# STATE MAIND

MYSO V2

# Table of contents



1	. Project Bri	ef	7
2	2. Finding Se	everity breakdown	9
3	3. Summary	of findings	10
4	l. Conclusio	n	10
5	5. Findings re	eport	12
		Impossible to swap when protocolFee is set	12
	High	Inability to Disable Whitelist for Borrowers When Granted Using Signatures	13
	111911	Reuse signature mechanic	14
		Collateral can be locked forever, if the loan amount is zero	15
		Potential reclaiming of whitelist through signature reuse   P2Pool	16
		Misuse of _repaymentScheduleCheck function	17
		Insufficient check in _checkAndReturnLatestRoundData	18
		Requirment to set Sequncer if project will be multichain	18
		Transfers in Erc721 wrapper can be blocked	18
	Medium	Griefing wrapping tokens	19
		Last repayment can be blocked	20
		transferFrom with IERC20 interface unsupports early ERC20 implementation	21
		Users can lose tokens when minting a wrapped token	22
		Compartment access after a loan default	23
		Using SafeERC20 library for tokens approve	23

Singleton state violation 23 Meaningless condition in the rollback function 24 UniswapV2 LP-Token price oracle can be manipulated 24 Rounding error in repayAmount calculation 25 Medium Possible lose of money by lenders 25 26 Reentrancy in borrowing process Potential DOS of repay due to open repayCallback 28 29 Harmful condition in acceptLoanTerms Borrower can avoid repayment 30 Possible excessive amount of collToken transferred in compartment contracts 31 Opportunities for gas optimizations: extended | P2Peer 32 32 Use safeTransferFrom() Complex structure of the comment 33 Cache state variables 33 Possible redundant event emissions 34 Improper interestRatePctInBase checks 34 Informational Incomplete whitelisting for a block | P2Peer 34 Insufficient checks for offChainQuote 35 Opportunities for gas optimizations: extended v2 | P2Peer 35 Use OpenZeppelin's Math.mulDiv() 36 Unnecessary expressions/variables v3 | P2Peer 36 Zero address check for recipient 36 Unnecessary expressions/variables v4 | P2Peer 37

Issues with TwapGetter implementation



**37** 

Opportunities for gas optimizations   P2Pool	38
Redundant Ownable contract implementation	38
Unnecessary expressions/variables	38
Erroneous conditional check	39
Potential reversion in repaymentSchedule check	40
Incomplete whitelisting for a block   P2Pool	41
Redundant expressions/variables	41
Add pagination to getFullOnChainQuoteHistory()	41
Redundant option to set allowAllPairs to false	41
Improper calculation of the correctness of Borrower Whitelist Status	42
Gas optimizations	42
Improving newOnwer checks	43
Improper Check of Number of signatures	43
Insufficient check in updateOnChainQuote	44
Insufficient check in _isValidOnChainQuote	44
Redundant variable in _checkTokensAndCompartmentWhitelist signature	45
LoanProposalImpl has an unreasonable limit for arrangerFee	45
Empty lenders for updateLenderWhitelist   P2Pool	45
Any can wrap tokens from wrapper balance	46
Interfaces don't contain methods of implementation	46
Own implementation for common patterns	46
Setting the same parameters for set functions	47
UnlockCollateral lock collateral	47
baseCurrency zero address check	48
IStakingHelper contains function from Curve and GLP contracts	48



Informational

outOfGas for tokensCreated	48
getReclaimableBalance has redundant arguments	49
Redundant calculations for reclaimCollAmount	49
UpfrontFee from non-collateral tokens	50
Redundant safeCast	51
Wrapped ERC redeem with allowance	51
Unchecked maxLoan off-chain quote parameter	52
Wrapped redeem optimization	52
Inconsistent instructions structures	53
SLOAD reduction via existing memory	53
Oracle whitelist check	53
Unnecessary logic separation	54
Verification code duplication	54
Address to uint160 cast	54
Redundant SLOAD CurveLPStakingCompartment	55
Unindexed Event topics	55
Immutable to memory	55
Storage to memory gas reduction	56
Redundant MSTORE	56
Redundant quoteTuples check	56
Redundant reentrancy guard	57
Misleading comment for Ownable2Step inheritance	57
Gas optimization via unchecked	57
Redundant isLoan field in TransferInstructions struct	57
Redundant loan calculations for swap quote	58



Informational

Adjust subscription amount instead of revert	58
Checking protocol fee for zero	58
Optimizing protocol logic when repayment is fully converted	58
Checking for zero address before assigning	58
Missing state check	59
Unchanged value of _lenderExercisedConversion	59
Restricting length of repayments	59
Using calldata variable instead of storage one	59
Redundant approve	59
Set allowance to zero	60
Double whitelist state changing	60
Code duplication while borrowing	60
Transfer fee on protocol fee	60
Making checks before assigning	61
QuoteTuple index is not checked	61
Parameter minLoan can be zero	61
Using ERC-2098 standard for signatures	62
Misleading name of a function	62
No function that returns the length of array in a contract	62
OpenZeppelin's ECDSA library can create Ethereum Signed Message	62
Gas optimization	63
Use clone instead of cloneDeterministic to save gas	63
Infinite unsubscribe period	64
The sufficient condition is always satisfied	64
Positive and Negative MysoTokenManager hooks	64



Informational

		No protection from malicious proposal	65
		No option to set the exact number of subscriptions	66
		Unnecessary expressions/variables v2   P2Peer	66
		Blacklisted tokens will revert the whole transaction	66
		Lack of zero check	66
		Adding a Sweep Function to the redeem Function of Wrapped ERC20	67
	Informational	loans can be defaulted if sequencer down	67
		Redundant re-entrance check	67
		Calldata reduction	68
		Pragma of interfaces	68
		Using ReentrancyGuardUpgradeable contract	68
		EnumerableSet.Address instead of mappings and arrays	68
		Redundant checks	69
-	7. Appendix E	3. Slither	70
9	R Annondiy	C Toete	04
	8. Appendix	O. 16313	81



# 1. Project Brief



Title	Description
Client	MYSO
Project name	MYSO V2
Timeline	22-05-2023 - 15-08-2023
Initial commit	c0536c1ad650805bdf5d68390de0434eb570e694
Final commit	ac3f28fca0637a46d57a73331ff743dbe61cd366

# **Short Overview**

MYSO Finance is a DeFi protocol for "Zero-Liquidation Loans" (ZLLs). Project consist of two main subsystems: Peer-to-Peer, Peer-to-Pool.

MYSO's v2 Peer-to-Peer system is a decentralized lending platform that enables lenders to offer loans to borrowers on a peer-to-peer basis. It is built on the Ethereum blockchain and consists of several smart contracts that work together to facilitate the lending process. The core components of the system are the Address Registry, Borrower Gateway, Lender Vault Factory, Lender Vault Implementation, and Quote Handler contracts.

MYSO's v2 Peer-to-Pool system is a decentralized borrowing and lending system built on the Ethereum blockchain that empowers lenders and borrowers to engage in peer-to-pool loan transactions.

