

Cross Site Scripting

XSS

XSS means an attacker is able to get a victim to execute attacker-controlled Javascript in the origin of a thirdparty web page

In the web Javascript always comes via HTML

```
<script src="//example.org/script.js"></script>  
  <img src=x onerror=alert(1)>
```

we use that to exploit things

Common XSS

```
<h1>Hello World</h1>  
<p><?php echo $_GET['var1']; ?></p>
```

Usually we have an existing HTML document that dynamically includes data that we can control

But there's another way:

If we can convince the server to deliver a whole HTML document we also have XSS

File Upload XSS

How does a browser decide what's an HTML document?

The Content-Type header

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Dangerous

Safe (usually)

text/html

text/plain

text/xml

image/jpg

application/xml

application/octet-stream

bogus/contenttype

Let's look at an attack

XSS using quirky implementations of ACME http-01

September 4, 2018

ACME http-01 validation

*http://example.org/.well-known/acme-challenge
/TOKEN1*

Response: *TOKEN1.TOKEN2*

Some implementations reflect TOKEN1:

*http://example.org/.well-known/acme-challenge
/[anything]*

Response: *[anything].TOKEN2*

This looks like a classic reflected XSS, except...

this is not an HTML document

MIME that tries to figure out the content-type depending on the first bytes of the response. [...] For example would lead to content type text/html [...]

This does not sound good

There are probably more problems with that

Apache mod_mime_magic

It's a module that enables XSS attacks by introducing
MIME Sniffing on the server

Apache mod_mime_magic

This module determines the MIME type of files in the same way the Unix file(1) command works: it looks at the first few bytes of the file.

[Apache documentation](#)

mod_mime_magic parser

Parser code is based on an old fork of the "file" utility

Some 90s style C code is parsing files

Memory corruption?

Probably...

(the code is not testing friendly)

Apache with mod_mime_magic

- If file extension is in */etc/mime.types* use that for the *Content-Type* header
- Else try to guess it based on the file content

If we have...

- a web application that allows file uploads
- with an unusual file type not in `/etc/mime.types`

we have XSS, because we can upload HTML and `mod_mime_magic` will autodetect it and set the *Content-Type* accordingly

/etc/mime.types

| | |
|------------|----------------|
| text/html | htm html shtml |
| image/jpeg | jpe jpeg jpg |
| model/iges | iges igs |

/etc/mime.types

Not standardized at all, every operating system and every Linux distribution maintains its own variation

This *mod_mime_magic* is dangerous, probably we should just disable it

Good idea, as long as you're not using shared hosting

mod_mime_magic can't be disabled via *.htaccess* or
per virtual host, only for the whole Apache httpd
server

But if we disable it server-wide we're good, right?

Not so fast...

What happens if the web server can't identify the MIME type?

It just won't send a *Content-Type* header

Browsers also do MIME Sniffing

If you send a browser an HTML document without a
Content-Type header it will render it

Same attack works just as well without
mod_mime_magic

But there's a security header to save us:

X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff

The X-Content-Type-Options response HTTP header is a marker used by the server to indicate that the MIME types advertised in the Content-Type headers should not be changed and be followed. This allows to opt-out of MIME type sniffing, or, in other words, it is a way to say that the webmasters knew what they were doing.

[MDN / X-Content-Type-Options](#)

So we can set *X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff* and
we're safe from these attacks

Not so fast...

You have to read the fine print

*Note: nosniff only applies to "script" and "style" types.
Also applying nosniff to images turned out to be
incompatible with existing web sites.*

[MDN / X-Content-Type-Options](#)

X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff applies to script and style files, it explicitly doesn't apply to images, but it says nothing about direct navigation

***X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff* and direct navigation**

Chrome: Will display text

Safari: Will download file

Firefox and Edge: Will sniff the MIME type and render
HTML

In both Firefox and Edge HTML will be sniffed despite
X-Content-Type-Options:nosniff

Mozilla accepts that this is a bug and should be fixed in
Firefox, but it hasn't happened yet

Microsoft Edge

We have completed our investigation, and the behavior that you reported has minimal impact and therefore does not meet our bar for servicing at this time.

If a web application

- allows uploads of unusual file types not in `/etc/mime.types`
- And the web server has `mod_mime_magic`
- Or the web application is not setting *X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff*
- Or the browser is Firefox or Edge

we have XSS

Example: Wordpress

A user with an "Author" role can upload media files

```
$misc_exts      = array(  
    // Images.  
    'jpg', 'jpeg', 'png', 'gif',  
    // Video.  
    'mov', 'avi', 'mpg', '3gp', '3g2',  
    // "audio".  
    'midi', 'mid',  
    // Miscellaneous.  
    'pdf', 'doc', 'ppt', 'odt', 'pptx',  
    'docx', 'pps', 'ppsx', 'xls',  
    'xlsx', 'key',  
);
```


.3g2 Videos

Not in */etc/mime.types* on Debian/Ubuntu systems

Wordpress will try to detect the MIME type (with PHP's libmagic bindings) and will not accept the file if it doesn't match, but it will accept the file if the MIME type can't be detected

We need a file that libmagic won't identify as any valid file type, but the browser will identify it as HTML

Demo Wordpress

Wordpress disclosure

- September 10th 2018: Reported to Wordpress via HackerOne
- Still not fixed

Example Mailman/Pipemail

Mailman 2.x comes with a tool called Pipermail to
create mailing list archives

Attachment handling

An attachment with an unknown extension or no extension will be renamed to attachment.obj

Mailman tries to detect HTML, but the same bypass works:

<!---[+ binary garbage]

.obj

Not in mime.types in Fedora, Redhat, Suse, Ubuntu,
Debian, ...

