Officer (CSIO). These roles should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Business As Usual (BAU) Secure Practices	GOV-14	Mechanisms exist to incorporate cybersecurity and data protection principles into Business As Usual (BAU) practices through executive leadership involvement.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Senior Management is accountable for directing the FRFT's technology and offeet security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and cyber risk governance to senior officers. Camples of such roles include: Head of Information Technology; Chief Technology Officer (CTG): Chief Information Officer (CTG): Chief Security of Chief Information Security Officer (CSG). These roles should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Operationalizing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Practices	GOV-15	Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to operationalize cybersecurity and data protection practices for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
		Senior Management is accountable for directing the FRFI's technology	- Neuonale	netationship			Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to select required	(optional)	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	and cyber security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and cyber risk governance to senior officers. Examples of such rickes include: Head of Information Technology; Child Technology Officer (CTD); Chile Information Officer (CID); Head of Cyber Security or Chile Information Security Officer (CISD). These roldes should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Select Controls	GOV-15.1	cybersecurity and data protection controls for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Section Management is accountable for directing the FRFT's technology and oplew security operations and stord assign dear spacembility for technology and cyber risk governance to senior officers. Examples of such rices include head of Information Technology, Chille Technology Officer (CDI), Chief Information Officer (CIO): Head of Cyber Security or Child Information Child (CDI): Head of Cyber Security or Child Information Child (CDI): Head of Cyber Security or Child Information Security Officer (CIO): The city of the Child Information Security Officer (CIO): These foldes should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Implement Controls	GOV-15.2	Nechanisms exist to comped data and/or process owners to implement required ophersecurity and data protection control for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Serior Management is accountable for directing the FRFT's technology and oplew security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and oplew risk governance to serior officers. Examples of such roles include Head of Information Technology, Chille Technology Officer (CTO), Chiler Information Officer (CIO); Head of Oplew Security or Chiler Information Security Officer (CSO). These roles should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Assess Controls	GOV-15.3	Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to assess it required operaceurity and data protection control for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control are implemented correctly and are operating as intended.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Serior Management is accountable for directing the FRF's technology and opter security operations and should assign clear responsibility for technology and opter risk governance to serior officers. Examples of such roles include Head of Information Technology, Chille Technology Officer (CTO); Chiler Information Officer (CIO); Head of Cyber Security or Charl Information Security Officer (CIO); These roles should have appropriate statute and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Authorize Asset, Application and/or Service	GOV-15.4	Mechanisms exist to compet data and/or process owners to obtain authorization for the production use of each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
1.1.1	Senior Management accountability is established	Serior Management is accountable for directing the FRF's technology and opter security operations and should assign does responsibility for technology and opter risk governance to serior officers. Examples of such roles include: Head of Information Technology, Chile Technology Officer (CTO); Chief Information Officer (CIO); Head of Cyber Security or Chief Information Security Officer (CSIO). These roles should have appropriate stature and visibility throughout the institution.	Functional	Intersects With	Monitor Controls	GOV-15.5	Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to monitor Technology, Assest, Applications and/or Serviced (TAS) under their control on an opening basis for applicable threats and risks, as well as to ensure cybersecurity and data protection controls are operating as intended.	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	FRFIs should: Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject-matter expertise and training; include among its Senior Management rains persons with sufficient include among its Senior Management rains; persons with sufficient indenstanding of technology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk awareness in relation to technology and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to CSFT's Corporate Governance Guideline for CSFT's expectations of FFIP Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetite and operational, business, risk and crisis management	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection Governance Program	GOV-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls. Mechanisms exist to coordinate cybersecurity, data protection and business	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject-matter experts and training; include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient understanding of technology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk awareness in relation to technology and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to OSF1's Corporate Governance Guideline for OSF1's expectations of FFR Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetite and operational, business, risk and crisis management	Functional	Intersects With	Steering Committee & Program Oversight	GOV-01.1	alignment through a steering committee or advisory board, comprised of key opbersecurity, dath privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	FRFIs should: Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject-matter experties and training; Include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient understanding of technology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk swareness in relation to technology and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to CRFI's Corporate Governance Guideline for CRFI's expectations of FRFI Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetited and operational, business, risk and crisis management.	Functional	Intersects With	Status Reporting To Governing Body	GOV-01.2	Mechanisms exist to provide governance oversight reporting and recommendations to those entrusted to make execute Vedecisions about nathests considered material to the organization's cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	FRFIs should: Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject-matter experties and training; include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient indestanding of bechnology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk awareness in relation to technology and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to CSFT's Corporate Covernance Guideline for CSFT's expectations of FFF Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetite and operational, business, risk and crisis management	Functional	Intersects With	Assigned Cybersecurity and data protection Responsibilities	GOV-04	Mechanisms exist to easign one or more qualified individuals with the mission and resources to certifylymanage, contrast, exeveto, implement and maintain an enterprise-wide cybersecurity and data protection program. Mechanisms exist to enforce an accountability structure so that appropriate teams	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject- matter experties and training; include among its Serior Management ranks persons with sufficient include among its Serior Management ranks persons with sufficient understanding of technology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk awareness in relation to sectionagy and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to OSFI's Corporate Governance Guideline for OSFI's sepectations of FFR Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetite and operational, business, risk and crisis management sessions.	Functional	Intersects With	Stakeholder Accountability Structure	GOV-04.1	and individuals are empowered, responsible and trained for mapping, measuring and managing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1.1.2	Appropriate structure, resources and training are provided	FRFIs should: Establish an organizational structure for managing technology and cyber risks across the institution, with clear roles and responsibilities, adequate people and financial resources, and appropriate subject-matter experties and training; Include among its Senior Management ranks persons with sufficient understanding of technology and cyber risks; and Promote a culture of risk awareness in relation to technology and cyber risks throughout the institution. Please refer to OSFI's Corporate Governance Guideline for OSFI's expectations of FFFI Boards of Directors regarding business strategy, risk appetite and operational, business, risk and crisis management.	Functional	Intersects With	Authoritative Chain of Command	GOV-04.2	Mechanisms exist to establish an authoritative chain of command with clear lines of communication to remove ambiguity from individuals and teams related to managing data and technology-related risks.	5	
1.2	Technology and cyber strategy	evolve with changes in the FRFI's technology and cyber environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Measures of Performance	GOV-05	Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.	5	
1.2	Technology and cyber strategy	evolve with changes in the FRFI's technology and cyber environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Defining Business Context & Mission	GOV-08	Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.	5	
1.2	Technology and cyber strategy	Principle 2: FRFIs should define, document, approve and implement a strategic technology and cyber planis). The planis should align to business strategy and set goals and objectives that are measurable and evolve with changes in the FRFI's technology and cyber environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Define Control Objectives	GOV-09	Mechanisms exist to establish control objectives as the basis for the selection, implementation and management of the organization's internal control system.	5	