# **Project Scope**

The audit covered the following files:

LoanProposalImpl.sol	Factory.sol	FundingPoolImpl.sol
<u>DataTypesPeerToPool.sol</u>	[ IFactory.sol	<u>  IFundingPoolImpl.sol</u>
<u>ILoanProposalImpl.sol</u>	Errors.sol	Ownable.sol
WrappedERC20Impl.sol	ERC20Wrapper.sol	WrappedERC721Impl.sol
ERC721Wrapper.sol	<u>DataTypesPeerToPeer.sol</u>	AddressRegistry.sol
ChainlinkBasic.sol	ChainlinkBasicWithWbtc.sol	OlympusOracle.sol
UniV2Chainlink.sol	BalancerV2Looping.sol	UniV3Looping.sol
BorrowerGateway.sol	LenderVaultFactory.sol	<u>QuoteHandler.sol</u>
<u>VoteCompartment.sol</u>	GLPStakingCompartment.sol	<u>AaveStakingCompartment.sol</u>
CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol	BaseCompartment.sol	LenderVaultImpl.sol
<u>IWrappedERC20Impl.sol</u>	IERC20Wrapper.sol	<u>IERC721Wrapper.sol</u>
IWrappedERC721Impl.sol	<u>IVaultCallback.sol</u>	lOlympus.sol
<u>IUniV2.sol</u>	AggregatorV3Interface.sol	<u>IAddressRegistry.sol</u>
IBalancerAsset.sol	BalancerDataTypes.sol	<u>ISwapRouter.sol</u>
IBalancerVault.sol	ILenderVaultFactory.sol	<u>lOracle.sol</u>
BorrowerGateway.sol	[ IStakingHelper.sol	<u>IBaseCompartment.sol</u>
<u>IQuoteHandler.sol</u>	<u>ILenderVaultImpl.sol</u>	Constants.sol
MysoTokenManagersol		

# 2. Finding Severity breakdown



All vulnerabilities discovered during the audit are classified based on their potential severity and have the following classification:

Severity	Description
Critical	Bugs leading to assets theft, fund access locking, or any other loss of funds to be transferred to any party.
High	Bugs that can trigger a contract failure. Further recovery is possible only by manual modification of the contract state or replacement.
Medium	Bugs that can break the intended contract logic or expose it to DoS attacks, but do not cause direct loss of funds.
Informational	Bugs that do not have a significant immediate impact and could be easily fixed.

Based on the feedback received from the Customer regarding the list of findings discovered by the Contractor, they are assigned the following statuses:

Status	Description
Fixed	Recommended fixes have been made to the project code and no longer affect its security.
Acknowledged	The Customer is aware of the finding. Recommendations for the finding are planned to be resolved in the future.

# 3. Summary of findings



Severity	# of Findings
Critical	0 (0 fixed, 0 acknowledged)
High	4 (4 fixed, 0 acknowledged)
Medium	20 (19 fixed, 1 acknowledged)
Informational	103 (90 fixed, 13 acknowledged)
Total	127 (113 fixed, 14 acknowledged)

# 4. Conclusion



During the audit of Myso V2 codebase, 146 issues were found in total:

- 5 high severity issues (5 fixed, 0 acknowledged)
- 24 medium severity issues (23 fixed, 1 acknowledged)
- 117 informational severity issues (104 fixed, 13 acknowledged)

The final reviewed commit is ac3f28fca0637a46d57a73331ff743dbe61cd366

# **Deployment**

File name	Contract deployed on mainnet
AddressRegistry (ethereum)	Oxd8b132A0abA610D0AaA18716A2b26e14141f112C
AddressRegistry (mantle)	0x196A6649e2A7eb225Ee53BA507552cCc8BcdB07a
BorrowerGateway (ethereum)	0x3EAf1BA2C14B2d353fd63c3881bbcc7583E665f9
BorrowerGateway (mantle)	Oxd8b132A0abA610D0AaA18716A2b26e14141f112C



QuoteHandler (mantle)	0x3EAf1BA2C14B2d353fd63c3881bbcc7583E665f9
LenderVaultImpl (ethereum)	0x4522BF023e4ca2A3875B73EBB21696cB30EBC17d
LenderVaultImpl (mantle)	0x71E1cc2F7574C798ED893Ef04D55D1E573bE95B1
LenderVaultFactory (ethereum)	0x1874a08F7975b25944FEb989BbAaA464f61aB3bc
LenderVaultFactory (mantle)	0x4522BF023e4ca2A3875B73EBB21696cB30EBC17d



# 5. Findings report



HIGH-01

Impossible to swap when protocolFee is set

Fixed at <u>11f106</u>

# **Description**

During the swap process, there are currently two conditions that need to be met as part of the validation:

1. LenderVaultImpl.sol#L137-L142

```
if (
   borrowInstructions.collSendAmount <
   upfrontFee + borrowInstructions.expectedTransferFee
) {
   revert Errors.InsufficientSendAmount();
}</pre>
```

2. BorrowerGateway.sol#L276-L280

```
if (
    borrowInstructions.collSendAmount < protocolFeeAmount + upfrontFee
) {
    revert Errors.InsufficientSendAmount();
}</pre>
```

Additionally, the upfrontFee is calculated using the following formula:

```
upfrontFee =
  (borrowInstructions.collSendAmount *
         quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase) /
         Constants.BASE;

// Also, we know that
    quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase == Constants.BASE

// Hence
    upfrontFee == borrowInstructions.collSendAmount
```

Therefore, based on condition 2, it can be inferred that in order to avoid a revert, the **protocolFeeAmount** must be set to **0**. Furthermore, from condition 1, it is apparent that **borrowInstructions.expectedTransferFee** must also be set to **0**. Consequently, it becomes impossible to process the swap when there are any transfer fees or if the protocol fee is set. The underlying issue lies in the fact that for a simple loan with a **quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase** value close to **Constants.BASE**, it is feasible to create the loan. However, it subsequently becomes impossible to utilize the loan due to the aforementioned reasons.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to reconsider the calculation of **upfrontFee** to not solely rely on **borrowInstructions.collSendAmount**, but also take into account transfer fees and protocol fees. Alternatively, it is recommended to establish external conditions for the swap functionality to ensure its usability even in the presence of non-zero **borrowInstructions.expectedTransferFee**.

Currently, in the AddressRegistry contract, borrowers <u>have the ability</u> to update their whitelist status using a signature, which is not directly associated with quotes. All parametrs for **payloadHash** (msg.sender, whitelistedUntil, block.chainid, salt) can be reused.

Whitelist authorities <u>have the capability</u> to change a borrower's status, as well, but borrowers can exploit the system by backrunning the authority's call and preserving their existing whitelist status.

Borrorwers can save their status until **block.timestamp** becomes greater than **whitelistedUntil** timestamp specified in the signature.

#### Recommendation

We recommend implementing a map to keep track of used signatures and modifying the logic to revert the transaction if a signature has already been used. For example:

```
function claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus(
   address whitelistAuthority,
   uint256 whitelistedUntil,
   bytes calldata signature,
   bytes32 salt
) external {
   if (signatureIsInvalidated[signature]) {
     revert Errors.InvalidSignature();
   }
   signatureIsInvalidated[signature] = true;
   // ...
}
```

Protocol uses signature check EIP-712 in several places:

- Factory claimLenderWhitelistStatus <u>L135-L141</u>
- AddressRegistry claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus <u>L175-181</u>
- QuoteHandler \_areValidSignatures hanL246-L275

Moreover, payloadHash, messageHash are identical in the data structure, and recoveredSigner is whitelistAuthority. Thus, the signature issued for claimLenderWhitelistStatus can be used in claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus. The same whitelistAuthority for Factory and AddressRegistry can't restrict user registration in different whitelists.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding the contract address in the message payload as the first argument for separating action.

```
bytes32 payloadHash = keccak256(
    abi.encode(address(this), msg.sender, whitelistedUntil, block.chainid, salt)
);
```



This issue will arise if a borrower tries to borrow a loan using a quote with specific parameters. This quote should have **GeneralQuoteInfo.minLoan** and **QuoteTuple.loanPerCollUnitOrLtv** parameters equal to zero. Also, the borrower should set **BorrowTransferInstructions.minLoanAmount** to zero too.

To borrow, the borrower will call the function BorrowerGateway.borrowWithOnChainQuote or

BorrowerGateway.borrowWithOffChainQuote, it calls QuoteHandler.checkAndRegisterOffChainQuote, and then it calls LenderVaultImpl.processQuote.

In this case, if these parameters are zero, all checks inside **QuoteHandler.checkAndRegisterOffChainQuote** function will pass, and inside the function **LenderVaultImpl.processQuote** variables **loanAmount** and **repayAmount** will be equal to zero. After that, the contract **BorrowerGateway** will transfer the collateral tokens to the **LenderVaultImpl** contract or the **Compartment** and will transfer zero amount loan to the borrower.