Only Gentoo knows it (it's a tgif file)

XSS for almost all Mailman mailing lists with a public
archive

Mailman changed the default extension from .obj to .bin, which is served as application/octet-stream by all major Linux distributions, but the fix hasn't been released yet

Demo Mailman

More vulnerable applications

- Joomla / .xcf on Fedora (mitigated in 3.9.3, CVE-2019-7742)
- Vanilla forum / .fla files (still unfixed)

What can we do?

**Can we prevent these attacks by always
sending a default MIME type?**

Let's set a safe MIME type (e.g. text/plain or application/octet-stream) for every unknown file extension

Apache "DefaultType" Directive

Has been removed in Apache 2.4

WHY???

W3C Standard Authoritative Metadata

A standard to enable Cross Site Scripting.

Setting a default MIME type would prevent these attacks, but people writing standards really don't like it

nginx sends application/octet-stream by default

File extension quirks

Web servers usually decide based on the file extension
which MIME type to use

```
if ((pathinfo($_FILES['up']['name'], PATHINFO_EXTENSION) == 'jpg') ||  
    (pathinfo($_FILES['up']['name'], PATHINFO_EXTENSION) == 'png') ||  
    (pathinfo($_FILES['up']['name'], PATHINFO_EXTENSION) == 'gif')) {  
    die("Error: Only images allowed!");  
} else {  
    // copy uploaded file to upload dir  
    [...]  
}
```

Is this code safe from XSS?

**What happens if we upload a file named
".jpg"?**

.jpg

What's the file extension?

PHP and Apache disagree


```
/* [...]
 * Leading dots are considered to be part of the base name (a file named
 * ".png" is likely not a png file but just a hidden file called png).
 */
```

Apache mod_mime.c

.jpg

PHP's `pathinfo()` function returns "jpg", but Apache will serve it without a mime type

A file named ".jpg" (or .[extension]) will pass a check on the file extension in PHP, but Apache will serve it without a MIME type, leading to XSS

What's the extension of ".jpg"?

| Languages | empty | .jpg |
|-------------|-------|------|
| PHP | - | ✓ |
| Ruby | ✓ | - |
| Python | ✓ | - |
| Web servers | empty | .jpg |
| Apache | ✓ | - |
| Nginx | - | ✓ |
| Caddy | ✓ | - |

Internet Explorer

Can we get MIME Sniffing if the server sends a file with a non-HTML MIME type like text/plain?

[0day] Text/Plain Considered Harmful



admin

April 18, 2017

Oday, content-type,
exploit, ie, vulnerability

Hello reader!

It is time for another blogpost! This time it is about a bug I found and I believe it could be quite useful for you someday. It is worth mentioning it affects all versions of IE (tested on win 7, win 8.1 and win 10). It does not affect Edge.

Internet Explorer supports displaying HTML emails in
.eml format (RFC 822)

.eml

TESTEML

Content-Type: text/html

```
<iframe src="https://example.org/test.txt"></iframe>
```


Iframes within .eml files ignore the Content-Type and
will be MIME-sniffed

This blogpost is from 2017 and it works in the latest
version of Internet Explorer 11

Internet Explorer 11 is the last version of Internet Explorer, and will continue to receive security updates, compatibility fixes, and technical support on Windows 7, Windows 8.1, and Windows 10.

Microsoft

I don't think that's true

File upload MIME type

Browsers will send a MIME type with file uploads

Obviously this is user-supplied data and can't be trusted

It's possible that this allows attacks if a web application trusts the supplied MIME type

Caddy Web Server

its security defaults and unparalleled usability

Caddy does MIME Sniffing by default, it can't be switched off

Caddy developer told me they just use Go's
functionality

Defense

Browsers

Please fix **X-Content-Type-Options:nosniff**

Make a long term plan plan to deprecate MIME sniffing

Web servers

Don't do server side MIME sniffing

Apache HTTPD administrators

Disable mod_mime_magic

Operating system vendors and Linux distributions

Consider adding additional file types (.3g2, .obj, .fla, .xcf) to */etc/mime.types*

Please standardize */etc/mime.types* across
distributions and operating systems

Web applications / webmasters

The safest option is a sandbox domain for file uploads,
but it's often impractical

Always set X-Content-Type-Options: nosniff
(even though it's imperfect)

If you support any unusual file types consider forcing
the MIME type

Reject file uploads starting with a dot

Summary

MIME Sniffing is dangerous and needs to go away

Don't sniff the mime

Any questions?

[hboeck.de @hanno](mailto:hboeck.de@hanno)