ecure Controls Framework (SCF) 2 of

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM	STRM	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF)	Strength of Relationship	Notes (options!)
		FRFI's strategic technology and cyber plan(s) should consider the	Rationale	Relationship			Control Description Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data	(optional)	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive, comprehensive and measurable	following elements: Anticipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly cuttine the drivers, opportunities, wildersbillelies, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicators that are defined, measured, monitored and reported out, and Articulates have technology and cyber security operations will support the	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection Portfolio Management	PRM-01	protection-related resource planning controls that define a viable plan for achieving cybersecurity and data protection objectives.	5	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive,	FRFI's strategic technology and cyber plan(s) should consider the following elements. Anticlipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external sechnology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the drivers, opportunities, vulnerabilities, threats and measure to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicators that are defined, measured, monitored and reported on; and Articulate how technology and cyber security operations will support the	Functional	Intersects With	Strategic Plan & Objectives	PRM-01.1	Mechanisms exist to establish a strategic cybersecurity and data protection-specific business plan and set of objectives to achieve that plan.	5	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive,	FRFI's strategic technology and cyber plan(s) should consider the following elements: Anticipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the drivers, opportunities, ulnerabilities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicates that are defined, measured, monitored and reported on; and Articulate how technology and cyber security operations will support the	Functional	Intersects With	Targeted Capability Maturity Levels	PRM-01.2	Mechanisms exist to define and identify targeted capability maturity levels.	5	
1.2.1		oweral It paintees estatements. FIFT's strategie cerhonology and opber plan(s) should consider the following elements: Anticipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and opber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the drivers, opportunities, unlerabilities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicators that are defined, measured, monitored and reported on; and Articulate how technology and opber security operations will support the passed by the plant of	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection Resource Management	PRM-02	Mechanisms exist to address all capital planning and investment requests, including the resources needed to implement the cybersecurity and data protection programs and document all exceptions to this requirement. Mechanisms exist to identify and allocate resources for management, operational,	5	
1.2.1		following elements: Anticipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly cuttine the drivers, opportunities, universabilities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Choulder hist Micharchs that an definine, measured, monitored and reported ori, and Arbutuals how technology and cyber security operations will support the	Functional	Intersects With	Allocation of Resources	PRM-03	technical and data privacy requirements within business process planning for projects / initiatives.	5	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive, comprehensive and measurable	FRFI's strategic technology and cyber plan(s) should consider the following elements: Anticipate and evicte with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the drivers, opportunities, ulnerabilities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicators that are defined, measured, monitored and reported on; and Anticitate how technology and cyber security operations will support the country to the control of the country of the co	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection in Project Management	PRM-04	Mechanisms exist to assess cybersecurity and data protection controls in system groject development to determine the exent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the requirements. Mechanisms exist to identify critical system components and functions by	5	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive, comprehensive and measurable	following elements: Anticipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and esternal technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the diverse, opportunities, unlereabitities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; microline this Microlizes that are defined, measured, monitored and Articulate how technology and cyber security operations will support the passed havings activation.	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	performing a criticality analysis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC).	5	
1.2.1	Strategy is proactive, comprehensive and measurable	FRFI's strategic technology and cyber plan(s) should consider the following elements. Anticlipate and evolve with potential changes in the FRFI's internal and external technology and cyber environment; Reference planned changes in the FRFI's technology environment; Clearly outline the drivers, opportunities, vulnerabilities, threats and measures to report on progress against strategic objectives; Include risk indicators that are defined, measured, monitored and reported on; and Articulate how technology and cyber security operations will support the	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection that determines: (1) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and organizations; and organizations; and (2) Information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revises the processes as necessary, until an achievable set of protection needs is obtained. Mechanisms exist to facilitate the imodementation of strategic, operational and	5	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	Principle 3: FRFIs should establish a technology and cyber risk management framework (RMF). The framework should set out a risk appetite for technology and cyber risks and define FRFI's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Subset Of	Risk Management Program	RSK-01	tactical risk management controls.	10	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	Principle 3: FRFIs should establish a technology and cyber risk management Transwork (RMP, The Transwork should set out a risk appetite for technology and cyber risks and define FRFI's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Framing	RSK-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify: (I) Assumptions affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (2) Constraints affecting risk assessments, risk response and risk monitoring; (3) The organizational risk tolerance; and (4) Priorities, benefits and trade-offs considered by the organization for managing risk.	5	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	Principle 3: FRFIs should establish a technology and cyber risk management framework (RMF). The framework should set out a risk appetite for technology and cyber risks and define FRFI's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Appetite	RSK-01.5	Mechanisms exist to define organizational risk appetite, the degree of uncertainty the organization is willing to accept in anticipation of a reward.	5	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	Principle 3: FRFIs should establish a technology and cyber risk management framework (RHF). The framework should set out a risk appette for technology and cyber risks and define FRFI's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Identification	RSK-03	Mechanisms exist to identify and document risks, both internal and external.	5	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	isternology and cover risks. Principle 3: FRHs should establish a technology and cyber risk management framework (RMF). The framework should set out a risk appetite for technology and cyber risks and define FRH's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Assessment	RSK-04	Mechanisms exist to conduct recurring assessments of risk that includes the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unsuthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD).	5	
1.3	Technology and cyber risk management framework	isternology and cover risks. Principle 3: FRHs should establish a technology and cyber risk management framework (RMF). The framework should set out a risk appetite for technology and cyber risks and define FRH's processes and requirements to identify, assess, manage, monitor and report on technology and cyber risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Register	RSK-04.1	Mechanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regularly review and refresh is technology and cyber RRFI to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection Governance Program	GOV-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.	5	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship (optional)	Notes (optional)
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regulath yelvew and refersh its betchnology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Steering Committee & Program Oversight	GOV-01.1	Mechanisms exist to coordinate ophersecutify, data protection and business siligement through a stering committee or advisory bands, comprised of key ophersecutify, data privacy and business executives, which meets formally and on a regular basis.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and oyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Periodic Review & Update of Cybersecurity and data protection Program	GOV-03	Mechanisms exist to review the cybersecurity and data protection program, including policies, standards and procedures, at planned intervals or if significant changes occur to ensure their continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FFRIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFis should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Statutory, Regulatory & Contractual Compliance	CPL-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the identification and implementation of relevant statutory, regulatory and contractual controls.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FFRIs should establish a framework for managing technology and oyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFis should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Non-Compliance Oversight	CPL-01.1	Mechanisms exist to document and review instances of non-compliance with statutory, regulatory and/or contractual obligations to develop appropriate risk mitigation actions.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and oyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFis should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Compliance Scope	CPL-01.2	Mechanisms exist to document and validate the scope of cybersecurity and data protection controls that are determined to meet statutory, regulatory and/or contractual compliance obligations.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and oyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFis should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Subset Of	Risk Management Program	RSK-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.	10	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regularly review and refresh its technology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Engineering Principles	SEA-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of Industry-recognized cybersecurity and daip protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-atigned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regulathy relew and refresh its bethonlogy and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons learned (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Centralized Management of Cybersecurity and data protection Controls	SEA-01.1	Mechanisms exist to centrally-manage the organization-wide management and implementation of cybersecurity and data protection controls and related processes.	5	
1.3.1	RMF is well-aligned and continuously improved	FRFIs should establish a framework for managing technology and cyber risks in alignment with its enterprise risk management framework. FRFIs should regulathy riewed and refresh its betchnology and cyber RMF to make continuous improvements based on implementation, monitoring and other lessons teamed (e.g., past incidents).	Functional	Intersects With	Technology Lifecycle Management	SEA-07.1	Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets. Mechanisms exist to develop a security Concept of Operations (CONOPS), or a	5	
1.32	RMF captures key elements	FRFIs should consider the following elements of risk management when establishing the technology and cyber risk management, including for relevant Oversight Functions; Accountability for technology and cyber risk management, including for relevant Oversight Functions; Technology and cyber risk suppette and measurement (e.g., limits, thresholds and tolerance levels); Accimology and oper risk suppette and reseasurement (e.g., limits, thresholds and tolerance levels); Control domains for technology and cyber risk suppette and consistently implemented extensives and processes governing technology and cyber risk, which are approved, regularly reviewed and consistently implemented enterprise wolk. Processes for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting on technology and cyber risks, including processes for managing exceptions; Management of unique risks posed by emerging threats and technologies; and Reporting to Senior Management on technology and cyber risk appetite measures, exposures and trends to inform the FRFI's current and emerging risk profits of proporate Governance Guideline for OSFI's expectations in relation to FRFI Overright Functions, which include Risk expectations in relation to FRFI Overright Functions, which include Risk	Functional	Intersects With	Security Concept Of Operations (CONOPS)	OPS-02	similarly-defined plan for achieving cybersecurity objectives, that documents management, operations and technical measures implemented to apply defense-in-depth techniques that is communicated to all appropriate stakeholders.	5	
1.3.2	RMF ceptures key elements	FRFIs should consider the following elements of risk management when establishing the technology and cyber risk management, including for relevant Oversight Functions; Accountability for technology and cyber risk management, including for relevant Oversight Functions; Enchnology and cyber risk suppette and measurement (e.g., limits, thresholds and tolerance levels). Control domains for technology and cyber risk suppette should be received to the control of the cyber risk suppette should be received by the control of the cyber risk, which are approved, regularly reviewed and consistently implemented enterprise wide. Processes for identifying, assessing, managing, monitoring and reporting on technology and cyber risks, including processes for managing exceptions; Management of unique risks posed by emerging threats and technologies; and Reporting to Senior Management on technology and cyber risk appetite measures, exposures and trends to inform the FRFF's current and emerging risk profits Corporate Governance Guideline for OSFI's expectations in relation to FRFF Overright Functions, which include Risk sepectations in relation to FRFF Overright Functions, which include Risk sepectations in relation to FRFF Overright Functions, which include Risk sepectations.	Functional	Subset Of	Risk Management Program	RSK-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of strategic, operational and tactical risk management controls.	10	
2	Technology operations and resilience	Outcome: A technology environment that is stable, scalable and resilient. The environment is kept current and supported by robust and sustainable technology operations and recovery processes.	Functional	Intersects With	Capacity & Performance Management	CAP-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of capacity management controls to ensure optimal system performance to meet expected and anticipated future capacity requirements.	5	
2	Technology operations and resilience	Outcome: A technology environment that is stable, scalable and resilient. The environment is kept current and supported by robust and sustainable technology operations and recovery processes.	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Engineering Principles	SEA-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized cybersecurity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAASI).	5	
2	Technology operations and resilience	Outcome: A technology environment that is stable, scalable and resilient. The environment is kept current and supported by robust and sustainable technology operations and recovery processes.	Functional	Intersects With	Achieving Resilience Requirements	SEA-01.2	Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.	5	
2	Technology operations and resilience	Outcome: A technology environment that is stable, scalable and resilient. The environment is kept current and supported by robust and sustainable technology operations and recovery processes.	Functional	Intersects With	Alignment With Enterprise Architecture	SEA-02	Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry- recognized leading practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.	5	
2.1	Technology architecture	Principle 4: FFRIs should implement a technology architecture framework, with supporting processes to ensure solutions are built in line with business, sechnology, and security requirements. Disciple 4: EERs should involvement a technology scribbschus.	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection that determines: (1) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and (2) Information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revises the processes as necessary, until an achievable set of protection needs is obstinated.	5	
2.1	Technology architecture	Principle 4: FRFIs should implement a technology architecture framework, with supporting processes to ensure solutions are built in line with business, technology, and security requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Engineering Principles	SEA-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized cybersecurity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	5	
2.1	Technology architecture	Principle 4: FRFIs should implement a technology architecture framework, with supporting processes to ensure solutions are built in line with business, technology, and security requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Alignment With Enterprise Architecture	SEA-02	Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry- recognized leading practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection Governance Program	GOV-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection governance controls.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Defining Business Context & Mission	GOV-08	Mechanisms exist to define the context of its business model and document the organization's mission.	5	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
	Architecture framework ensures	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the					Mechanisms exist to establish control objectives as the basis for the selection, implementation and management of the organization's internal control system.	(optional)	
2.1.1	technology supports business needs	institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Define Control Objectives	GOV-09		5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Operationalizing Cybersecurity & Data Protection Practices	GOV-15	Mechanisms exist to compet data and/or process owners to operationalize cybersecurity and data protection practices for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Select Controls	GOV-15.1	Mechanisms exist to compet data and/or process owners to select required cybersecurity and data protection controls for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Implement Controls	GOV-15.2	Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to implement required cybersecurity and data protection controls for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Assess Controls	GOV-15.3	Mechanisms exist to compet data and/or process owners to assess if required cybersecurity and data protection controls for each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control are implemented correctly and are operating as intended.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, evolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements.	Functional	Intersects With	Authorize Asset, Application and/or Service	GOV-15.4	Mechanisms exist to compel data and/or process owners to obtain authorization for the production use of each Technology Asset, Application and/or Service (TAAS) under their control.	5	
2.1.1	Architecture framework ensures technology supports business needs	FRFIs should establish a framework of principles necessary to govern, manage, wolve and consistently implement IT architecture across the institution in support of the enterprise's strategic technology, security and business goals and requirements. The scope of architecture principles should be comprehensive (e.g.,	Functional	Intersects With	Monitor Controls	GOV-15.5	Mechanisms exist to comped data and/or process owners to monitor Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) under their control on an ongoing basis for applicable threats and risks, as well as to ensure cybersecurity and data protection controls are operating as intended. Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of industry-recognized	5	
2.1.2	Architecture is comprehensive	considers infrastructure, applications, emerging technologies and relevant data). Using a risk-based approach, systems and associated	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Engineering Principles	SEA-01	cybersecunity and data protection practices in the specification, design, development, implementation and modification of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	5	
2.1.2	Architecture is comprehensive	The scope of architecture principles should be comprehensive (e.g., considers infrastructure, applications, emerging technologies and retweamt data). Librag airs hasead agrounds, systems and associated infrastructure should be designed and implemented to achieve availability, scalability, scalariby, Secure-V-Design) and resilience (Resilience-by-Design), commensurate with business needs.	Functional	Intersects With	Achieving Resilience Requirements	SEA-01.2	Mechanisms exist to achieve resilience requirements in normal and adverse situations.	5	
2.1.2	Architecture is comprehensive	availability, scalability, security (Secure-by-Design) and resilience (Resilience-by-Design), commensurate with business needs.	Functional	Intersects With	Alignment With Enterprise Architecture	SEA-02	Mechanisms exist to develop an enterprise architecture, aligned with industry- recognized leading practices, with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection principles that addresses risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals, other organizations.	5	
2.2	Technology asset management	Principle 5: FRFIs should maintain an updated inventory of all technology assets supporting business processes or functions. FRFI's asset management processes should address classification of assets to facilitate risk identification and assessment, record configurations to ensure asset integrity, provide for the safe disposal of assets at the end of their life cycle, and monitor and manage technology currency.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Governance	AST-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.	5	
2.2	Technology asset management	Principle 5. FRPIs should maintain an updated inventory of all technology assets supporting business processes or functions. FRPI's asset management processes should address classification of assets to facilitate risk identification and assessment, record configurations to ensure asset integrity, provide for the set disposal of assets at the end of their life cycle, and monitor and manage technology currency.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset-Service Dependencies	AST-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of technology assets that support more than one critical business function.	5	
2.2	Technology asset management	Principle 5: FFRI's should maintain an updated inventory of all technology assets supporting business processors or functions. FFRI's assets assets assets assets assets assets assets assets assets as the second of the second o	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Inventories	AST-02	Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TASSD) that. (1) Accurately reflects the current TASSD in use; (2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details; (3) is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting, (4) Includies organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability, and (5) is available for review and sudit by designated organizational personnel.	5	
2.2	Technology asset management	Principle 5: FRPIs should maintain an updated inventory of all technology assets supporting business processes or functions. FRPI's asset management processes should address classification of assets to facilitate risk identification and assessment, record configurations to ensure asset insight, provide for the acte disposal of assets at the end of their life cycle, and monitor and manage technology currency.	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Disposal, Destruction or Re-Use of Equipment	AST-09	Mechanisms exist to securely dispose of, destroy or repurpose system components using organization-defined techniques and methods to prevent information being recovered from these components.	5	
2.2	Technology asset management	Principle SF.FRI's should maintain an updated inventory of all technology assets supporting business processes or functions. FRI's asset management processes should address classification of assets to facilitate risk identification and assessment, record configurations to ensure asset integrity, provide for the actiopscal of assets at the end of their life cycle, and monitor and manage technology currency.	Functional	Intersects With	Technology Lifecycle Management	SEA-07.1	Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.	5	
2.2.1	Technology asset management standards are established	FRFIs should establish standards and procedures to manage technology assets.	Functional	Subset Of	Asset Governance	AST-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.	10	
2.2.1	Technology asset management standards are established	FRFIs should establish standards and procedures to manage technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP)	OPS-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify and document Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP), or similar documentation, to enable the proper execution of day-to-day / assigned tasks.	5	
2.2.1	Technology asset management standards are established	FRRIs should establish standards and procedures to manage technology assets. FRRIs should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management system, or inventory, that catalogues technology assets throughout their life cycle. Based the FRRIF risk thould maintain a current and comprehensive assets throughout their life cycle. Based the FRRIF risk thoughout their life cycle. Based the FRRIF risk though explanation, this may include assets	Functional	Intersects With	Service Delivery (Business Process Support)	OPS-03	Mechanisms exist to define supporting business processes and implement supporpriate governance and service management to ensure appropriate planning, delivery and support of the organization's technology capabilities supporting business functions, workforce, and/or customent based on industry-recognized standards to achieve the secolific costs of the orocess area. Mechanisms exist to solitates out 17 seased Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.	5	
222	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	owned or leased by a FRFI, and third-party assets that store or process FRFI information or provide critical business services. The extreme management system, or inventory, should be supported by: Processes to categorize technology assets based on their critically and/or classification. These processes should identify critical starch treat action. These processes should identify critical starch treat action and option statucks, and therefore require enhanced option protections; and Documented interdependencies between critical stechnology assets, where appropriate, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and operational incidents, including cyber attacks.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Governance	AST-01		5	
222	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	FRFIs should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management system, or inventor, what catalogues technology assets throughout their life cycle. Based on the FRFI risk tolerance, this may include assets owned or leased by a FRFI, and thirty any assets that store or process FRFI information or provide critical business services. The asset management system, or inventory, should be supported by: Processes to categorize technology assets based on their criticality and/or classification. These processes should identify critical stechnology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could stract threat actors and cyber stracks, and therefore require enhanced cyber protections; and Documented interdependencies between critical stechnology assets, where appropriate, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and operational incidents, including cyber attacks.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset-Service Dependencies	AST-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of technology assets that support more than one critical business function.	5	



Set Theory Relationship Mapping (STRM)