Everything would be fine if the borrower could return his collateral tokens. But he isn't able to do that in this case. In a standard case, he would call BorrowerGateway.repay function to return his collateral tokens. But in this case, this require statement will revert the repayment transaction because he would need to repay zero tokens out of zero amount dept. Moreover, even the lender won't be able to get this collateral using the LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral function. Variable totalUnlockableColl will equal to zero because \_loan.initRepayAmount - \_loan.amountRepaidSoFar will equal zero. A borrower and a lender can easily set parameters GeneralQuoteInfo.minLoan, QuoteTuple.loanPerCollUnitOrLtv, and BorrowTransferInstructions.minLoanAmount to zero values because of an error inside scripts that the lender and borrower used or some other factors.

#### Recommendation

Add additional checks inside the **LenderVaultImpl.processQuote** function that the loan is bigger than zero.

```
if (
    loanAmount < borrowInstructions.minLoanAmount II
    loanAmount == 0
) {
    revert Errors.TooSmallLoanAmount();
}</pre>
```



Within the Factory contract, the claimLenderWhitelistStatus() function enables the claiming of a whitelist status using whitelistAuthority's signature. Concurrently, the whitelistAuthority possesses the ability to update the whitelist status via the updateLenderWhitelist() function.

Consider a scenario where:

- 1. whitelist Authority grants whitelist status to lender up to timestamp = x.
- 2. lender successfully claims the whitelist.
- 3. **whitelistAuthority** opts to revoke the lender's whitelist status by setting **timestamp = 0**.
- 4. **lender** can reclaim the whitelist using the same signature.

This sequence of actions suggests a potential vulnerability as it allows the lender to reclaim a revoked whitelist status using a previously issued signature.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement a mechanism that ensures each signature is used only once. This could be achieved by storing signatures after they are used and adding a check to ensure that a signature is not reused.



In the **LoanProposalImpl** contract, the **acceptLoanTerms()** function includes a **\_repaymentScheduleCheck()** function call, which seems to be used improperly for two reasons:

- 1. The \_repaymentScheduleCheck() function found <a href="here">here</a>, involves a thorough examination of the entire repaymentSchedule. However, its application within the context of the acceptLoanTerms() function seems redundant, as this check is also performed during the execution of the proposeLoanTerms() function.
- 2. The function contains a conditional clause that verifies if the first repayment dueTimestamp is in the correct timeframe:

```
if (
   _loanTerms.repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp <
   block.timestamp +
        Constants.LOAN_TERMS_UPDATE_COOL_OFF_PERIOD +
        Constants.LOAN_EXECUTION_GRACE_PERIOD +
        Constants.MIN_TIME_UNTIL_FIRST_DUE_DATE
) {
    revert Errors.FirstDueDateTooCloseOrPassed();
}</pre>
```

However, it mistakenly includes the **LOAN\_TERMS\_UPDATE\_COOL\_OFF\_PERIOD** variable, which denotes a period that has already elapsed. As a result, attempts to execute **acceptLoanTerms()** will perpetually fail in cases where:

```
dueTimestamp -
   (block.timestamp +
    Constants.LOAN_TERMS_UPDATE_COOL_OFF_PERIOD +
    Constants.LOAN_EXECUTION_GRACE_PERIOD +
    Constants.MIN_TIME_UNTIL_FIRST_DUE_DATE) <= Constants.LOAN_TERMS_UPDATE_COOL_OFF_PERIOD //
during the `proposeLoanTerms()` execution</pre>
```

In such instances, the only viable remedy is to propose new loan terms.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to revise the check with the following code snippet:

```
if (
   _loanTerms.repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp <
   block.timestamp +
        Constants.LOAN_EXECUTION_GRACE_PERIOD +
        Constants.MIN_TIME_UNTIL_FIRST_DUE_DATE
) {
    revert Errors.FirstDueDateTooCloseOrPassed();
}</pre>
```



In the function \_checkAndReturnLatestRoundData there are checks to ensure that the data is recent enough. However, there is no check for the lower bound of updatedAt, and the other checks do not cover it at all.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding a check for the lower bound of **updatedAt**. For example, you can set a constant **MAX\_BLOCK\_DIVERGENCE** and modify the code as follows:

```
if (
roundId == 0 II
answeredInRound < roundId II
answer < 1 II
updatedAt > block.timestamp II
updatedAt < block.timestamp - MAX_BLOCK_DIVERGENCE
)</pre>
```

MEDIUM-04

Requirment to set Sequncer if project will be multichain

Fixed at d1a2f2

# **Description**

As stated in the official documentation of <u>Chainlink</u>, Layer 2 solutions require an additional Sequencer contract to provide data.

#### Recommendation

If the project will be deployed on some L2 chains, we recommend considering adapting the solution to accommodate Layer 2 scenarios with the use of a Sequencer contract.

MEDIUM-05

Transfers in Erc721 wrapper can be blocked

Fixed at 63c9e6

# **Description**

User can withdraw a tokens from the vault only <u>if all collections and token are transferable</u>. However, some collections can block transfers for some tokens.

# Recommendation

We recommend including functionality for withdrawing all possible tokens from the vault. For untransferable tokens there are several ways:

- emitting event about token
- adding **sweep()** function
- not burning supply token

Any participant can wrap ERC20/ERC721 tokens via AddressRegistry createWrappedTokenForERC721s L206,

AddressRegistry createWrappedTokenForERC20s L228. This function passes minter == msg.sender L216, L238. However, griever can call ERC721Wrapper createWrappedToken L36, ERC20Wrapper createWrappedToken L37 directly. In case, the user gives approval in Tx1, but creates a wrapped token in Tx2, griefer can create a wrapped token with own params tokensToBeWrapped, name, symbol. The User can return tokens via redeem function L44, L51, but the created wrapped token isn't registered in AddressRegistry. So, it requires a reissue of the wrapped token. This may be relevant for competing protocols that want to ruin the user experience.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding onlyAddressRegistry check for the call AddressRegistry createWrappedTokenForERC721s, AddressRegistry createWrappedTokenForERC20s

```
function onlyAddressRegistry() internal view {
   if (addressRegistry != address(0) && msg.sender != addressRegistry) {
     revert Errors.InvalidSender();
   }
}
```

So, the variable addressRegistry should have an additional flag for whitelist check and should always be set in constructor.



A borrower can repay the loan using **BorrowerGateway repay** function. **LenderVaultImpl validateRepayInfo** has a check of over repayment amount.

```
if (
    loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount == 0 ||
    loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount + _loan.amountRepaidSoFar >
    _loan.initRepayAmount

// borrower can't pay more than loan --^
) {
    revert Errors.InvalidRepayAmount();
}
```

In the next step, the reclaim amount is calculated as follows.

```
reclaimCollAmount = (loan.initCollAmount * loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount)
    / loan.initRepayAmount;
if (reclaimCollAmount == 0) {
    revert Errors.ReclaimAmountIsZero();
}
```

#### If reclaimCollAmount > 0 therefore initCollAmount \* targetRepayAmount >= initRepayAmount

In case, if a borrower returns almost a loan, the last repayment can be blocked.

```
borrower take loan collToken.decimals = 6  
loanToken.decimals = 6  
initCollAmount = 1_000 * 10^6  
initRepayAmount = 1_000_000_000 * 10^6  
repayTokenPerCollateral = 1_000_000_000 * 10^6 / 1_000 * 10^6 = 1_000_000  
repaymentReequiredMore = 1_000_000 - 1 = 1_000_000 - 1 = 999_099  
borrower returns almost loan in 1 repayment  
targetRepayAmount = 1_000_000_000 * 10^6 - 999_099  
reclaimCollAmount = (1_000 * 10^6) * (1_000_000_000 * 10^6 - 999_099) / (1_000_000_000 * 10^6) = 999_099  
borrower try to return the leftover loan in 2 repayment  
targetRepayAmount = 999_099  
reclaimCollAmount = (1_000 * 10^6) * 999_099 / (1_000_000_000 * 10^6) = 0  
reclaimCollAmount always will be reverted  
borrower can't return leftover loan
```

A loan proposal can determine any exchange rate **repayTokenPerCollateral** and the amount blocked value in token or \$ can be big. Moreover, the loan obliges the protocol to return **collateral** >= **initCollAmount**.

#### Recommendation

We recommend calculating reclaimed amounts and returning left amounts if

targetRepaymentAmount == initRepayAmount - amountRepaidSoFar



```
uint reclaimCollAmount;
uint leftRepaymentAmount = loan.initRepayAmount - loan.amountRepaidSoFar;
if (leftRepaymentAmount == targetRepaymentAmount) {
    reclaimCollAmount = loan.initCollAmount - loan.reclaimedAmount
} else {
    reclaimCollAmount = (loan.initCollAmount * loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount)
        / loan.initRepayAmount;
    if (reclaimCollAmount == 0) {
        revert Errors.ReclaimAmountIsZero();
    }
}
```

MEDIUM-08

transferFrom with IERC20 interface unsupports early ERC20 implementation

Fixed at

d04329

# **Description**

ERC20 token standard has some implementation differences. It is described in detail in **SafeERC** article <u>LINK</u>. Some implementations revert if they can't execute the function, while others return false. Also, an earlier ERC20 implementation may have differences in interfaces.

EIP-20 standard

```
interface IERC20 {
  function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 amount) external returns (bool); // <-- declared return value
}</pre>
```

Earlier tokens like <u>USDT - 0xdac17f958d2ee523a2206206994597c13d831ec7</u>, doesn't have a return value. However, it's crucial for protocol because USDT is the most popular stable coin in crypto.

```
interface EarlyERC20 {
  function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 amount) public; // <-- don't have return value
}</pre>
```

So, directly using the ERC20 interface for operation approval, transfer, and permit is non-recommended. It is actually for:

• WrappedERC20Impl::mint() L132

# Recommendation

We recommended using SafeERC20 library for the transfer operation

Any user can mint wrapper token using **WrappedERC20Impl::mint()** function. Also, **ERC20Wrapper::createWrappedToken** allow creating one wrapper token for single underlying token <u>L54-L58</u>.

#### Attack scenario:

1. Attacker creates **WrappedERC20Impl** token with a single underlying token. Also, the attacker can wait for users to burn all issued wrapped tokens, and **totalSupply** wrapped token temporarily becomes 0.

```
Underlying - USDT
totalInitialSupply - 1 wei
underlyingBalance - 1 wei
```

2. Wait when the victim tries to mint some tokens using WrappedERC20Impl::mint().

```
mintAmount - 10000 * 10^18
```

3. Front-run victim transaction. Attacker transaction should increase the underlying token balance by a mint amount. So, the attacker directly deposits in the Wrapper contract.

```
transferAmount == 10000 * 10^18
```

4. Contract is calculating the mint amount, but tokenPreBal > amount => always 0.

```
_mint(
    recipient,
    currTotalSupply == 0
    ? amount
    : Math.mulDiv(amount, currTotalSupply, tokenPreBal)

// 10000 * 1 / 10000 + 1 = 0
);
```

#### Recommendation

We recommended adding a variable, which is tracking the virtual balance for the underlying token in **WrappedERC20Impl** contract and using it for the mint amount

Currently, a borrower has full access to the compartment's token functionality even after the loan expires.

```
if (msg.sender != loan.borrower && !approved[msg.sender]) {
   revert Errors.InvalidSender();
}
```

Hence, a borrower can manage tokens that logically are not in his possession unless the lender unlocks them into the vault.

#### Recommendation

We recommend restricting access to the compartment's token management functionality after the loan is expired.

```
if (msg.sender != loan.borrower && !approved[msg.sender]) {
    revert Errors.InvalidSender();
}
if (block.timestamp >= loan.expiry) {
    revert Errors.LoanExpired();
}
```

MEDIUM-11

# Using SafeERC20 library for tokens approve

Fixed at 9af2fe

#### **Description**

At <u>Line 45</u> **approve()** is called. It is better to use safe version to avoid problems with specific tokens, which could return bool instead of revert.

Same issue in this function, which have several approves:

- Function repayCallback()
- Function <u>borrowCallback()</u>
- Function repayCallback()
- Function stake()

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to use forceApprove() or safeIncreaseAllowance() / safeDecreaseAllowance() from SafeERC20 library.

MEDIUM-12

## Singleton state violation

Fixed at 57a424

# Description

Function <u>updateSingletonState()</u> does not check if there is already address with one of the singleton states. So, it is possible to have two address with same singleton state. Based on comments at <u>Lines 87-88</u>:

// note (1/2): ERC721WRAPPER, ERC20WRAPPER and MYSO\_TOKEN\_MANAGER state can only be "occupied" by // one addresses ("singleton state")

This situation breaks protocol rule.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to check if wrappers and token manager are set and in case of changing states reset state for old addresses.

In the contract LoanProposalImpl in the function rollback, there is a <u>condition</u> responsible for the case when a borrower doesn't want to accept a loan proposal. The condition **block.timestamp < lenderInOrOutCutoffTime** doesn't make sense because if a borrower doesn't want to accept a loan proposal after **lenderInOrOutCutoffTime**, he won't call the **finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl** function, and because only he can do it, everybody will have to wait for **Constants.LOAN\_EXECUTION\_GRACE\_PERIOD** amount of time after **lenderInOrOutCutoffTime** to call the **rollback** function and unsubscribe from this loan proposal.

#### Recommendation

It is better to remove this condition to allow a borrower to call the **rollback** function after **lenderlnOrOutCutoffTime**, not to make everyone wait for **Constants.LOAN\_EXECUTION\_GRACE\_PERIOD** to remove their's funds from this loan proposal.

MEDIUM-14

UniswapV2 LP-Token price oracle can be manipulated

Fixed at <u>590348</u>

## **Description**

The contract is using the following formula to calculate LP-Token price:

#### price = 2 \* (sqrt(reserve0 \* reserve1) \* sqrt(price0 \* price1)) / totalSupply

The method used to calculate LP-Token price holds well if the reserve pools stay balanced according to constant product formula:  $\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}$ . However UniswapV2 Pair contract allows to arbitrary transfer tokens to reserve without minting new LP-Tokens or adhering to contstant product formula via **sync()**.

The attacker can increase the amount of tokens in one of the reserves by directly transferring the tokens to the UniswapV2 Pair contract and then executing **sync()** function, which will update the reserve balances.

This manipulation can only increase the amount of tokens in the reserves and consecutively the price of LP-Token, but not to decrease. So to attack the protocol, the attacker would need to use their own LP-Tokens as the collateral (not for borrowing LP-Tokens).

It should be noted that sending tokens to the UniswapV2 Pair this way won't mint any new LP-shares and the attacker won't be able to fully return their funds, however, skewing reserves in such way creates an arbitrage opportunity that the attacker can use to partially reclaim the funds sent to uniswap pool.

To summarize a potential attack scenario:

- 1. Mint or borrow LP-Tokens
- 2. Send large amount of TokenA or TokenB to UniswapV2 Pair
- 3. Call sync() on UniswapV2 Pair, imbalancing the reserves
- 4. Use inflated LP-Tokens to borrow assets
- 5. Partially return the sent tokens from UniswapV2 Pair by rebalancing reserves back from self-created arbitrage opportunity

The economic feasibility of this attack largely depends on size of reserves pre-attack and further complicated by need of having LP-Tokens to use in borrowing positions.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended not to use this oracle for pairs with low liquidity or ERC20 tokens with small amount of decimals.