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF)	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
		FRFIs should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management	Rationale	Kelationship			Control Description Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications,	(optional)	
2.2.2	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	system, or inventory, that catalogues technology assets throughout their like cycle. Based on the FFFF risk to them on, this may include assets owned or leased by a FRFI, and third-party assets that store or process owned or leased by a FRFI, and third-party assets that store or process management system, or inventory, should be supported by. Processes to categorize technology assets based on their criticality and/or classification. These processes should identify critical cold technology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could be affected the control of the processes and object stacks, and therefore require enhanced	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Inventories	AST-02	Services and/or Data (TAASD) that: (1) Accurately reflects the current TAASD in use; (2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details; (3) is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting; (4) includes organization-defined information deemed necessary to achieve effective property accountability; and (5) is available for review and audit by designated organizational personnel.	5	
		cyber protections; and Documented interdependencies between critical technology assets, where appropriate, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and operational inclotest, including cyber attacks. FRFis should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management					Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets,		
		system, or inventory, that catalogues technology assets throughout their lifle cycle. Based on the FRFI's risk tolerance, this may include assets owned or leased by a FRFI, and third-party assets that store or process FRFI information or provide critical business services. The asset management system, or inventory, should be supported by:					Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.		
2.2.2	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	Processes to categorize technology assets based on their criticality and/or classification. These processes should identify critical technology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could attract threat actors and oper attacks, and therefore require enhanced cyber protections; and Documented interrepondencies between critical technology assets, where appropriets, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and operational incidents, including operational incidents, and	Functional	Intersects With	Identify Critical Assets	BCD-02		5	
		FRFIs should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management system, or inventory, that catalogues technology assets throughout their life cycle. Based on the FRFI's risk tolerance, this may include assets owned or leased by a FRFI, and third-party assets that store or process FRFI information or provide critical business services. The asset management system, or inventory, should be supported by:					Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.		
2.2.2	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	Processor to categories technology assets based on their criticality and/or classification. These processes about disentify critical technology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could technology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could branch these about and oper attacks, and therefore require enhanced cyber protections; and Documented interferopendencies between critical technology assets, where appropriate, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and	Functional	Intersects With	Data & Asset Classification	DCH-02		5	
		operational incidents, including cyber attacks. FRFIs should maintain a current and comprehensive asset management system, or inventor, but a citaliogues technology assets throughout their life cycle. Based on the FRFI risk tolerance, this may include assets owned or leased by a FFIL and third-part assets that store or process FRFI information or provide critical business services. The asset management system, or inventory, should be supported by:					Mechanisms exist to maintain inventory logs of all sensitive media and conduct sensitive media inventories at least annually.		
2.2.2	Inventory is maintained and assets are categorized	Processes to categorize technology assets based on their critically and/or classification. These processes should identify critical technology assets that are of high importance to the FRFI, or which could streat threat actors and opber states, and therefore require enhanced cyber protections; and Documented interfequendencies between critical technology assets, where appropriate, to enable proper change and configuration management processes, and to assist in response to security and operational incidents, including cyber attacks.	Functional	Intersects With	Sensitive Data Inventories	DCH-06.2		5	
2.2.3	Inventory records and manages technology asset configurations	The technology inventory should also include a system for recording and managing sases configuration to enhance visibility and migrate the risk of technology outages and unauthorized activity. Processes should be in place to identify, assess, and remediate discrepancies from the approved baseline configuration, and to report on breaches.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Inventories	AST-02	Mechanisms exist to perform inventories of Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TASSI) that. (1) Accurately reflects the current TASSI in use; (2) Identifies authorized software products, including business justification details; (3) Is at the level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting; (4) Includes organization-defined information determed necessary to achieve effective properly accountability; and (5) Is available for review and suulit by designated organizational personnel.	5	
2.2.3	Inventory records and manages technology asset configurations	The technology inventory should also include a system for recording and managing asset configurations to enhance visibility and mitigate the risk of technology outages and unauthorized activity. Processes should be in place to identify, assess, and remediate discrepancies from the approved baseline configuration, and to report on breaches.	Functional	Intersects With	Configuration Management Database (CMDB)	AST-02.9	Mechanisms exist to implement and manage a Configuration Management Database (CMOB), or similar technology, to monitor and govern technology asset-specific information.	5	
2.2.4	Standards for safe disposal of technology assets are established	FRFIs should define standards and implement processes to ensure the secure disposal or destruction of technology assets. FRFIs should continuously monitor the currency of software and	Functional	Equal	Secure Disposal, Destruction or Re-Use of Equipment	AST-09	Mechanisms exist to securely dispose of, destroy or repurpose system components using organization-defined techniques and methods to prevent information being recovered from these components. Mechanisms exist to manage the usable lifecycles of technology assets.	10	
2.2.5	Technology currency is continuously assessed and managed	hardware assets used in the technology environment in support of business processes. It should proactively implement plans to mitigate and manage risks stemming from unpatched, outdated or unsupported assets and replace or upgrade assets before maintenance ceases.	Functional	Intersects With	Technology Lifecycle Management	SEA-07.1		5	
2.2.5	Technology currency is continuously assessed and managed	FRFIs should continuously monitor the currency of software and hardware assets used in the technology environment in support of business processes. It should proactively implement plans to mitigate and manage risks stemming from unpatched, outdated or unsupported assets and replace or upgrade assets before maintenance ceases.	Functional	Intersects With	Unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS)	TDA-17	Mechanisms exist to prevent unsupported Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (ITAAS) (1) (1) Removing and/or replacing TAAS when support for the components is no longer available from the developer, vendor or manufacturer; and (2) Requiring justification and documented approval for the continued use of justimization and documented approval for the continued use of justimization and provided the state of the state of the state of justimization and the state of the state of the state of the state of justimization and state of the state of the state of justimization and state of the state of justimization and state of justimization and justimization a	5	
2.3	Technology project management	Principle 6: Effective processes are in place to govern and manage technology projects, from initiation to closure, to ensure that project outcomes are aligned with business objectives and are achieved within the FRF1's risk appetite. Principle 6: Effective processes are in place to govern and manage	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection in Project Management	PRM-04	Mechanisms exist to assess cybersecurity and data protection controls in system project development to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the requirements. Mechanisms exist to identify critical system components and functions by	5	
2.3	Technology project management	Principle 6: Enective processes are in place to govern and manage technology projects, from initiation to closure, to ensure that project outcomes are aligned with business objectives and are achieved within the FRP's risk appetite. Principle 6: Effective processes are in place to govern and manage	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	precraminants exist to definite configuration components and uncounts by performing a criticality analysis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle ISDLCI. Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity	5	
2.3	Technology project management	technology projects, from initiation to closure, to ensure that project outcomes are aligned with business objectives and are achieved within the FRR's risk appetite.	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	and data protection that determines: (1) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and (2) Information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revises the processes as necessary, until an achievable set of protection needs is obtained.	5	
2.3.1	Technology projects are governed by an enterprise-wide framework	Tachnology projects are often distinguished by their scale, required investment and importance in fulfilling the EPI's broades strategy. As a result, they should be governed by an enterprise-wide project management framework that provides for consistent approaches and achievement of project outcomes in support of the FRPT stechnology strategy. The FRPT should measure, monitor and periodically report on project conformance and associated risk.	Functional	Equal	Cybersecurity and data protection in Project Management	PRM-04	Mechanisms exist to assess ophersecurity and data protection controls in system project development to determine the scent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the requirements.	10	
2.4	System Development Life Cycle	Principle 7: FRFIs should implement a System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) framework for the secure development, acquisition and maintenance of technology systems that perform as expected in support of business objectives.	Functional	Equal	Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management	PRM-07	Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through formal change control procedures.	10	
2.4.1	SDLC framework guides system and software development	The SDLC framework should outline processes and controls in each phase of the SDLC life cycle to achieve security and functionality, while ensuring systems and software perform as expected to support business objectives. The SDLC framework can include software development methodologies adopted by the FRFI (e.g., Agile, Waterfall).	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity and data protection in Project Management	PRM-04	Mechanisms exist to assess ophersecurity and data protection controls in system project development to determine the scent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the requirements.	5	
2.4.1	SDLC framework guides system and software development	The SDLC framework should outline processes and controls in each phase of the SDLC life cyclet to achieve security and functionality, while ensuring systems and software perform as expected to support business objectives. The SDLC framework can include software development methodologies adopted by the FRFI (e.g., Agile, Waterfatt).	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	Mechanisms exist to identify critical system components and functions by performing a critically analysis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC).	5	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
2.4.1	SDLC framework guides system and software development	The SDLC framework should outline processes and controls in each phase of the SDLC life cycle to achieve security and functionality, while resulting systems and software perform as expected to support business objectives. The SDLC framework can include software development methodologies adopted by the FRIF (e.g., Agile, Waterfall).	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection that determines: (1) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and (2) Information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revises the processes as necessary, until an achievable set of protection needs is	(optional)	
2.4.1	SDLC framework guides system and software development	The SDLC framework should outline processes and controls in each phase of the SDLC life cycle to achieve security and functionality, while ensuring systems and software perform as expected to support business objectives. The SDLC framework can include software development methodologies adopted by the FRFI (e.g., Agile, Waterfall).	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management	PRM-07	obtained. Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAKS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through format change control procedures.	5	
2.4.1	SDLC framework guides system and software development	The SDLC framework should outline processes and controls in each phase of the SDLC life cycle to achieve security and functionality, while ensuring systems and software perform as expected to support business objectives. The SDLC framework can include software development methodologies adopted by the FRFI (e.g., Agile, Waterfall).	Functional	Intersects With	Software Design Review	TDA-06.5	Machanisms exist to have an independent review of the software design to confirm that all operaceurity and data protection requirements are met and that any identified risks are satisfactorily addressed.	5	
2.4.2	Security requirements are embedded throughout the SDLC	In addition to the general technology processes and controls, FRFIs should establish control gates to ensure that security requirements and expectations are embedded in each phase of the SDLC. For Agile software development methods, FRFIs should continue to incorporate the necessary SDLC and security-by-design principles throughout its	Functional	Equal	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	Nechanisms exist to identify, critical system components and functions by performing a criticality analysis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SOLC).	10	
2.4.2	Security requirements are embedded throughout the SDLC	In addition to the general technology processes and controls, FRFIs should establish control gates to ensure that security requirements and espectations are embedded in each phase of the SDLC. For Agile software development methods, FRFIs should continue to incorporate the necessary SDLC and security-by-design principles throughout its Agile process.	Functional	Intersects With	Software Design Review	TDA-06.5	Machanisms exist to have an independent review of the software design to confirm that all opherescript and data protection requirements are met and that any identified risks are satisfactorily addressed.	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology operations, new software and services can be delivered repidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FRFIs should ensure they are aligned with the SDLC framework and applicable technology and cyber policies and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	Mechanisms exist to identify, critical system components and functions by performing a critically enalpsis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC).	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology operations, new software and services can be delivered rapidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FRFis should ensure they are aligned with the SDLC framework and applicable technology and cyber policies and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection that determines: (I) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and organizations; and of the organizations; and (2) information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revisess the processes an excessive, you'll an achievable set of protection needs is	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology operations, new software and services can be delivered rapidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FRFIs should ensure they are aligned with the SDLC transwork and applicable technology and public notices and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) Management	PRM-07	obtained: Mechanisms exist to ensure changes to Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAKS) within the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC) are controlled through format change control procedures.	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology operations, new software and services can be delivered rapidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FRFIs should ensure they are aligned with the SDLC transevork and applicable technology and righer notices and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Technology Development & Acquisition	TDA-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of tailored development and acquilation strategies, contract tools and procurement methods to meet unique business needs.	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology portations, new software and services can be delivered rapidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FFRIs should ensure they are sligned with the SDLC framework and applicable technology and cyber policies and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Product Management	TDA-01.1	Mechanisms exist to design and implement product management processes to proactively govern the design, development and production of Technology Assets. Applications and/or Services (TASS) across the System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) to: (1) Improve functionality: (2) Enhance security and resiliency capabilities; (3) Correct security deficiencies; and (4) Conform with applicable statistory, regulatory and/or contractual obligations.	5	
2.4.3	Integration of development, security and technology operations	By integrating application security controls and requirements into software development and technology operations, new software and services can be delivered rapidly without compromising application security. When these practices are employed, FRFIs should ensure they are aligned with the SDLC transevork and applicable technology and rights notices and standards.	Functional	Intersects With	Development Methods, Techniques & Processes	TDA-02.3	Mechanisms exist to require software developers to ensure that their software developent processes employ industry-recognized sensor practices for secure programming, engineering methods, quality-control processes and validation techniques to minimize flawed and/or matformed software.	5	
2.4.4	Acquired systems and software are assessed for risk	For software and systems that are acquired, FRFis should ensure that security risk assessments are conducted, and that systems implementation is subject to the control requirements as required by the FRFI's SDLC framework.	Functional	Subset Of	Information Assurance (IA) Operations	IAO-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of cybersecurity and data protection assessment and authorization controls.	10	
2.4.4	Acquired systems and software are assessed for risk	For software and systems that are acquired, FRFIs should ensure that security risk assessments are conducted, and that systems implementation is subject to the control requirements as required by the FRFI's SDLC framework.	Functional	Intersects With	Assessment Boundaries	IAO-01.1	Mechanisms exist to establish the scope of assessments by defining the assessment boundary, according to people, processes and technology that directly or indirectly impact the confidentiality, integrity, availability and safety of the Technology Assets, Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) under review.	5	
2.4.4	Acquired systems and software are assessed for risk	For software and systems that are acquired, FRFIs should ensure that security risk assessments are conducted, and that systems implementation is subject to the control requirements as required by the FRFI's SDLC framework.	Functional	Intersects With	Assessments	IAO-02	Mechanisms exist to formally assess the cybersecurity and data protection controls in Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TASA) through Information Assurance Program (AP) activities to determine the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting expected requirements.	5	
2.4.4	Acquired systems and software are assessed for risk	For software and systems that are acquired, FRFis should ensure that security risk assessments are conducted, and that systems implementation is subject to the control requirements as required by the FRF's SDLC framework.	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Modeling	TDA-06.2	Mechanisms exist to perform threat modelling and other secure design techniques, to ensure that threats to software and solutions are identified and accounted for.	5	
2.4.5	Coding principles provide for secure and stable code	FRFIs should define and implement coding principles and best practices (e.g., secure coding, use of third-party and open-source code, coding repositories and tools, etc.).	Functional	Intersects With	Development Methods, Techniques & Processes	TDA-02.3	Mechanisms exist to require software developers to ensure that their software development processes employ industry-recognized secure practices for secure programming, engineering methods, quality control processes and validation techniques to minimize flawed and/or malformed software.	8	
2.4.5	Coding principles provide for secure and stable code	FRFIs should define and implement coding principles and best practices (e.g., secure coding, use of third-party and open-source code, coding repositories and tools, etc.).	Functional	Intersects With	Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP)	TDA-06	Mechanisms exist to develop applications based on Secure Software Development Practices (SSDP).	8	
2.4.5	Coding principles provide for secure and stable code	FRFIs should define and implement coding principles and best practices (e.g., secure coding, use of third-party and open-source code, coding repositories and code, etc.).	Functional	Intersects With	Criticality Analysis	TDA-06.1	Mechanisms exist to require the developer of the system, system component or service to perform a criticality analysis at organization-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle (SDLC). Mechanisms exist to facilities the implementation of a change management.	5	
2.5	Change and release management	Principle E: FRFIs should establish and implement a technology change and release management process and supporting documentation to ensure changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner that ensures minimal disruption to the production environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Change Management Program	CHG-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of a change management program.	5	
2.5	Change and release management	Principle E: FRFIs should establish and implement a technology change and release management process and supporting documentation to ensure changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner that ensures minimal disruption to the production environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Configuration Change Control	CHG-02	Mechanisms exist to govern the technical configuration change control processes.	5	
2.5	Change and release management	Principle E: FRFIs should establish and implement a technology change and release management process and supporting documentation to ensure changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner that ensures minimal disruption to the production environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Prohibition Of Changes	CHG-02.1	Mechanisms exist to prohibit unauthorized changes, unless organization-approved change requests are received.	5	
2.5	Change and release management	Principle E: FRFIs should establish and implement a technology change and release management process and supporting documentation to ensure changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner that ensures minimal disruption to the production environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Access Restriction For Change	CHG-04	Mechanisms exist to enforce configuration restrictions in an effort to restrict the ability of users to conduct unauthorized changes.	5	
2.5	Change and release management	Principle E: FRFIs should establish and implement a technology change and release management process and supporting documentation to ensure changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner that ensures minimal disruption to the production environment.	Functional	Intersects With	Permissions To Implement Changes	CHG-04.4	Mechanisms exist to limit operational privileges for implementing changes.	5	
2.5.1	Changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner	FRFIs should ensure that change to technology assets in the production environment an economient, essessed, steed, approved, implemented and verified in a controlled manner. The change and redeale management standard should outline the key controls required throughout the change management process. The standard should also define emergency change and control requirements to ensure that such changes are implemented in a controlled manner with adequate sentences.	Functional	Intersects With	Change Management Program	CHG-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of a change management program.	5	



Set Theory Relationship Mapping (STRM)

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM	STRM	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF)	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
- FUEW	FDE Wante	FRFIs should ensure that changes to technology assets in the production	Rationale	Relationship	- SOF COMPOL	- JOHN	Control Description Mechanisms exist to govern the technical configuration change control processes.	(optional)	- riotas (optionas)
2.5.1	Changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner	environment are documented, assessed, tested, approved, insplemented and verified in a controlled manner. The change and release management standard should outline the key controls required throughout the change management process. The standard should also define emergency change and control requirements to sense that such changes are implemented in a controlled manner with adequate administration.	Functional	Intersects With	Configuration Change Control	CHG-02		5	
2.5.1	Changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner	FRFIs should ensure that changes to technology assets in the production environment are documented, assessed, steeted, approved, implamented and verified in a controlled manner. The change and redese management standard should outline the key controls required throughout the change management process. The standard should also define emergency change and control requirements to ensure that such changes are implemented in a controlled manner with adequate sextensives.	Functional	Intersects With	Prohibition Of Changes	CHG-02.1	Mechanisms exist to prohibit unauthorized changes, unless organization-approved change requests are received.	5	
2.5.1	Changes to technology assets are conducted in a controlled manner	FRFIs should ensure that changes to technology assets in the production environment are occumented, assessed, tested, approved, inplemented and verified in a controlled manner. The change and release management stander should cultime the key controls required throughout the change management process. The standard should also define energency change and control requirements to ensure that such changes are implemented in a controlled manner with adequate.	Functional	Intersects With	Test, Validate & Document Changes	CHG-02.2	Mechanisms exist to appropriately text and document proposed changes in a non- production environment before changes are implemented in a production environment.	5	
2.5.2	Segregation of duties controls against unauthorized changes	safearunris. Segregation of duties is a key control used in protecting assets from unauthorized changes. FRFis should segregate duties in the change management process to ensure that the same person cannot develop, authorize, execute and move code or releases between production and non-oroduction technology environments.	Functional	Intersects With	Access Restriction For Change	CHG-04	Mechanisms exist to enforce configuration restrictions in an effort to restrict the ability of users to conduct unauthorized changes.	5	
2.5.2	Segregation of duties controls against unauthorized changes	Segregation of duties as key control used in protecting assets from unauthorized changes. FRFis should segregate duties in the change management process to ensure that the same person cannot develop, authorize, execute and move code or releases between production and non-norduration technology environments.	Functional	Intersects With	Permissions To Implement Changes	CHG-04.4	Mechanisms exist to limit operational privileges for implementing changes.	5	
2.5.2	Segregation of duties controls against unauthorized changes	Segregation of duties is a key control used in protecting assets from unauthorized changes. First is adult segregate duties in the change management process to ensure that the same person cannot develop, authorize, execute and move code or releases between production and non-nordustriation technology employments.	Functional	Intersects With	Separation of Duties (SoD)	HRS-11	Mechanisms exist to implement and maintain Separation of Duties (SoD) to prevent potential inappropriate activity without collusion.	5	
2.5.3	Changes to technology assets are traceable	Controls should be implemented to ensure traceability and integrity of the change record as well as the asset being changed (e.g., code, releases) in each phase of the change management process.	Functional	Subset Of	Configuration Change Control	CHG-02	Mechanisms exist to govern the technical configuration change control processes.	10	
2.6	Patch management	Principle 9: FRFis should implement patch management processes to ensure controlled and timely application of patches across its technology environment to address vulnerabilities and flaws. Principle 9: FRFis should implement patch management processes to	Functional	Subset Of	Vulnerability & Patch Management Program (VPMP)	VPM-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation and monitoring of vulnerability management controls. Mechanisms exist to ensure that vulnerabilities are properly identified, tracked and	10	
2.6	Patch management	ensure controlled and timely application of patches across its technology environment to address vulnerabilities and flaws.	Functional	Subset Of	Vulnerability Remediation Process	VPM-02	remediated.	10	
2.6	Patch management	Principle 9: FRFIs should implement patch management processes to ensure controlled and timely application of patches across its technology environment to address vulnerabilities and flaws.	Functional	Subset Of	Software & Firmware Patching	VPM-05	Mechanisms exist to conduct software patching for all deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including firmware.	10	
2.6.1	Patches are applied in a timely and controlled manner	The patch management process should define clear roles and responsibilities for all stakeholders involved. Patching should follow the FRFI's existing change management processes, including emergency change processes. Patches should be tested before deployment to the production environment.	Functional	Subset Of	Software & Firmware Patching	VPM-05	Mechanisms exist to conduct software patching for all deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including firmware.	10	
2.7	Incident and problem management	Principle 10: FRFIs should effectively detect, log, manage, resolve, monitor and report on technology incidents and minimize their impacts. Principle 10: FRFIs should effectively detect, log, manage, resolve, monitor and report on technology incidents and minimize their impacts.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Response Operations	IRO-01	Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents. Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation	10	
2.7	Incident and problem management	The second will be second as the second will be second with the second s	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Handling	IRO-02	(3) Antomated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Antoja; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and	5	
2.7	Incident and problem management	Principle 10: FRFIs should effectively detect, log, manage, resolve, monitor and report on technology incidents and minimize their impacts.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Classification & Prioritization	IRO-02.4	Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.	5	
2.7	Incident and problem management	Principle 10: FRFIs should effectively detect, log, manage, resolve, monitor and report on technology incidents and minimize their impacts.	Functional	Intersects With	Situational Awareness For Incidents	IRO-09	Mechanisms exist to document, monitor and report the status of cybersecurity and data protection incidents to internal stakeholders all the way through the resolution of the incident.	5	
2.7.1	Incidents are managed to minimize impact on affected systems and business processes	FRFIs abould define standards and implement processes for incident and problem management. Standards should provide an appropriate governance structure for timely identification and escalation of incidents, restoration and/or recovery of an affected system, and investigation and resolution of incident root causes.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Endication; and (8) Renowey	10	
2.7.1	Incidents are managed to minimize impact on affected systems and business processes	FRFIs should define standards and implement processes for incident and problem management. Standards should provide an apropriate governance structure for timely identification and escalation of incidents, restoration and/or recovery of an affected system, and impediation and inaged limit of incident root resurse. FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include:	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Response Plan (IRP)	IRO-04	Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders. Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data	5	
2.7.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk-based	Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early avaning inclination or trigger of system disruption (i.e., detection) that are informed by ongoing threat assessment and risk surveillance activities; Identifying and classifying incidents according to priority, based on their impacts on business services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that mitigate the impacts of incidents, including internal and external communication actions that contain escalation and notification triggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios in order to identify and remedy gaps in incident response scenarios and capabilities; Conducting periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios in conducting periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, playbooks, and other responses tools (e.g., coordination and communication) outsides and mistants in their effectiveness; and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with thir parties.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Response Operations	IRO-01	protection-related incidents.	10	
2.7.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk- based	FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include: Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early warming inclinators or trigges or system disruption (La, eletection) that are informed by organige threat assessment and risk surveillance activities; inclined the second of the process of the process of the process and the process of the process and process services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that mitigate the impacts of incidents, including internal and external mitigate the impacts of incidents, including internal and external communication actions that contrain excellation and notification triggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios in order to identify and remedy again in incident response actions and capabilities; Conducting periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, plapshocks, and other response tools (e.g., coordination and communication) to validate and maintain their effectiveness; and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with third parties.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation; (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Fandication; (6) Containment; (6) Fandication; and (6) Recovery.	10	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
		FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include:					Mechanisms exist to define specific Indicators of Compromise (IOC) to identify the signs of potential cybersecurity events.	(optional)	
2.7.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk-based	Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early awarning indicators or triggers of system disruption (i.e., detection) that are informed by ongoing threat assessment and risk surveillance activities; Identifying and classifying incidents according to priority, based on their impacts on business services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that minigrate the impacts of incidents, including internal and external communication actions that contain escalation and notification triggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios in order to identify and remedy agap in incident response actions and capabilities; Conducting periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, playbooks, and other response tools (e.g., coordination and carmunication) to validate and maintain their effectiveness; and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with third parties.	Functional	Intersects With	indicators of Compromise (ICC)	IRO-03		5	
		FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include:					Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.		
2.7.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk-based	Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early awarning indicators or riggers of system disruption (i.e., detection) that are informed by ongoing threat assessment and risk surveillance activities; Identifying and classifying incidents according to priority, based on their impacts on business services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that mitigate the impacts of incidents, inciding internal and external communication actions that contain excelation and notification triggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios; Performing periodic exercises and testing of incident response actions and capabilities; Conducting periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, playbooks, and other response tools (e.g., coordination and communication) to usidate and maintain their effectiveness; and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with third parties.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Response Plan (IRP)	IRO-04		5	
		FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include:					Mechanisms exist to formally test incident response capabilities through realistic exercises to determine the operational effectiveness of those capabilities.		
27.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk-based	Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early awarning indicators or trigger of system disruption (i.e., detection) that are informed by ongoing threat assessment and risk surveillance activities, and classifying incidents according to priority, based on their impacts on business services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that minigrate the impacts of incidents, incident response procedures that minigrate their impacts of incidents, incident response procedures that an imaginate their impacts of incidents, incident response and external communication actions that contain escalation and notification riggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible ocennois order to identify and remedy gaps in incident response actions and capabilities; Conducting periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, playbooks, and other response stools (e.g., coordination and communication) to suddes and ministria their effectiveness; and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with third parties.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Response Testing	IRO-06		5	
		FRFIs should implement processes and procedures for managing technology incidents; elements may include:					Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data		
2.7.2	Incident management process is clear, responsive and risk-based	Defining and documenting roles and responsibilities of relevant internal and external parties to support effective incident response; Establishing early awarning indicators or triggers of system disruption (i.e., detection) that are informed by origing threat assessment and risk unrelations activities and catalysing incidents according to priority, based on their impacts on business services; Developing and implementing incident response procedures that mitigates the impacts of incidents, including internal and external communication actions that contain escalation and notification triggers and processes; Performing periodic testing and exercises using plausible scenarios in adoption of the control dendry and remedy agaps in incident response actions and capabilities; Performing periodic exercises and testing of incident management process, playbooks, and other response tools (e.g., coordination and camunication) to suddes and maintain their effectiveness, and Establishing and periodically testing incident management processes with third parties.	Functional	Intersects With	Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISRIT)	IRO-07	protection incident response operations.	5	
2.7.3	Processes are established to investigate, resolve and learn from problems	FFRIs should develop problem management processes that provide for the detection, categorization, investigation and resolution of suspected incident cause(s). Processes should include post-incident reviews, root case and impact diagnostics and indirectification of trends or patterns in incidents. Problem management activities and findings should inform related control processes and be used on an ongoing basis to improve incident management processes and bread on an ongoing basis to improve incident management processes and procedures, including change and reases management.	Functional	Equal	Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned	IRO-13	Mechanisms exist to incorporate leasons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.	10	
2.7.3	Processes are established to investigate, resolve and learn from problems	FRFIs should develop problem management processes that provide for the detection, categorization, investigation and resolution of suspected incident causely, processes should include post-incident reviews, root cause and impact diagnostics and identification of trends or patterns in incidents. Problem management activities and findings should inform related control processes and be used on an orgoing basis to improve incident management processes and procedures, including change and release management.	Functional	Intersects With	IRP Update	IRO-04.2	Mechanisms exist to regularly review and modify incident response practices to incorporate lessons learned, business process changes and industry developments, as necessary.	5	
2.8	Technology service measurement and monitoring	Principle 11: FRFIs should develop service and capacity standards and processes to monitor operational management of technology, ensuring business needs are met	Functional	Intersects With	Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP)	OPS-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify and document Standardized Operating Procedures (SOP), or similar documentation, to enable the proper execution of day-to-day /	5	
2.8	Technology service measurement and monitoring	business needs are met. Thriciple 11: Fifts should develop service and capacity standards and processes to monitor operational management of technology, ensuring business needs are met.	Functional	Intersects With	Service Delivery (Business Process Support)	OPS-03	assigned tasks. Mchanisms exist to define supporting business processes and implement appropriate governance and service management to ensure appropriate planning, delevery and support of the organizations technology capabilities supporting business functions, workforce, and/or customers based on industry-recognized standards to achieve the societic society of the process area.	5	
2.8	Technology service measurement and monitoring	Principle 11: FRFIs should develop service and capacity standards and processes to monitor operational management of technology, ensuring business needs are met.	Functional	Intersects With	Cybersecurity & Data Protection Requirements Definition	PRM-05	Mechanisms exist to identify critical system components and functions by performing a criticality analysis for critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) at pre-defined decision points in the Secure Development Life Cycle	5	
2.8	Technology service measurement and monitoring	Principle 11: FRF1s should develop service and capacity standards and processes to monitor operational management of technology, ensuring business needs are met.	Functional	Intersects With	Business Process Definition	PRM-06	ISDLC). Mechanisms exist to define business processes with consideration for cybersecurity and data protection that determines: (1) The resulting risk to organizational operations, assets, individuals and other organizations; and [2] information protection needs arising from the defined business processes and revises the processes as necessary, until an achievable set of protection needs is obtained.	5	
2.8.1	Technology service performance is measured, monitored and regularly reviewed for improvement	FFFIes should establish technology service management standards with defined performance indicators and/or service targets that can be used to measure and monitor the delivery of technology services. Processes should also provide for remediation where targets are not being met.	Functional	Intersects With	Measures of Performance	GOV-05	Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor cybersecurity and data protection program measures of performance.	5	
2.8.1	Technology service performance is measured, monitored and regularly reviewed for improvement	FRFIs should establish technology service management standards with defined performance indicators and/or service targets that can be used to measure and monitor the delivery of technology services. Processes should also provide for remediation where targets are not being met.	Functional	Intersects With	Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)	GOV-05.1	Mechanisms exist to develop, report and monitor Key Performance Indicators (RPIs) to assist organizational management in performance monitoring and trend analysis of the cybersecurity and data protection program.	5	