In the <u>getLoanAndRepayAmount</u> method of **LenderVaultImpl** contract, there is a rounding error in the price calculation:

```
loanAmount =
  (loanPerCollUnit * (collSendAmount - expectedTransferFee)) /
  (10 ** IERC20Metadata(generalQuoteInfo.collToken).decimals());
// ...
repayAmount = (loanAmount * interestRateFactor) / Constants.BASE;
```

The error occurs cause division in **loanAmount** is performed before multiplication in **repayAmount** calculation Consider the following situation

- 1. loanPerCollUnit = 10
- 2. collSendAmount = 692308
- 3. interestRateFactor = 130% in BASE
- 4. the resulting repayAmount is 7
- 5. actual repayAmount should be 9

#### Recommendation

We recommend performing multiplication before division like

```
uint256 unscaledLoanAmount = loanPerCollUnit * (collSendAmount - expectedTransferFee);
loanAmount =
   unscaledLoanAmount / (10 ** IERC20Metadata(generalQuoteInfo.collToken).decimals());
repayAmount = (unscaledLoanAmount * interestRateFactor) / Constants.BASE /
   (10 ** IERC20Metadata(generalQuoteInfo.collToken).decimals());
```

MEDIUM-16

# Possible lose of money by lenders

Fixed at <u>7929f8</u>

#### **Description**

In LoanProposalImpl.getAbsoluteLoanTerms() loanTokenDue is considered relatively to \_finalLoanAmount, but in case, there's a ArrangerFee and loanTokenDue sum is 100% not more (example from tests), lenders will lose part of their money, due to new loanTokenDue calculations. But this is strange, isn't it? So, sum of repayments should include all fees. In other way, lenders will constantly lose their funds after claiming repayments.

In another case, it turns out that the lenders pay for the loan service by the borrower, which is strange too.

# Recommendation

We recommend redefining some logic, cause **loanTokenDue** should be calculated considered based on **totalSubscriptions**, not **\_finalLoanAmount**.

The **LenderVaultImpl** contract contains a reentrancy vulnerability that allows an owner to spend a borrower's locked funds by using **Compartment** implementation, that makes call to **owner** address in its initializer. The vulnerability arises due to a possible external call between checking the available balance and sending funds to the borrower.

```
function processQuote(
  address borrower,
  DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions calldata borrowInstructions,
  DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo calldata generalQuoteInfo,
  DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple calldata quoteTuple
  external
  returns (
    DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan memory _loan,
    uint256 loanld,
    uint256 upfrontFee,
     address collReceiver
  // ...
  (uint256 loanAmount, uint256 repayAmount) = _getLoanAndRepayAmount(
     borrowInstructions.collSendAmount,
     borrowInstructions.expectedTransferFee,
     generalQuoteInfo,
    quoteTuple
  ); // <-- checks the available amount of funds for the loan, taking into account locked funds
  // ...
  if (generalQuoteInfo.borrowerCompartmentImplementation == address(0)) {
    collReceiver = address(this);
    lockedAmounts[_loan.collToken] += _loan.initCollAmount;
  } else {
    collReceiver = createCollCompartment(
       generalQuoteInfo.borrowerCompartmentImplementation,
       _loans.length
    );
    // <-- potential reentrancy: owner can provide compartment with external call in initializer.
     loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr = collReceiver;
  }
  loanId = loans.length;
  _loans.push(_loan);
  emit QuoteProcessed(borrower, _loan, loanId, collReceiver);
  // further loanAmount will be used to transfer to borrower without check for available balance.
}
```

The reentrancy vulnerability allows the contract owner to steal tokens provided as collateral in the **LenderVaultImpl** contract. The following steps describe how the exploit can be carried out:



- 1. Borrowers initiate loans by providing **token** as collateral, which is stored in the **LenderVaultImpl** balance but locked in the **lockedAmounts[token]** mapping.
- 2. The contract owner sends a sufficient amount of the same token to the **LenderVaultImpl** contract, causing its balance to be double the value of **lockedAmounts[token]**.
- 3. The owner creates a loan quote using **token** as the loan asset, with the loan amount set to **lockedAmounts[token]**. Additionally, the owner specifies a **borrowerCompartmentImplementation** that contains a call to the owner's address in its **initialize** function. To prevent front-running attempts, the owner should restrict the whitelist status for this quote.
- 4. The owner proceeds to borrow using the created quote by calling either the BorrowerGateway.borrowWithOffChainQuote or BorrowerGateway.borrowWithOnChainQuote function. During the borrowing process, when the compartment initializer is called, a call is made to the owner's address. The owner includes a callback function, which invokes the LenderVaultImpl.withdraw function with an amount of token equal to lockedAmounts[token].
- 5. After the borrow completes, the **LenderVaultImpl** balance of the **token** becomes zero, indicating that all locked tokens have been withdrawn.

By exploiting this reentrancy vulnerability, the contract owner can effectively steal the tokens that were provided as collateral in the **LenderVaultImpl** contract.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding check for available balance in **LenderVaultImpl.transferTo** in case of loan token transfer (set **isLoan=true** in **BorrowerGateway.\_processTransfers**):

```
function transferTo(
   address token,
   address recipient,
   uint256 amount,
   bool isLoan
) external {
   _senderCheckGateway();
   if (isLoan && amount > IERC20Metadata(token).balanceOf(address(this)) - lockedAmounts[token]) {
      revert Errors.InvalidTransferAmount();
   }
   IERC20Metadata(token).safeTransfer(recipient, amount);
}
```



In the contract **BalancerV2Looping** and **UniV3Looping** contracts, the function **repayCallback** is open and can be called by anyone. It can lead to Denial-of-Service (DOS) vulnerability, if it is called after token transfer to the contract and before its call in **BorrowerGateway** contract, because of **minSwapReceive** condition in swap.

```
loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr == address(0)
       ? ILenderVaultImpl(lenderVault).transferTo(
         loan.collToken,
         callbackAddr == address(0) ? loan.borrower : callbackAddr,
         reclaimCollAmount
       : ILenderVaultImpl(lenderVault).transferCollFromCompartment(
         loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount,
         Ioan.initRepayAmount - Ioan.amountRepaidSoFar,
         loan.borrower,
         loan.collToken,
         callbackAddr.
         Ioan.collTokenCompartmentAddr
       ); // <-- can be possibility to call repayCallback after transfer
    if (callbackAddr != address(0)) {
       IVaultCallback(callbackAddr).repayCallback(loan, callbackData); // <-- will revert due to minSwapReceive
condition
    }
```

A possible scenario could be the use of a compartment **CurveLPStakingCompartment**. In this compartment, after collateral token transfer comes the transfer of reward tokens. In case of malicious reward tokens, that have external calls during **safeTransfer** it is possible to make call to **repayCallback**.

# Recommendation

We recommend restricting the repayCallback function to only be callable by the BorrowerGateway contract.



In the function LoanProposalImpl.acceptLoanTerms there is a condition:

```
function acceptLoanTerms(uint256 _loanTermsUpdateTime) external {
    // ...
    if (totalSubscriptions < _loanTerms.minTotalSubscriptions) { <-- the condition doesn't allow to accept terms due to insufficient number of subscriptions
        revert Errors.NotEnoughSubscriptions();
    }
    // ...
}</pre>
```

This condition isn't required, because lenders can subscribe to proposal after loan terms is accepted. Moreover, is harmful because many lenders may not subscribe to proposal until it is accepted, because unaccepted loan terms can be changed and lender will have to unsubscribe in limited time period. Otherwise, their funds will be used in unwanted loan proposal. So it is better to remove the condition making process of accepting terms simpler.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the harmful condition.



Loan proposal calculates absolute amounts for loan proposal in **getAbsoluteLoanTerms** <u>L544</u>. **loanTokenDue** only has zero value check <u>L636-L638</u>. So, **loanTokenDue**, **totalSubscription** can be value[**0**, **2** ^ **256** – **1**]. However, the arranger can create a proposal with incorrect **loanTokenDue**, **totalSubscription** which truncates the absolute value for **loanTokenDue**.

Thus, it allows the borrower to avoid repayment.

Code of calculation

```
_tmpLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule[i].loanTokenDue = SafeCast.toUint128(
    (_finalLoanAmount * _tmpLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule[i].loanTokenDue)
    / Constants.BASE
);
```

Test scenario with the transaction

```
TestToken
decimals = 6

Case 1
finalLoanAmount = 9 * 10 ^ 6
loanTokenDue = 1 * 10 ^ 11
Constants.BASE = 10 ^ 18

brokenRepayment
brokenRepayment.reploanTokenDue = (9 * 10 ^ 6) * (1 * 10 ^ 11) / 10 ^ 18 = 0.9 = 0
```

Any combination with finalLoanAmount \* loanTokenDue < 10 ^ 18 leads to truncation

# Recommendation

We recommend adding a zero check for loanTokenDue.

```
uint128 absoluteLoanTokenDue = SafeCast.toUint128(
    (_finalLoanAmount * _tmpLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule[i].loanTokenDue)
    / Constants.BASE
);
uint256 relativeLoanTokenDue = _tmpLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule[i].loanTokenDue;
if (relativeLoanTokenDue != 0 absoluteLoanTokenDue == 0) { // <-- zero loanTokenDue means truncation revert Errors.LoanTokenDuelsZero();
}</pre>
```



INFORMATIONAL-01

# Possible excessive amount of collToken transferred in compartment contracts

Fixed at 4f1fb5

# Description

During the analysis of the **CurveLPStakingCompartment** and **GLPStakingCompartment** contracts, it has been identified that the transfer mechanism for hardcoded tokens may result in the borrower receiving more tokens than expected if the **collToken** is the same as the hardcoded one.

Let's consider the following scenarios to illustrate this issue:

- 1. Scenario: GLPStakingCompartment with WETH as `collToken
  - The lender selects the GLPStakingCompartment as the compartment and sets WETH as the collToken.
  - The borrower initiates a loan and decides to repay 50% of the **loanToken**.
  - In the \_transferCollFromCompartment function, 50% of the entire collToken balance is transferred.
  - Subsequently, an additional transfer of 50% of the initial WETH balance is made, which effectively transfers 25% of the initial WETH balance.
  - Consequently, the borrower receives 75% of their collToken (WETH) while only repaying 50% of the loanToken.
- 2. Scenario: CurveLPStakingCompartment with various token configurations
  - Case 1: Ip\_token (collToken) is CRV
  - Case 2: Ip\_token (collToken) is some reward\_token
  - Case 3: CRV is some reward\_token
  - Case 4: Duplicate reward\_token entries exist

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement a mapping structure to control the allowed **collToken** tokens for each compartment and introduce balance caching mechanisms to accurately track the balances of the tokens.



- 1. Avoiding Redundant Defaults: Setting variables to their default values may not be necessary and could be avoided to optimize gas consumption:
  - AddressRegistry.sol#L105
  - o AddressRegistry.sol#L142
  - o AddressRegistry.sol#L258
  - LenderVaultImpl.sol#L65
  - LenderVaultImpl.sol#L251
  - LenderVaultImpl.sol#L347
  - o QuoteHandler.sol#L261
  - o QuoteHandler.sol#L349
  - o CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L212
  - o ChainlinkBasic.sol#L33
  - UniV2Chainlink.sol#L36
  - o ERC20Wrapper.sol#L54
  - WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L37
  - WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L57
  - ERC721Wrapper.sol#L53
  - ERC721Wrapper.sol#L71
  - WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L33
  - o WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L48
  - WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L49
- 2. Redundant calculations to perform a comparison:
  - <u>LenderVaultImpl.sol#L291</u>
- 3. Redundant conversions to perform a comparison:
  - o QuoteHandler.sol#L266
  - o ERC20Wrapper.sol#L63
  - o ERC721Wrapper.sol#L66
- 4. Redundant data are given: It could be changed to "":
  - o BalancerV2Looping.sol#L43
  - o BalancerV2Looping.sol#L83

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement the suggested changes.

INFORMATIONAL-03	Use safeTransferFrom()	Fixed at <u>6d0060</u>
------------------	------------------------	------------------------

## Description

The **WrappedERC721Impl** contract currently has a **redeem** function that transfers NFTs from the contract to the burner. However, it does not have a check in place to ensure that if the burner is a contract, it is properly prepared to receive the NFTs. This could result in the NFTs being lost forever.

# Recommendation

It is recommended to use the **safeTransferFrom()** function instead of **transferFrom()**.

The QuoteHandler contract includes a <u>comment</u> that has a complex structure, making it difficult to understand its meaning at first glance. The comment is as follows:

```
// If the oracle address is set, the LTV can only be set to a value > 1 (undercollateralized)
// when there is a specified whitelist authority address.
// Otherwise, the LTV must be set to a value <= 100% (overcollateralized).</pre>
```

This comment represents a conditional tree structure that is not immediately apparent from the comment alone. The conditional tree is:

```
if (onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.oracleAddr != address(0)) {
   if (onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.whitelistAuthority == address(0)) {
     if (onChainQuote.quoteTuples[k].loanPerCollUnitOrLtv > Constants.BASE) {
        return false;
     } else {
        // it is fine
     }
   } else {
        // LTV is any
   }
} else {
     // loanPerCollUnit is any
}
```

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to revise and clarify the comment to explicitly convey the conditional logic.

INFORMATIONAL-05 Cache state variables Fixed at <u>da738f</u>

#### **Description**

Reading from state variables can be an expensive operation, and there are several places in the codebase where state variables could be cached to optimize gas costs and improve performance. The following locations can benefit from caching state variables:

- 1. Cache vaultAddr
  - CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L165
  - o CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L195
  - o CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L203
- 2. Use \_liqGaugeAddr instead of liqGaugeAddr
  - CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L56
- 3. Use msg.sender instead of vaultAddr
  - BaseCompartment.sol#L60
- 4. Use msg.sender instead of vaultAddr
  - BaseCompartment.sol#L60

# Recommendation

It is recommended to cache the state variables at the specified locations.

The current codebase contains potential instances of redundant event emissions in multiple locations:

- 1. **setAllowedTokensForCompartment():AllowedTokensForCompartmentUpdated** The code does not include a check to verify if the allowances were actually modified.
- 2. **updateBorrowerWhitelist():BorrowerWhitelistUpdated** There is no validation performed to confirm if the length of the whitelist is zero before emitting the event.
- 3. addSigners():AddedSigners Similar to the previous case, the code lacks a length check for the signers before emitting the event.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement the following checks before emitting the respective events.

**INFORMATIONAL-07** 

Improper interestRatePctInBase checks

Fixed at <u>5aa38e</u>

#### **Description**

In <u>DataTypesPeerToPeer.sol</u> at line <u>35</u>, the **interestRatePctInBase** variable is defined to allow a value of **-BASE**. However, there are several places in the codebase where this value is not properly checked:

- QuoteHandler.sol#L368 It should be int(Constants.BASE) < instead of int(Constants.BASE) <=.
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L432 It should be if (\_interestRateFactor < 0) { instead of if (\_interestRateFactor <= 0) {.

# Recommendation

It is recommended to make the following changes.

**INFORMATIONAL-08** 

Incomplete whitelisting for a block | P2Peer

Fixed at <u>f73d21</u>

# **Description**

Within the **AddressRegistry** contract, the function **isWhitelistedBorrower()** indicates whether an address is currently whitelisted. However, when inspecting **claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus()**, we <u>observe</u> that while the whitelist status can be claimed for the current block, **isWhitelistedBorrower()** would still return false for this block.

# Recommendation

It is recommended to adjust to consider the block timestamp as part of the whitelist validation. Here's a suggested code revision:

#### returr

\_borrowerWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][borrower] >= block.timestamp;



The **QuoteHandler** contract includes a function named **\_isValidOnChainQuote** that contains various checks for **onChainQuote** objects. However, not of them are applied throughout the entire flow for **offChainQuote** objects:

```
if (
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.maxLoan == 0 ||
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.minLoan >
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.maxLoan
) {
    return false;
}
```

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to add the necessary checks to the flow for **offChainQuote** as well. This will help maintain consistency and ensure that the appropriate validations are performed for both types of quotes.

**INFORMATIONAL-10** 

Opportunities for gas optimizations: extended v2 | P2Peer

Fixed at 6da731

# **Description**

- 1. Redundant staticcall: It could be cached:
  - o UniV2Chainlink.sol#L109 it is constantly equal to 18
- 2. Avoiding Redundant Defaults: Setting variables to their default values may not be necessary and could be avoided to optimize gas consumption:
  - o CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L169

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement the suggested changes.