ecure Controls Framework (SCF)

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship (optional)	Notes (optional)
2.8.2	Technology infrastructure performance and capacity are sufficient	FRFis should define performance and capacity requirements with thresholds on infrastructure utilization. These requirements should be continuously monitored against defined thresholds to ensure technology performance and capacity support current and future business needs.	Functional	Intersects With	Capacity & Performance Management	CAP-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of capacity management controls to ensure optimal system performance to meet expected and anticipated future capacity requirements.	5	
2.8.2	Technology infrastructure performance and capacity are sufficient	FRPIs should define performance and capacity requirements with thresholds on infrastructure utilization. These requirements should be continuously monitored against defined thresholds to ensure technology performance and capacity support current and future business needs.	Functional	Intersects With	Capacity Planning	CAP-03	Mechanisms exist to conduct capacity planning so that necessary capacity for information processing, telecommunications and environmental support will exist during contingency operations.	5	
2.8.2	Technology infrastructure performance and capacity are sufficient	FRFis should define performance and capacity requirements with thresholds on infrastructure utilization. These requirements should be continuously monitored against defined thresholds to ensure technology performance and capacity support current and future business needs.	Functional	Intersects With	Performance Monitoring	CAP-04	Automated mechanisms exist to centrally-monitor and alert on the operating state and health status of critical Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	5	
2.9	Disaster recovery	Principle 12: FRFIs should establish and maintain an Enterprise Disaster Recovery Program (EDRP) to support its ability to deliver technology services through disruption and operate within its risk tolerance.	Functional	Subset Of	Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)	BCD-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure resilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/ORI) advabooks).	10	
2.9	Disaster recovery	Principle 12: FRFIs should establish and maintain an Enterprise Disaster Recovery Program (EDRP) to support its ability to deliver technology services through disruption and operate within its risk tolerance.	Functional	Intersects With	Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)	BCD-01.4	Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).	5	
2.9.1	Disaster recovery program is established	FRF1a should develop, implement and maintain an ERDP that sets out their approach to recovering technology services during a disruption. FRF1a should align the disaster recovery program with its business continuity management program. The EDRP should establish: Accountability and responsibility for the availability and recovery of technology services, including recovery actions; A process for identifying and analyzing technology services and key dependencies required to operate within the FRF1 risk tolerance; Plana, procedures or recover technology services to	Functional	Subset Of	Business Continuity Management System (BCMS)	BCD-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of contingency planning controls to help ensure regilient Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAS) (e.g., Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) or Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery (BC/DR) playbooks).	10	
		an acceptable level, within an acceptable timeframe, as defined and prioritized by the FRFI; and, A policy or standard with controls for data back-up and recovery processes, requirements for data storage and periodic testing.							
		FRFis should develop, implement and maintain an ERDP that sets out their approach to recovering technology services during a disruption. FRFis should align the disaster recovery program with its business continuity management program. The EDRP should establish:					Mechanisms exist to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).		
2.9.1	Disaster recovery program is established	Accountability and responsibility for the availability and recovery of technology services, including recovery actions; A process for identifying and analyzing technology services and key dependencies required to operate within the Fifs* risk tolerance; Plans, procedures and/or capabilities to recover technology services to an acceptable level, within an acceptable timestrane, as defined and prioritized by the Fifs; and, A policy or standard with controls for data back-up and recovery processes, requirements for data storage and periodic testing.	Functional	Intersects With	Recovery Time / Point Objectives (RTO / RPO)	BCD-01.4		5	
		FRFIs should develop, implement and maintain an ERDP that sets out their approach to recovering technology services during a disruption. FRFIs should align the disaster recovery program with its business continuity management program. The EDRP should establish: Accountability and responsibility for the availability and recovery of					Mechanisms exist to define specific criteris that must be met to initiate Business Continuty Disaster Recover (BCDR) plans that facilitate business continuity operations capable of meeting applicable Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).		
2.9.1	Disaster recovery program is established	technology are its pictuality for the availations, and incovery of technology are vices, including recovery actions; A process for identifying and analyzing technology services and key dependencies required to operate within the FRFs risk toterance; Plans, procedures and/or capabilities to recover technology services to an acceptable term, within an acceptable term of the acceptable term of the process of	Functional	Intersects With	Recovery Operations Criteria	BCD-01.5		5	
		FRFis should develop, implement and maintain an ERDP that sets out their approach to recovering technology services during a disruption. FRFis should align the disaster recovery program with its business continuity management program. The EDRP should establish:					Mechanisms exist to create recurring backups of data, software and/or system images, as well as verify the integrity of these backups, to ensure the availability of the data to satisfy Recovery Time Objectives (RTOs) and Recovery Point Objectives (RPOs).		
2.9.1	Disaster recovery program is established	Accountability and responsibility for the sustability and recovery of technology services, including recovery actions. A process for identifying and analyting technology services and key dependencies required to operate within the FFRF risk tote ance; Plans, procedures and/or capabilities or recover technology services to an acceptable level, within an acceptable timeframe, as defined and prioritized by the FFRF, and, A policy or standard with controls for data back-up and recovery processes, requirements for data storage and periodic testing.	Functional	Intersects With	Data Backups	BCD-11		5	
		FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP, such as:					Mechanisms exist to facilitate an IT Asset Management (ITAM) program to implement and manage asset management controls.		
2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, considered and considered and considered and considered and continuous data centres, backup sites, service provider locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical technology assets and locations.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset Governance	AST-01		5	
		Principle 13: FRFIs should perform scenario testing on disaster recovery capabilities to confirm its technology services operate as expected through disruption.							
2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP, such as: Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, Location of technology asset centres, backup sites, service provider locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical technology serva and locations.	Functional	Intersects With	Asset-Service Dependencies	AST-01.1	Mechanisms exist to identify and assess the security of technology assets that support more than one critical business function.	5	
		Principle 13: FRFIs should perform scenario testing on disaster recovery capabilities to confirm its technology services operate as expected that the disaster. FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP,					Mechanisms exist to identify and document the critical Technology Assets,		
2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	such as: Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, Location of technology asset centres, backup sites, service provider locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical technology assets and locations.	Functional	Intersects With	Identify Critical Assets	BCD-02	Applications, Services and/or Data (TAASD) that support essential missions and business functions.	5	
		Principle 13: FRFIs should perform scenario testing on disaster recovery capabilities to confirm its technology services operate as expected though disruption.							
2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP, such as: Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, Location of technology asset centres, backup sites, service provider	Functional	Intersects With	Data Protection	DCH-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.	5	
		locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical technology assets and locations. Principle 13: FRFis should perform scenario testing on disaster recovery capabilities to confirm its technology services operate as expected through discussion.							



April	FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
1			FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP,	- Madonato	- Audionship				(optional)	
1	2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, Location of technology asset centres, backup sites, service provider locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical technology assets and locations. Principle 13: FRF1s should perform scenario testing on disaster recovery	Functional	Intersects With		DCH-01.2		5	
Part			through discruption FRFIs should manage key dependencies required to support the EDRP,							
Company	2.9.2	Key dependencies are managed	Information security requirements for data security and storage (e.g., encryption); and, Location of technology asset centres, backup sites, service provider Locations and proximity to primary data centres, and other critical	Functional	Intersects With		DCH-19	applications (physical and virtual), infrastructure, systems components and/or	5	
March Property P			capabilities to confirm its technology services operate as expected To promote learning, continuous improvement and technology resilience, FRFIs should regularly validate and report on their disaster recovery strategies, plans and/or capabilities against severe but plausible scenarios. These scenarios should be forward-tooking and							
Production of the Control of Co	2.9.3		Material changes to business objectives or technologies; Stutiations that can lead to prioringed outage; and, Previous incident history and known technology complexities or weaknesses. FRFIs' disaster recovery acenarios should test: The FRFI's backup and recovery capabilities and processes to validate realizincy strategies, plans and actions, and confirm the organization's ability to meet pre-defined requirements; and,	Functional	Intersects With		BCD-04		5	
3 Option and State			and downstream dependencies, including both on- and off-premises							
Some state of the control of the con	3	Cyber security	confidentiality, integrity and availability of FRFIs' technology assets.	Functional	Subset Of	protection Governance	GOV-01	protection governance controls.	10	
2 Cyte mouth 2 Operation 3 Ope	3	Cyber security		Functional	Intersects With	and data protection	GOV-02		5	
2 Color country Controllers (See Apply Controllers) (Apply Control	3	Cyber security		Functional	Intersects With	Operations Security	OPS-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of operational security controls.	5	
Security of services and security of services and security of security and security of security and security of security and security of s	3	.,,	confidentiality, integrity and availability of FRFIs' technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With		OPS-01.1	(SOP), or similar documentation, to enable the proper execution of day-to-day / assigned tasks.	5	
Secretary of control of the control	3.0	availability of technology assets	from external and insider cyber security threats, events and incidents to maintain the confidentiality, integrity and availability of its technology assets.	Functional	Subset Of		THR-01	organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.	10	
Second Continues of the Continues of t	3.0	availability of technology assets	from external and insider cyber security threats, events and incidents to maintain the confidentiality, integrity and availability of its technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With		THR-03	threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.	5	
and additionally transcring uses in institutional to inst	3.0	availability of technology assets	from external and insider cyber security threats, events and incidents to maintain the confidentiality, integrity and availability of its technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Insider Threat Program	THR-04	discipline insider threat incident handling team.	5	
and better the control of the contro	3.0	availability of technology assets	from external and insider cyber security threats, events and incidents to	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Hunting	THR-07	Compromise (IoC) to detect, track and disrupt threats that evade existing security	3	
services and trained to be beliefly and search register control of the search program of	3.0	availability of technology assets	from external and insider cyber security threats, events and incidents to maintain the confidentiality, integrity and availability of its technology	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Catalog	THR-09		5	
Security processes and tools to licely and assessing part access on the control local processes of the control in order from a factor. Processes of the control is exploited by external ordinates are support of the control in	3.1	Identify	processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for	Functional	Intersects With		IRO-03	signs of potential cybersecurity events.	5	
December	3.1	Identify	processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for weaknesses that could be exploited by external and insider threat actors.	Functional	Subset Of		THR-01	organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.	10	
processes and could be applicable by management and consider the foreignence People weaknesses that could be applicable by complete and management and smaller threat actions. Control of the Pitts should maintain arranged in products, capabilities, processes and should be applicable to the policy and seasons, processes, and so took to collegify and seasons, pitch sound and smaller threat actions.	3.1	Identify	processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for	Functional	Intersects With		THR-02		5	
Security risks are identified Security risks are identifie	3.1	Identify	processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for	Functional	Intersects With		THR-03	threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures	5	
Committed of the control of the co	3.1	-	processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for weaknesses that could be exploited by external and insider threat actors.	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Analysis	THR-10	and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.	5	
threat assessments to evaluate freats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cycles exacutly threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover control as different layers of defence. 3.1.1 Security risks are identified.	3.1		processes and tools to identify and assess cyber security for weaknesses that could be exploited by external and insider threat actors.	Functional	Intersects With	Management Program	VPM-01	management controls.	5	
threat sasesaments to evaluate threats and sases security risk. This couldes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at afferent layers of defence. Fifths should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat sasesaments or evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at offerent layers of defence. 2.1.1 Security risks are identified Fifths should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments in coverage controls at offerent layers of defence. Fifth should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at offerent layers of defence. Fifth should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments in evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat art risk and information and cyber security threat art risk are identified. Security risks are identified Fifth should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. Fifth should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at afferent layers of defence. Fifth should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at affe	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence.	Functional	Intersects With		RSK-01	tactical risk management controls.	5	
FRIS about identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers of defence. 3.1.1 Security risks are identified FRIS about identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers or defence. FRIS about identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers and threat includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers and threat includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers and threat includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to over controls at offerent layers of defence. FRIS about identify current or emerging cyber threat proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at offerent layers of defence. FRIS about identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at afferent layers of defence. FRIS about identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessmen	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Identification	RSK-03	тооминать смаь и менну ани измененя няха, росп инегня япо ехегля.	5	
threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security present and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs about directly current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments. Processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs about directly current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments. Processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs about directly current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments. Processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs about directly current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs about directly current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIs a facility risks are identified. FRIS a reliable to the proper security risk and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIS a reliable to the proper security risk and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRIS Assessment and threat assessment and threat and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security risk and tools to cover controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat and tax assessments. Processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat controls at different layers of threat proactively using threat proactively using threat proactively using threat proactivel	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	FRFIs should identify current or emerging other threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Catalog	RSK-03.1	associated with the organization's business operations and technologies in use.	5	
FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using the sases sentent processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. 3.1.1 Security risks are identified 3.1.1 Security risks are identified FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat assessments and seases security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments. Processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of the security risks are identified. FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat and its proposed and tools to cover controls at different layers of the system and security architecture, selection of security solutions, monitoring. FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using threat threating exposed in the system and ascent as a security solutions, monitoring. Machanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks. FRFIs should identify current or emerging opter threats proactively using the facilitates monitoring and reporting of risks. Subset Of Threat Intelligence Feeds threat to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross-organization information and opening capability that can influence the development of the system and ascent years and security solutions, monitoring. Machanisms exist to maintain a risk register that facilitates monitoring and risks register that facilitates monitoring of risks.	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Assessment	RSK-04	likelihood and magnitude of harm, from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification or destruction of the organization's Technology Assets,	5	
threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cycle sourcity threat and risk assessments; processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of different. Threat intelligence Feeds Program Threat intelligence Feeds Threat or intelligence Feeds Threat intelligence Feeds Thre	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	FRFIs should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence.	Functional	Intersects With	Risk Register	RSK-04.1	of risks.	5	
throat appropriate to unfrust throats and appear appropriate for unfrust throats and appear appropriate to unfrust the improved appropriate the improved appropriate to unfrust the improved approximate the improved appr	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence.	Functional	Subset Of		THR-01	organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.	10	
3.1.1 Security risks are identified includes implementing information and cycles accurity risk. This includes implementing information and cycles accurity risk and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence. Threat assessments to evaluate the trust and assess security risk. This interest intelligence Feeds Threat subject to the control of the contr	3.1.1	Security risks are identified	threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers	Functional	Intersects With		THR-03	threats by leveraging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures	5	