There are several places that could theoretically revert because of overflow in your codebase. They include:

- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L419
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L436
- BaseCompartment.sol#L46
- CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L112
- CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L191
- CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L209
- CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol#L223
- GLPStakingCompartment.sol#L46
- OlympusOracle.sol#L55
- ChainlinkBasic.sol#L62
- UniV2Chainlink.sol#L70
- UniV2Chainlink.sol#L106
- WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L61

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to use OpenZeppelin's **Math.mulDiv()** function that allows 512-bit math.

INFORMATIONAL-12

Unnecessary expressions/variables v3 | P2Peer

Fixed at <u>02095d</u>

## **Description**

There are several unnecessary expressions or variables in your codebase. They include:

- ERC20Wrapper.sol#L93 addressRegistry != address(0) &&
- ERC721Wrapper.sol#L99 addressRegistry != address(0) &&
- <u>LenderVaultImpl.sol#L399</u> !\_addressRegistry.isWhitelistedERC20(tokens[i]) (token could be whitelisted but then removed from the list)

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove these unnecessary expressions or variables.

INFORMATIONAL-13

Zero address check for recipient

Fixed at 9cebc0

## **Description**

There are no zero address checks for the **recipient** variable in the following functions:

- WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L54 redeem()
- WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L47 redeem()

## Recommendation

It is recommended to add zero address checks for the recipient variable in these functions to ensure the validity of the recipient address.



There are several unnecessary expressions or variables in your codebase. They include:

- <u>TwapGetter.sol#L54-L56</u> (, tick, lastIndex, , , , ) = IUniswapV3Pool(uniswapV3Pool).slotO();
- FundingPoolImpl.sol#L23 mapping(address => uint256) public subscriptionUnlockTime;

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove these unnecessary expressions or variables.

**INFORMATIONAL-15** 

Issues with TwapGetter implementation

Fixed at a477b6

## **Description**

During the audit of the **TwapGetter** contract, the following incorrectnesses were identified:

- 1. In the function **getSqrtTwapX96()**, there is a check for preventing overflow of the **twapInterval** variable over **int32** type, as seen in <u>this check</u>. However, this check is currently ineffective and does not provide the intended protection against overflow. As a result, the function may produce incorrect results.
- 2. The function **getPriceFromSqrtPriceX96()** has a potential overflow issue. It does not follow the implementation used by Uniswap in their official repository (<u>OracleLibrary.sol#L49</u>), which could lead to transaction revert.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to address the following issues in the TwapGetter contract:

- 1. Revise the check in the **getSqrtTwapX96()** function to ensure it effectively prevents overflow of the **twapInterval** variable. Thoroughly test the function to verify that it produces accurate results and handles all possible edge cases.
- 2. Align the implementation of the **getPriceFromSqrtPriceX96()** function with the official implementation used by Uniswap. This will help ensure consistent and correct computation of prices and avoid potential overflow issues.



- 1. Iteration Incrementor: Consider using ++i instead of i++ to potentially optimize gas usage:
  - o Factory.sol#L183
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L212
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L590
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L647
- 2. Array Length Caching: Rather than fetching the array length each time, it could be more efficient to cache this value:
  - o Factory.sol#L170
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L202
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L571
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L635
- 3. Avoiding Redundant Defaults: Setting variables to their default values may not be necessary and could be avoided to optimize gas consumption:
  - Factory.sol#L170
  - o LoanProposalImpl.sol#L202
  - LoanProposalImpl.sol#L571
  - LoanProposalImpl.sol#L635
- 4. In LoanProposalImpl.proposeLoanTerms() there's a resetting storage value, which can be the same. It can be optimized by adding if condition. This will save a little bit gas, during the function call.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to implement the suggested changes.

**INFORMATIONAL-17** 

## Redundant Ownable contract implementation

Fixed at 49ee34

## **Description**

Your <u>Ownable</u> contract shares a very similar implementation to the <u>Ownable2Step</u> contract provided by OpenZeppelin. This redundancy can be avoided.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to directly use OpenZeppelin's Ownable2Step contract.

## **INFORMATIONAL-18**

## Unnecessary expressions/variables

Fixed at cfb4af

## Description

There are several unnecessary expressions or variables in your codebase. They include:

- Ownable.sol#L56 \_newOwnerProposal == address(this)
- <u>Factory.sol#L48</u> <u>\_collToken</u> == address(0)
- Factory.sol#L31 Ownable()
- Factory.sol#L136 salt
- FundingPoolImpl.sol#L37 \_factory == address(0)
- <u>LoanProposalImpl.sol#L49</u> <u>\_factory</u> == address(0)
- <u>LoanProposalImpl.sol#L50</u> <u>\_fundingPool</u> == address(0)
- LoanProposalImpl.sol#L174 totalSubscriptions > \_unfinalizedLoanTerms.maxTotalSubscriptions

#### Recommendation

It is recommended remove these unnecessary expressions or variables.



In the LoanProposalImpl contract, the acceptLoanTerms() function includes a <u>conditional check</u>. The purpose of this check appears to be to prevent a borrower from accepting loan terms that were set by the arranger prematurely. However, this condition doesn't function as intended due to a potential front-running issue. In this scenario, the borrower's acceptLoanTerms() transaction could be prioritized over the arranger's proposeLoanTerms() transaction, thereby undermining the effectiveness of the check.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to:

- 1. Eliminate this check, given that it currently doesn't serve its intended purpose effectively.
- 2. Consider adding gap in the check to safeguard the arranger's transaction, as shown in the example below:

```
if (
    block.timestamp <
    lastLoanTermsUpdateTime +
        Constants.LOAN_TERMS_UPDATE_COOL_OFF_PERIOD +
        Constants.GAP
) {
    revert Errors.WaitForLoanTermsCoolOffPeriod();
}</pre>
```



In the **LoanProposalImpl** contract, the **\_repaymentScheduleCheck()** function performs a check on **repaymentSchedule**. It starts iterating from index zero with the **prevDueDate** not set, which can cause the function to revert under specific circumstances. For instance:

```
Constants.LOAN_TERMS_UPDATE_COOL_OFF_PERIOD + Constants.LOAN_EXECUTION_GRACE_PERIOD +
Constants.MIN_TIME_UNTIL_FIRST_DUE_DATE = 2 days + 1 hours
Constants.MIN_TIME_BETWEEN_DUE_DATES = 7 days

block.timestamp = 0;
repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp at (2 days + 1 hours, 7 days)

// will revert
if (
    currDueDate < prevDueDate + Constants.MIN_TIME_BETWEEN_DUE_DATES
) {
    revert Errors.InvalidDueDates();
}
```

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to revise the loop in the check. Below is a code snippet illustrating how to rectify this issue:

```
uint256 prevDueDate = repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp;
if (repaymentSchedule[0].loanTokenDue == 0) {
  revert Errors.LoanTokenDuelsZero();
}
uint256 currDueDate;
for (uint i = 1; i < repaymentSchedule.length; ) {
  if (repaymentSchedule[i].loanTokenDue == 0) {
    revert Errors.LoanTokenDuelsZero();
  }
  currDueDate = repaymentSchedule[i].dueTimestamp;
  if (
    currDueDate < prevDueDate + Constants.MIN_TIME_BETWEEN_DUE_DATES
     revert Errors.InvalidDueDates();
  prevDueDate = currDueDate;
  unchecked {
    i++;
  }
}
```

Within the **Factory** contract, the function **isWhitelistedLender()** indicates whether an address is currently whitelisted. However, when inspecting **claimLenderWhitelistStatus()**, we <u>observe</u> that while the whitelist status can be claimed for the current block, **isWhitelistedLender()** would still return false for this block.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to adjust to consider the block timestamp as part of the whitelist validation. Here's a suggested code revision:

#### return

\_lenderWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][lender] >= block.timestamp;

INFORMATIONAL-22

## Redundant expressions/variables

Fixed at ac3f28

## **Description**

There are several redundant expressions or variables in your codebase. They include:

- AddressRegistry.sol#L30 address public quotePolicyManager;
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L417-L419 function totalNumSigners() external view returns (uint256)

#### Recommendation

It is recommended remove these redundant expressions or variables.