Canada OSFI-B13



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
3.1.1	Security risks are identified	FRFIs should identify current or emerging cyber threats proactively using threat assessments to evaluate threats and assess security risk. This includes implementing information and cyber security threat and risk assessments, processes, and tools to cover controls at different layers of defence.	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Analysis	THR-10	Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.	(optional)	
3.1.2	Intelligence-ted threat assessment and testing is conducted	of definion. FiFRs should adopt a risk-based approach to threat assessment and teating. FiFRs should set derified triggers, and minimum frequencies, for intelligencies defined triggers, and minimum frequencies, for intelligencies defined triggers, and minimum frequencies, to distribution of the state of the state of the control of the state of the control of the state of the control of the state of the s	Functional	Equal	Threat Analysis	THR-10	Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impacts) and likelihoodily of applicable internal and external threats.	10	
3.1.2	Intelligence-led threat assessment and testing is conducted	FRFIs should adopt a risk-based approach to threat assessment and testing. FRFIs should set defined triggers, and minimum frequencies, for intelligence-ted finds assessments to less tycler security processes and controls. FRFIs should also regularly perform tests and exercises, to destify valenceables or corrior pages in its tycler security programs (e.g., perestation testing and red teaming using an intelligence-ted approach. The soops and potential impacts of such testing broad be clearly defined by the FRFI with effective risk migration controls applications of the support of the control of the support of the s	Functional	Intersects With	Vulnerability Scanning	VPM-06	Mechaniams exist to detect vulnerabilities and configuration errors by routine whereability scanning of systems and applications.	2	
3.1.2	Intelligence-led threat assessment and testing is conducted	FRFs should adopt a risk-based approach to threat assessment and stating. FRFs should adopt a felf-her tigger, and minimum frequencies, for intelligence-led threat assessments to test cyber security processes and controls. FRFs should also registary profrom tests and seccious, to identify what reabilities or control gaps in its cyber security programs (e.g., penetration testing and del teaming using an intelligence-led approach. The scope and potential impacts of such testing should be clearly defined by the FRFI with effective risk miligation controls applied throughout the assessment to manage any associated inherent risks.	Functional	Intersects With	Penetration Testing	VPM-07	Machanisms exist to conduct penetration testing on Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	2	
3.1.3	Vulnerabilities are identified, assessed and ranked	FRFis should establish processes to conduct regular vulnerability assessments of its technology assets, including but not limited to asserved reviews, systems and applications. Processes should articulate the frequency with which vulnerability scans and assessments are conducted. FRFis should assess and rank relevant tyber vulnerabilities and threats according to the sevenity of the threat and risk exposure to technology assets using a standard risk measurement methodology. In doing so, FRFis should consider the potential cumulative impact of vulnerabilities; prespective of risk level, that could present a high-risk exposure when combined.	Functional	Intersects With	Vulnerability Ranking	VPM-03	Mechanisms exist to identify and assign a risk ranking to newly discovered security valine rabilities using reputable outside sources for security vulnerability information.	5	
3.1.3	Vulnerabilities are identified, assessed and ranked	FRFs should establish processes to conduct regular vulnerability assessments of its technology assess, including but not limited to network devices, systems and applications. Processes should articulate the frequency with which vulnerability scans and assessments are conducted. FRFs bould assess and rark relevant tyber vulnerabilities and threats according to the severity of the threat and risk exposure to technology assess using a standard risk measurement methodology. In doing so, FRFs should consider the potential cumulative impact of vulnerabilities; prespective of risk level, that could present a high-risk exposure when combined.	Functional	Intersects With	Vulnerability Scanning	VPM-06	Mechanisms exist to detect vulnerabilities and configuration errors by routine vulnerability scanning of systems and applications.	5	
3.1.4	Data are identified, classified and protected	FRFis should ensure that adequate controls are in place to identify, classify and protest structured and unstructured data based on their confidentiality issuffication. FRFis should implement processes to perform periodic discovery scans to identify changes and deviations from established standards and controls to protect data from unsultorized access.	Functional	Subset Of	Data Protection	DCH-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of data protection controls.	10	
3.1.4	Data are identified, classified and protected	FRFIs should ensure that adequate controls are in place to identify, classify and protect structured and unstructured data based on their confidentiality classification. FRFIs should implement processes to perform periodic discovery scans to identify changes and deviations from established standards and controls to protect data from unsuthorized access.	Functional	Intersects With	Sensitive / Regulated Data Protection	DCH-01.2	Mechanisms exist to protect sensitive/regulated data wherever it is stored.	5	
3.1.4	Data are identified, classified and protected	FRFIs should ensure that adequate controls are in place to identify, classify and protect structured and unstructured data based on their confidentiality classification. FRFIs should implement processes to perform periodic discovery scans to identify changes and deviations from established standards and controls to protect data from unsulthribred accesses.	Functional	Intersects With	Data & Asset Classification	DCH-02	Mechanisms exist to ensure data and assets are categorized in accordance with applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual requirements.	5	
3.1.4	Data are identified, classified and protected	FRFis should ensure that adequate controls are in place to identify, classify and protest structured and unstructured data based on their confidentiality issufficiation. FRFis hould implement processes to perform periodic discovery scans to identify changes and deviations from established standards and controls to protect data from unsulturitized accesses.	Functional	Intersects With	Sensitive Data Inventories	DCH-06.2	Mechanisms exist to maintain inventory logs of all sensitive media and conduct sensitive media inventories at least annually.	5	
3.1.4	Data are identified, classified and protected	FRFis should ensure that adequate controls are in place to identify, classify and protest structured and unstructured data based on their confidentiality classification. FRFis should implement processes to perform periodic discovery scans to identify changes and deviations from established standards and controls to protect data from insultativities discovery.	Functional	Intersects With	Geographic Location of Data	DCH-19	Mechanisme exist to inventory, document and maintain data flows for data that is resident (permanently or temporarily) within a service's gargaphically distributed applications (physical and virtual), infrastructure, systems components and/or shared with other third-parties.	5	
3.1.5	Continuous situational awareness and information sharing are maintained	FRFs should maintain continuous situational awareness of the external other threat Inadeque and its threat environment as it applies to its technology assets. This could include participating in industry threat intelligence and information sharing forums and subscribing to timely and reputable threat information source. Where feasible, FRFs are encouraged to provide timely exchange of threat intelligence to facilitate prevention of cyber stacks, thereby contributing to its own cyber resilience and that of the broader financial sector.	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Intelligence Feeds Feeds	THR-03	Mechanisms exist to maintain situational awareness of vulnerabilities and evolving threats by levenaging the knowledge of attacker tactics, techniques and procedures to facilitate the implementation of preventative and compensating controls.	5	
3.1.6	Threat modelling and hunting are conducted	Where reasible, FRFs should maintain cyber threat models to identify cyber security threats directly facing its technology assets and services. Threats should be assessed regularly to enhance the cyber security program, capabilities and controls required to mitigate current and emerging threats. FRFs should use meanual techniques to proactively identify and isolate threats which may not be detected by automated	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Modeling	TDA-06.2	Mechanisms exist to perform threat modelling and other secure design techniques, to ensure that threats to software and solutions are identified and accounted for.	5	
3.1.6	Threat modelling and hunting are conducted	Indicate — Mean huntinal. Where feasible, First should maintain cyber threat models to identify cyber security threats directly facing its technology assets and services. Threats should be assessed regularly on enhance the cyber security program, capabilities and controls required to mitigate current and emerging threats. First is should use manual techniques to proceruley identify and isolate threats which may not be detected by automated tools (e.e. threat huntina).	Functional	Subset Of	Threat Intelligence Feeds Program	THR-01	Mechanisms exist to implement a threat intelligence program that includes a cross- organization information-sharing capability that can influence the development of the system and security architectures, selection of security solutions, monitoring, threat hunting, response and recovery activities.	10	
3.1.6	Threat modelling and hunting are conducted	Note in a "vinea numer, FRFs ahould maintain cyber threat models to identify; cyber security threats directly facing its technology assets and services. Threats should be assessed regularly on enhance the cyber security program, capabilities and controls required to mitigate current and emerging threats. FRFs should use manual techniques to proceruley identify and isolate threats which may not be detected by automated force for enhanced.	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Catalog	THR-09	Mechanisms exist to develop and keep current a catalog of applicable internal and external threats to the organization, both natural and manmade.	5	
3.1.6	Threat modelling and hunting are conducted	Where Reasible, FRFIs should maintain cyber threat models to identify cyber security threats directly facing its technology assets and services. Threats should be assessed regularly to enhance the cyber security program, capabilities and controls required to mitigate current and emerging threats. FIFIs should use manual techniques to proactively identify and isolate threats which may not be detected by automated	Functional	Intersects With	Threat Analysis	THR-10	Mechanisms exist to identify, assess, prioritize and document the potential impact(s) and likelihood(s) of applicable internal and external threats.	5	
3.1.7	Cyber awareness is promoted and tested	Isolate. — Invash hustinal. Fifts about enable and encourage its employees, customers and third parties to report suspicious cyber activity, recognizing the role that each raplay in preventing oper attacks. Fifts should create awareness of cyber attack scenarios directly targeting employees, customers and reterent third parties. In addition, the Fift should create with report reterent third parties. In addition, the Fift should regularly test its employees to assess their awareness of cyber threats and the effectiveness of their reporting processes and tools.	Functional	Subset Of	Cybersecurity and data protection-Minded Workforce	SAT-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of security workforce development and awareness controls.	10	



Configure Technolog Assets, Applications nd/or Services (TAAS) High-Risk Areas



Enhanced controls and functionality are applied to protect critical and external facing technology assets

signing application controls to contain and limit the impact of a cybe

ttack; mplementing, monitoring and reviewing appropriate security standar onliguration baselines and security hardening requirements; and epiploying additional layers of security controls, as appropriate, to referred against cyber attacks (e.g., volumetric, low/slow network and pplication business logic attacks).

FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
324	Cyber security controls are layered	FRFIs should implement and maintain multiple layers of cyber security controls and defend against cyber security threats at every stage of the attack life cycle (e.g., from reconnissions and initial access to sexcuting on objectives). FRFIs should also ensure resilience against courset and emerging cyber threats by maintaining defence controls and tools. This includes ensuring continuous operational effectiveness of controls by minimizing false positives. Where feasible, FRFIs should should: Protect networks, including external-facing services, from threats by minimizing its attack surface. Define authorized togical network zones and apply controls to segregate and limit, or block access and traffic to and from network zones; Leverage a combination of allowidery list, including file integrity checks (e.g., file health/signature) and indicators of compromise, in addition to advance to the haviour-based protection capabilities that are continuously updated: and Agyly defence controls and capabilities for intrusion prevention and detection on its network perimeter in addition to controls for data loss, malware and viruses.	Functional	Intersects With	Layered Network Defenses	NET-02	Mechanisms exist to implement security functions as a layered structure that minimize interactions between layers of the design and avoids any dependence by lower layers on the functionality or correctness of higher layers.	(optional)	
324	Cyber security controls are tayered	FRFIs should implement and maintain multiple layers of cyber security controls and defend against cyber security threats at every stage of the stack life cycle (e.g., from reconnaissance and initial access to seaccing on objective). FRFIs should also ensure resilience against current and emerging cyber threats by maintaining defence controls and colos. This includes ensuring continuous operational effectiveness of controls by minimizing false positives. Where feasible, FRFIs should: Protect networks, including external-facing services, from threats by minimizing false positives. Where feasible, FRFIs should: Protect networks, including external-facing services, from threats by minimizing fast access and traffic to and from network zones. Leverage a combination of allow deep lists, including file integrity checks (e.g., file sharingisature) and inclication of comprometes, in addition to advance behaviour-based protection capabilities that are continuously updated; and Apply defence controls and capabilities for intrusion prevention and detection on an extensive primeter in addition to controls for data loss, malvage and viruses.	Functional	Subset Of	Defense-in-Depth (DID) Architecture	SEA-03	Mechanisms exist to implement security functions as a layered structure minimizing interactions between layers of the degin and avoiding any dependence by lower layers on the functionality or correctness of higher layers.	10	
3.2.5	Data protection and loss prevention security controls are implemented	Starting with clear information classification of its data, FRFIs should design and implement risk-based controls for the protection of its data throughout its life cycle. This includes data loss prevention capabilities and controls for data at rest, data in transit and data in use.	Functional	Intersects With	Network Segmentation (macrosegementation)	NET-06	Mechanisms exist to ensure network architecture utilizes network segmentation to isolate Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) to protect from other network resources.	3	
3.2.5	Data protection and loss prevention security controls are implemented	Starting with clear information classification of its data, FRFIs should design and implement risk-based controls for the protection of its data throughout its life cycle. This includes data loss prevention capabilities and controls for data at rest, data in transit and data in use.	Functional	Intersects With	Data Loss Prevention (DLP)	NET-17	Automated mechanisms exist to implement Data Loss Prevention (DLP) to protect sensitive information as it is stored, transmitted and processed.	8	
32.6	Security vulnerabilities are remediated	To ensure security-uninerabilities are well managed, PRFIs should: Maintain capabilities to ensure timely risk-based patching of uninerabilities, mendor software and internal applications, that considers the severity of the threat and vulnerability of the exposed systems; Apply patches at the earliest opportunity, commensurate with risk and in accordance with established timelines; implement compensating controls as needed to sufficiently militigate stake when remediation options are not available (e.g., "zero-day" stake via; and Regularly monitor and report on patching status and vulnerability remediation against defined timelines, including any backlog and	Functional	Intersects With	Compensating Countermeasures	RSK-06.2	Mechanisms exist to identify and implement compensating countermeasures to reduce risk and exposure to threats.	5	
3.2.6	Security vulnerabilities are remediated	To ensure security uninerabilities are well managed, FRFIs should: Maintain capabilities to ensure timely risk-based patching of vulnerabilities, in vendor software and internal applications, that considers the severity of the threat and vulnerability of the exposed systems; Apply patches at the earliest opportunity, commensurate with risk and in accordance with established timelines; Interplement compressing controls as needed to sufficiently mitigate risks when remediation options are not available (e.g., "zero-day" attacks); and Regularly monitor and report on patching status and vulnerability remediation against defined timelines, including any backog and	Functional	Intersects With	Continuous Vuinerability Remediation Activities	VPM-04	Mechanisms exist to address new threats and vulnerabilities on an ongoing basis and ensure assets are protected against known attacks.	5	
3.26	Security vulnerabilities are remediated	To ensure security vulnerabilities are well managed, FRFis should: Maintain capabilities to ensure timely risk-based patching of underabilities, in wonder osfowmer and internal applications, that considers the severity of the threat and vulnerability of the exposed systems. Apply patches at the earliest opportunity, commensurate with risk and in accordance with established timelines; implement compensating controls as needed to sufficiently militigate traiss when remediation options are not available (e.g., "zero-day" statacks; and application options are not available (e.g., "zero-day" statacks; and proportion patching status and vulnerability remediation against defined timelines, including any backlog and	Functional	Intersects With	Software & Firmware Patching	VPM-05	Mechanisms exist to conduct software patching for all deployed Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS), including firmware.	5	
327	Identify and access management controls are implemented	FRFIs should implement risk-based identity and access controls, including Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) and privilegad access management. Where feasible, FRFIs should consider: Enforcing the principles of least privilege, conducting regular attestation of access and maintaining strong complex passwords to authenticate employee, customer and third-party access to technology assets; implementing MFA access external-incing channels and privileged accounts (e.g., customers, employees, and third parties); Managing privileged account receivalts using a secure varult: Logging and mentioning account activity as part of continuous security mentioning. The properties of the privileged accounts are desired as securely suffered and monitoring account activity as part of continuous security mentioning. The properties of the privileged accounts are desired as securely suffered and monitoring to detect unauthorized usage; and Performing appropriate background check ylone's feasible on persons granted access to the FRFI's systems or data, commensurate with the criticality and classification of the technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Identity & Access Management (IAM)	IAC-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of identification and access management controls.	5	
327	Identify and access management control are implemented	FRFIs should implement risk-based identity and access controls, including Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) and privilegad access management. Where feasible, FRFIs should consider: Enforcing the principles of least privilege, conducting regular attestation of access and mantalining strong complex passwords to authenticate employee, outstomer and third-party access to technology assets; intelligenting the Access external-incorp channels and privileged accounts (e.g., customers, employees, and third parties); Managing privileged account reselvations using a secure would; account reselvation as the access and the access access access and the access access access access a to the FRFI's systems or data, commensurate with the criticality and classification of the technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA)	IAC-06	Automated mechanisms exist to enforce Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) for: (1) Remote network access; (2) Third-party Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAAS); and/or (3) Ron-cnosile access to critical TAAS that store, transmit and/or process sensitive/regulated data.	5	



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
		FRFIs should implement risk-based identity and access controls, including Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) and privileged access	- Name Hoto				Mechanisms exist to restrict and control privileged access rights for users and Technology Assets. Applications and/or Services (TAAS).	(optional)	
3.27	Identity and access management controls are implemented	management. Where feasible, FFRIs should consider: Enforcing the principles of least privilege, conducting regular stretation of access and maintaining strong complex peasaworts to authenticate employee, customer and third-party access to technology assets; transpersantly affects across external-fraing channels and privileged accounts; (e.g., customers, employees, and third parties); Managing privileged account rectified using a secure vault; Logging and monitoring account activity as part of continuous security monitoring. Ensuring system and service accounts are securely authenticated, managed and monitoring to detect unauthorized usage; and Performing appropriate background checks (where feasible) on persons garried access to the FFR's systems or data, commensurate with the criticality and classification of the technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Privileged Account Management (PAM)	IAC-16	vecuniously research, representing and of services (Living).	5	
		FRFIs should implement risk-based identity and access controls, including Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) and privileged access					Mechanisms exist to utilize the concept of least privilege, allowing only authorized access to processes necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with		
3.2.7	Identity and access management controls are implemented	management. Where feasible, FRFIs should consider: Enforcing the principles of least privilege, conducting regular attestation of access and maintaining strong complex peaswords to authenticate employee, customer and third-party access to technology assets; intellegential programments of the Across external-frieng channels and privileged accounts (e.g. customers, employees, and third parties); Managing privileged account activity as part of continuous security monitoring. Ensuring system and service accounts are securely authenticated, managed and monitoring account activity as part of continuous security managed and monitoring accounts are securely authenticated, managed and monitoring to detect unauthorized usage; and Performing appropriate background checks (where feasible) on persons granted access to the FFFI's systems or data, commensurate with the criticality and classification of the technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Least Privilege	IAC-21	organizational business functions.	5	
327	Identity and access management controls are implemented	FiFIrs a hould implement risk-based identity and access controls, including Multi-Factor Authentication (MPA) and privileged access management. Where feasible, FiFIs should consider: Enforcing the principles of least privilege, conducting regular attestation of access and maintaining strong complex passwords to authenticate employee, customer and third-party access to technology assets; implementing MFA across external-facing channels and privileged accounts (e.g., customers, employees, and third parties); Managing privileged account receival is using a secure vault. Logging and monitoring account activity as part of continuous security monitoring. Ensuring system and service accounts are securely authenticated, managed and monitoring account activity as part of continuous security monitoring appropriate background checks (where feasible) on persons granted access to the FiFI's systems or data, commensurable with the criticality and classification of the technology assets.	Functional	Intersects With	Content of Event Logs	MON-03	Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAS) to produce event logs that contain sufficient information to, et a minimum: (1) Eatabish what type of event occurred; (2) When (etae and time) the event occurred; (3) When the event occurred; (4) The source of the event; (5) The outcome (success or failure) of the event; and (6) The identity of any user/aubject associated with the event.	3	
3.2.8	Security configuration baselines are enforced and deviations are managed	FRFIs should implement approved, risk-based security configuration baselines for technology assets and security defence tools, including those provided by third parties. Where possible, security configuration baselines for different defence layers should disable settings and access by default. FRFIs should define and implement processes to manage configuration deviations.	Functional	Subset Of	Configuration Management Program	CFG-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of configuration management controls.	10	
3.2.8	Security configuration baselines are enforced and deviations are managed	FRFIs should implement approved, risk-based security configuration baselines for technology assets and security defence tools, including those provided by third parties. Where possible, security configuration baselines for different defence layers should disable settings and access by default. FRFIs should define and implement processes to manage configuration deviations.	Functional	Intersects With	System Hardening Through Baseline Configurations	CFG-02	Mechanisms exist to develop, occurrent and maintain secure baseline configurations for Technology Assex, Applications and/or Services (TAAS) that are consistent with industry-accepted system hardening standards.	5	
3.2.8	Security configuration baselines are enforced and deviations are managed	FRFIs should implement approved, risk-based security configuration baselines for technology assets and security defence tools, including those provided by third parties. Where possible, security configuration baselines for different defence tayers should disable settings and access by default. FRFIs should define and implement processes to manage configuration deviations	Functional	Intersects With	Least Functionality	CFG-03	Mechanisms exist to configure systems to provide only essential capabilities by specifically prohibiting or restricting the use of ports, protocols, and/or services.	5	
3.2.9	Application scanning and testing capabilities are employed	Where resable, static and/or dynamic scanning and testing capabilities should be used to ensure new, and/or changes to existing, systems and applications are assessed for vulnerabilities prior to release into the production environment. Security controls should also be implemented to maintain security when development and operations practices are combined through a continuous and automated development pipeline (see paragraph 2.4.2).	Functional	Subset Of	Cybersecurity and data protection Testing Throughout Development	TDA-09	Mechanisms exist to require system developera/integrators consult with optersecurity and data profection personnel to: (1) Create and implement a Security Testing and Evaluation (ST&E) plan, or similar capability; (2) Implement a verifiable flaw remediation process to correct weaknesses and deficiencies identified during the security testing and evaluation process; and (3) Document the results of the security testing/evaluation and flaw remediation processes.	10	
3.2.9	Application scanning and testing capabilities are employed	Where feasible, static and/or dynamic scanning and testing capabilities should be used to senser new, and/or changes to existing, systems and applications are assessed for vulnerabilities prior to release into the production environment. Security controls should also be implemented to maintain security when development and operations practices are combined through a continuous and automated development pipeline (see paragraph 2.4.2).	Functional	Intersects With	Static Code Analysis	TDA-09.2	Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAS) to employ static code analysis solos to identify and remediate common flaws and document the results of the analysis.	5	
3.2.9	Application scanning and testing capabilities are employed	Where feasible, static and/or dynamic scanning and testing capabilities should be used to resure new, and/or changes to existing systems and applications are assessed for vulnerabilities prior to release into the production environment. Security controls should also be implemented to maintain security when development and operations practices are combined through a continuous and automated development pipeline (see paragraph 2.4.2).	Functional	Intersects With	Dynamic Code Analysis	TDA-09.3	Mechanisms exist to require the developers of Technology Assets, Applications and/of-Services (TAS) to employ openian code analysis costs to identify and remediate common flaws and document the results of the analysis.	5	
3.2.10	Physical access controls and processes are applied	FRFIs should define and implement physical access management controls and processes to protect network infrastructure and other technology assets from unauthorized access and environmental hazards.	Functional	Subset Of	Physical & Environmental Protections	PES-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the operation of physical and environmental protection controls.	10	
3.2.10	Physical access controls and processes are applied	FRFIs should define and implement physical access management controls and processes to protect network infrastructure and other technology assets from unauthorized access and environmental hazards.	Functional	Intersects With	Physical Access Control	PES-03	Physical access control mechanisms exist to enforce physical access authorizations for all physical access points (including designated entrylexit points) to facilities (excluding those areas within the facility officially designated as publicly accessible).	5	
3.3	Detect	Principle 16: FRFIs design, implement and maintain continuous security detection capabilities to enable monitoring, slerting and forensic investigations.	Functional	Subset Of	Continuous Monitoring	MON-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.	10	
3.3	Detect	Principle 16: FRFIs design, implement and maintain continuous security detection capabilities to enable monitoring, alerting and forensic investigations.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Response Operations	IRO-01	Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.	5	
3.3	Detect	Principle 16: FRFIs design, implement and maintain continuous security detection capabilities to enable monitoring, aterting and forensic investigations.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: [17] Preparation: [27] Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; [37] Analysis; [46] Containment; [50] Eradication; and	5	
3.3.1	Continuous, centralized security logging to support investigations	FFFs should ensure continuous security logging for technology assets and different layers of defence tools. Created tools for agregating, correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log access during eyer event investigation. For eary significant cycle threat or incident, the FFFF is forensis investigation should not be limited or clinication, the FFFF is forensis investigation should not be limited or declayed by disaggregated, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FFFs should implement minimum security log retention periods and maintain cycles excurity event logs for facilitate a thorough and unimpeded forensic investigation of cyber security events.	Functional	Subset Of	Continuous Monitoring	MON-01	dis Beroveer Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.	10	

Canada OSFI-B13



FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	STRM Rationale	STRM Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Secure Controls Framework (SCF) Control Description	Strength of Relationship	Notes (optional)
		FRFIs should ensure continuous security logging for technology assets and different layers of defence tools. Central tools for aggregating.	- Automate	- maddonship			Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security Incident Event Manager (SIEM), or similar automated tool, to support near real-time analysis and incident escalation.	(optional)	
3.3.1		correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log secured under the control of the control	Functional	Intersects With	Automated Tools for Real- Time Analysis	MON-01.2	Constitution to the suppose that is the string and are a medical constitution.	5	
3.3.1		FRFB a should ensure continuous accurity loggling for technology assets and different layers of defence tools. Central tools for aggregating, correlating and managing accurity event logs should enable timely log access during a cyter event investigation. For any significant cyber threat or incident, the FRFF's forensis investigation should not be limited or incident, the FRFF's forensis investigation should not be limited or designed by disaggregated, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FRFs should implement minimum security log retention periods and maintain cyber security event logs to facilitate a thorough and untimpdedd forensis investigation of cyber security events.	Functional	Intersects With	Security Event Monitoring	MON-01.8	Mechanisms exist to review event togs on an ongoing basis and escalate incidents in accordance with established timelines and procedures.	5	
3.3.1		FRFs should ensure continuous security logging for technology assets and different layers of defence tools. Central tools for agragating, correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log access during expert event investigation. For any significant cyber threat or incident, the FRFs for event investigation should not be limited or incident, the FRFs for the investigation should not be limited or delayed by disaggraded, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FRFs should implement minimum security log retention periods and maintain cyber security event logs to facilitate a throrogy and unimpeded forerain investigation of cyber security events.	Functional	Intersects With	Centralized Collection of Security Event Logs	MON-02	Mechanisms exist to utilize a Security incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to support the centralized collection of security-related event logs.	5	
3.3.1		FFFs should ensure continuous security logging for bethnology assets and different legan of defence tools. Central tools for aggraphing, correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log access during a cylore event investigation. For any significant cyber threat or incident, the FFFrs formatic investigation should not be limited or delayed by disaggraded, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FFFs should implement minimum security log retention periods and maintain cyber security event logs to facilities a through and unimpedid foreast investigation of cyber security events.	Functional	Intersects With	Correlate Monitoring Information	MON-02.1	Automated mechanisms exist to correlate both technical and non-schrical information from across the enterprise by a Security incident Event Manager (SIEM) or similar automated tool, to enhance organization-wide situational awareness.	5	
3.3.1		FRF1a should ensure continuous security logging for technology assets and different layers of defence tools. Central tools for aggrapting, correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log access during expert event investigation. For any significant, ciper threat or incident, the FRF1s forensis investigation should not be limited or delayed by disaggrapted, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FRF1s should implement minimum security log retention periods and maritaria cybes security event to be foliable as howcough and unimpeded forensic investigation of cyber security events.	Functional	Intersects With	Central Review & Analysis	MON-02.2	Automated mechanisms exist to centrally collect, review and analyze audit records from multiple sources.	5	
3.3.1		FRFIs should ensure continuous security logging for technology assets and different larges of defence tools. Cental tools for aggrapting, correlating and managing security event logs should enable timely log access during experie event investigation. For any significant cycler threat or incident, the FRFI's forensis investigation should not be limited or delayed by disaggraded, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FRFIs should implement minimum security log retention periods and ministria cycler security event logs to facilitate a thorough and unlimpeded forensic investigation of cyber security event logs.	Functional	Intersects With	System-Wide / Time- Correlated Audit Trail	MON-02.7	Automated mechanisms exist to compile audit records into an organization-wide audit trait that is time-correlated.	5	
3.3.1	Continuous, centralized security logging to support investigations	delayed by disaggregated, inaccessible or missing critical security event logs. FRFIs should implement minimum security log retention periods	Functional	Intersects With	Content of Event Logs	MON-03	Mechanisms exist to configure Technology Assets, Applications and/or Services (TAS) to produce event logs that contain sufficient information to, et a minimum: (I) Eatabilah what type of event occurred; (2) When (alta and time) the event occurred; (3) When the event occurred; (3) When the event occurred; (4) If he source of the event; (5) The outcome (success or failure) of the event; and	5	
3.3.2	Malicious and unauthorized activity is detected	FRFIs should maintain security information and event management capabilities to ename continuous detection and sterting for malaticius and unauthorized user and system activity. Where feasible, advanced behaviour-based detection and prevention methods should be used to detect user and entity behaviour anomalies, and emerging external and internal threats. The latest threat intelligence and indicators of compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring tracks.	Functional	Subset Of	Continuous Monitoring	MON-01	Mechanisms exist to facilitate the implementation of enterprise-wide monitoring controls.	10	
3.3.2	Malicious and unauthorized activity is detected	FRFIs should maintain security information and event management coapabilities to emure continuous detection and sterring for malicious and unauthorized user and system activity. Where feasible, advanced behaviour-based detection and prevention methods should be used to detect user and entity behaviour anomalies, and emerging external and internal threats. The latest threat intelligence and indicators of compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring	Functional	Intersects With	Intrusion Detection & Prevention Systems (IDS & IPS)	MON-01.1	Mechanisms exist to implement intrusion Detection / Prevention Systems (IDS: /IPS) technologies on critical systems, key network segments and network choke points.	5	
3.3.2	Maticious and unauthorized activity is detected	FRFs should maintain security information and event management capabilities to emisse continuous detection and aferting of malicious and unautifut/red user and system activity. Where feasible, advanced behaviour-based detection and prevention methods should be used to detect user and entity behaviour anomalies, and emerging enternal and internal threats. The least threat intelligence and indications of compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring tools.	Functional	Intersects With	Central Review & Analysis	MON-02.2	Automated mechanisms exist to centrally collect, review and analyze audit records from multiple sources.	5	
3.3.2	Maticious and unauthorized activity is detected	FRFIs should maintain security information and event management capabilities to emure continuous detection and sterring for malicious and unauthorized user and system activity. Where feasible, advanced behaviour-based detection and prevention methods should be used to detect user and entity behaviour anomalies, and emerging external and sternal threats. The latest threat intelligence and indicators of compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring looks.	Functional	Intersects With	Monitoring for Indicators of Compromise (IOC)	MON-11.3	Automated mechanisms exist to identify and alert on Indicators of Compromise (poC).	5	
3.3.2	Malicious and unauthorized activity is detected	FRFIs should maintain security information and event management capabilities to ensure continuous detection and sterting for malicious and unastriorized user and system activity. Where feasible, activanced behaviour-based detection and prevention methods should be used to detect user and entity behaviour anomalies, and emerging external and internal threats. The latest threat intelligence and indicators of compromise should be used to continuously enhance FRFI monitoring Incide	Functional	Intersects With	Anomalous Behavior	MON-16	Mechanisms exist to utilize User & Entity Behavior Analytics (UEBA) and/or User Activity Monitoria (LMM) solutions to detect and respond to anomalous behavior that could indicate account compromise or other mailcious activities.	5	
3.3.3	Cyber security alerts are triaged	FRFIs should define roles and responsibilities to allow for the triage of high-risk cyber security aterts to rapidly contain and mitigate significant cyber threat events before they result in a material security incident or an	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Nechanisms exist to cover: ()	10	
3.3.3	Cyber security alerts are triaged	high-risk cyber security alerts to rapidly contain and mitigate significant cyber threat events before they result in a material security incident or an	Functional	Intersects With	Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)	IRO-07	Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.	5	
3.4	Respond, recover and learn	operational disruption. Principle 17: FRFIs should respond to, contain, recover and learn from cyber security incidents impacting their technology assets, including	Functional	Equal	Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned	IRO-13	Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of	10	
3.4.1	Incident response capabilities are integrated and aligned	incidents oriented at third-centry croviders. Domain 2 sets out the foundational expectations for FRFIs' incident and problem management capabilities. FRFIs should ensure the alignment and integration between their cyber security, technology, crisis management and communication protocols. This should include capabilities to enable comprehensive and mitray securities and stakeholder coordination (internal and external) in response to a major characteristic and contractions of the contraction of the con	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Response Operations	IRO-01	Nuture incidents. Mechanisms exist to implement and govern processes and documentation to facilitate an organization-wide response capability for cybersecurity and data protection-related incidents.	10	
3.4.1	Incident response capabilities are integrated and aligned	Domain 2 meta option the foundational expectations for FRE's incident and problem menagement capabilities. FRE's should ensure the alignment and integration between their optier security, sechnology, crisis menagement and communication protocols. This should include capabilities to enable comprehensive and timely escalation and stakeholder coordination internal and externally in response to a major color security event or incident.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: () Preparation () Preparation () Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis: (4) Containment; (5) Endication; and	5	



			STRM	STRM			Secure Controls Framework (SCF)	Strength of	
FDE#	FDE Name	Focal Document Element (FDE) Description	Rationale	Relationship	SCF Control	SCF#	Control Description	Relationship (optional)	Notes (optional)
3.4.1	Incident response capabilities are integrated and aligned	Domain 2 sets out the foundational expectations for FRFis' incident and problem management capabilities. FRFis should ensure the alignment and integration between their cyber security, technology, and management and communication protocols. This should include capabilities to enable comprehensive and timely escalation and stakeholder coordination (internal and external) in response to a major obsersecutive wort or incident.	Functional	Intersects With	Coordination with Related Plans	IRO-06.1	Mechanisms exist to coordinate incident response testing with organizational elements responsible for related plans.	5	
3.4.1	Incident response capabilities are integrated and aligned	Domain Z sets out the foundational expectations for FRFs' incident and problem management capabilities. First should ensure the alignment and integration between their cyber security, technology, crisis management and communication protocols. This should include capabilities to enable comprehensive and timely securation and stakeholder coordination (internal and external) in response to a major capte security water in incident.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Stakeholder Reporting	IRO-10	Mechanisma exist to timely-report incidents to applicable: (1) internal stakeholder: (2) Affected clients & third-parties; and (3) Regulatory authorities.	5	
3.4.2	Cyber incident taxonomy is defined	FRFIs should clearly define and implement a cyber incident taxonomy. This taxonomy should include specific cyber and information security incident classification, such as severity, category, type and root cause. It should be designed to support the FRFI in responding to, managing and reporting on cyber security incidents.	Functional	Equal	Incident Classification & Prioritization	IRO-02.4	Mechanisms exist to identify classes of incidents and actions to take to ensure the continuation of organizational missions and business functions.	10	
3.4.3	Cyber security incident management process and tools are maintained	FRFIs should maintain a cyber security incident management process and playbooks to enable timely and effective management of cyber security incidents.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: ()) Preparation: (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Endication; and	10	
3.4.3	Cyber security incident management process and tools are maintained	FRFIs should maintain a cyber security incident management process and playbooks to enable timely and effective management of cyber security incidents.	Functional	Intersects With	Incident Response Plan (IRP)	IRO-04	Mechanisms exist to maintain and make available a current and viable Incident Response Plan (IRP) to all stakeholders.	5	
3.4.4	Timely response, containment and recovery capabilities are established	FRFIs should establish a cyber inclient response team with tools and capabilities available on a continuous basis to rapidly respond, contain and recover from cyber security events and incidents that could materially impact the FRFI's technology assets, customers and other stakeholders.	Functional	Subset Of	Incident Handling	IRO-02	Mechanisms exist to cover: (1) Preparation: (2) Automated event detection or manual incident report intake; (3) Analysis; (4) Containment; (5) Eradication; and	10	
3.4.4	Timely response, containment and recovery capabilities are established	FRFIs should establish a cyber incident response team with tools and capabilities available on a continuous basis to rapidly respond, contain and recover from cyber security events and incidents that could materially impact the FRFI's technology assets, customers and other stakeholders.	Functional	Intersects With	Integrated Security Incident Response Team (ISIRT)	IRO-07	Mechanisms exist to establish an integrated team of cybersecurity, IT and business function representatives that are capable of addressing cybersecurity and data protection incident response operations.	5	
3.4.5	cause analysis are conducted, as necessary	FRFIs should conduct a forensic investigation for incidents where technology assets may have been materially exposed. For high-severity incidents, the FRFI should conduct a detailed post-incident assessment of direct and indirect impacts (financial and/or non-financial), including a root cause analysis to identify remediation actions, address the root cause and respond to lessons learned. The root cause analysis should assess threats, weaknesses and vulnerabilities in its people, processes, technology and data.	Functional	Intersects With	Chain of Custody & Forensics	IRO-08	Mechanisms exist to perform digital forensics and maintain the integrity of the chain of custody, in accordance with applicable laws, regulations and industry-recognized secure practices.	5	
3.4.5	Forensic investigations and root cause analysis are conducted, as necessary	FRFIs should conduct a forensic investigation for incidents where technology assets may have been materially exposed. For flips-weerity incidents, the FRFI should conduct a detailed post-incident assessment of direct and indirect impacts financial and/or non-francials, including a root cause enaltysis to identify remediation actions, address the root cause and respond to lessons learned. The root cause analysis should assess threats, ventionsess and vulnerabilities in its people, processes, technology and data.	Functional	Intersects With	Root Cause Analysis (RCA) & Lessons Learned	IRO-13	Mechanisms exist to incorporate lessons learned from analyzing and resolving cybersecurity and data protection incidents to reduce the likelihood or impact of future incidents.	5	



17 of 17