INFORMATIONAL-23

Add pagination to getFullOnChainQuoteHistory()

Fixed at ac3f28

## **Description**

There are functions that could revert because of an out-of-gas error:

• QuoteHandler.sol#L295 - getFullOnChainQuoteHistory()

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to add pagination to these functions to avoid potential out-of-gas errors.

INFORMATIONAL-24

#### Redundant option to set allow All Pairs to false

Fixed at ac3f28

## Description

Within the **setGlobalPolicy()** function, there exists an option to set the global policy with the **allowAllPairs** parameter set to **false**. However, considering the flow of the **isAllowed()** function, if **globalPolicy.allowAllPairs** is **false**:

- 1. When a **pairPolicy** is present, it takes precedence.
- 2. When no pairPolicy is present, a given quote is disallowed.

Consequently, the utilization of globalPolicy becomes obsolete when allowAllPairs is set to false.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to conduct a review of the **globalPolicy** workflow, followed by appropriate adjustments. For instance, consider removing the **allowAllPairs** parameter from **globalPolicy**.



In AddressRegistry.sol there is view function **isWhitelistedBorrower** that checks if a borrower is whitelisted. If we call this function when **block.timestamp == \_borrowerWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][borrower]**, it will return false. But in function **claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus** we can update whitelist status until **block.timestamp** and it will pass <u>all checks</u>.

#### Recommendation

We recommend unifying this check and changing

\_borrowerWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][borrower] > block.timestamp; to block.timestamp; to block.timestamp;

Alternatively, you can forbid updating the whitelist status for the current **block.timestamp**.

INFORMATIONAL-	Gas	Partially fixed at
26	optimizations	https://github.com/mysofinance/v2/commit/ac3f28fca0637a46d57a73

## **Description**

- 1. Use ++i instead of i++
- ERC20Wrapper.sol#L80
- WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L40
- WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L65
- ERC721Wrapper.sol#L92
- WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L36
- WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L58
- WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L65
- AddressRegistry.sol#L105 here better to use unchecked {++i}
- AddressRegistry.sol#L150
- AddressRegistry.sol#L271
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L85
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L261
- QuoteHandler.sol#L272
  - 2. Caching array length
- ERC20Wrapper.sol#L54
- WrappedERC20Impl.sol#L57
- ERC721Wrapper.sol#L53
- ERC721Wrapper.sol#L71
- WrappedERC721Impl.sol#L48-49
- AddressRegistry.sol#L105
- AddressRegistry.sol#L142
- AddressRegistry.sol#L258
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L65
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L251
- LenderVaultImpl.sol#L269
- QuoteHandler.sol#L261
- QuoteHandler.sol#L349

#### Recommendation

We recommend optimizing the following areas.

In the provided function, it is advisable to include additional checks to ensure that **newOwner** is not equal to **owner** and **newOwner** is not equal to 0.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding two checks in **function**. Example:

```
function _newOwnerProposalCheck(
   address _newOwnerProposal
) internal view virtual {
   if (
        _newOwnerProposal == address(this) II _newOwnerProposal == _newOwner II
        _newOwnerProposal == _owner II _newOwnerProposal == address(0)
  ) {
      revert Errors.InvalidNewOwnerProposal();
   }
}
```

INFORMATIONAL-28

## Improper Check of Number of signatures

Fixed at f73d21

## **Description**

In function <u>areValidSignatures()</u> we have check of length for v array and **minNumOfSigners()** variable from Vault contract. But quote will be valid with more than minimum number of signers.

## Recommendation

We recommend changing conditions to:

```
if (
    v.length != r.length ||
    v.length != s.length ||
    v.length < ILenderVaultImpl(lenderVault).minNumOfSigners()
) {
    return false;
}</pre>
```

There is no check in **updateOnChainQuote** to verify if **newOnChainQuote** has already been added, unlike the **addOnChainQuote** function which includes such a check.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding check, for example:

```
if (!isOnChainQuoteFromVault[onChainQuoteHash]) {
    revert Errors.UnknownOnChainQuote();
}
isOnChainQuoteFromVault[onChainQuoteHash] = false;
emit OnChainQuoteDeleted(lenderVault, onChainQuoteHash);
onChainQuoteHash = _hashOnChainQuote(newOnChainQuote);
if(isOnChainQuoteFromVault[onChainQuoteHash]) { <-- add this
    revert Errors.OnChainQuoteAlreadyAdded();
}
isOnChainQuoteFromVault[onChainQuoteHash] = true;
emit OnChainQuoteAdded(lenderVault, newOnChainQuote, onChainQuoteHash);</pre>
```

**INFORMATIONAL-30** 

Insufficient check in \_isValidOnChainQuote

Fixed at 227a2c

## **Description**

As stated in the comments

```
// If the oracle address is set, the LTV can only be set to a value > 1 (undercollateralized)
// when there is a specified whitelist authority address.
// Otherwise, the LTV must be set to a value <= 100% (overcollateralized).</pre>
```

However, there is no check implemented for the "Otherwise" case, where the LTV must be set to a value less than or equal to 100% (overcollateralized). "Oracle address setted" and "specifed whitelist authority address" case handled correctly.

#### Recommendation

We recommend making the comments and code consistent, adding check for the "Otherwise" case.

INFORMATIONAL-31 Redundant variable in \_checkTokensAndCompartmentWhitelist signature

Fixed at 4c36a3

## **Description**

There is address \_addressRegistry in the calldata, but addressRegistry is immutable and may not be pushed to the calldata

#### Recommendation

We recommend erasing **\_addressRegistry** from signature. For example:

```
function _checkTokensAndCompartmentWhitelist(
    address collToken,
    address loanToken,
    address compartmentImpl
) internal view {
    IAddressRegistry registry = IAddressRegistry(addressRegistry);
```

**INFORMATIONAL-32** 

LoanProposalImpl has an unreasonable limit for arrangerFee

Fixed at <u>7929f8</u>

## Description

LoanProposalImpl initialize requires that arrangerFee must be in range

[Constants.MIN\_ARRANGER\_FEE, Constants.MAX\_ARRANGER\_FEE] <u>L56-L57</u>. An upperbound check is reasonable, because **Myso** protects users from unfair fees, but lower bounds check is unreasonable because the arranger can have rewards in other protocols. So, the arranger readies to make work without the arranger fee. It makes the proposal more attractive to lenders and borrowers.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the lower bound check for arrangerFee

**INFORMATIONAL-33** 

Empty lenders for updateLenderWhitelist | P2Pool

Fixed at 6ab0ef

#### **Description**

Factory updateLenderWhitelist allows updating the whitelist for whitelistAuthority. However, if address[] calldata lenders is empty, the function doesn't do useful work, wasting time and gas <u>L170</u>. In addition, the function logs **LenderWhitelistUpdated** without a real updating whitelist <u>L186</u>.

```
function updateLenderWhitelist(
   address[] calldata lenders,
   uint256 whitelistedUntil
) external {
   for (uint i = 0; i < lenders.length; ) { // <-- do nothing with empty lenders
   ...
   }
   emit LenderWhitelistUpdated(msg.sender, lenders, whitelistedUntil);
   // ^-- emit event without update
}</pre>
```

## Recommendation

We recommend adding a guard check for empty lenders

Sometimes users make mistakes and transfer tokens to the wrong address. Participants can wrap ERC20/ERC721 tokens using ERC721Wrapper createWrappedToken <u>L36</u>, ERC20Wrapper createWrappedToken <u>L37</u> directly. If the wrapper contains a token on its own balance, any participant can call createWappedToken and pass minter == wrapper\_contract\_addess and create a wrapped token.

#### Recommendation

We recommended extending minter address checks <u>L42</u>, <u>L43</u>

```
if (minter == address(0) | | minter == address(this)) {
    revert Errors.InvalidAddress();
}
```

INFORMATIONAL-35

Interfaces don't contain methods of implementation

Fixed at d3d85f

## **Description**

Smart contract interfaces help developers integrate the protocol without diving into the implementation. The preferred approach is adding all public variables and functions to the interface. Developers also can use all function contracts. So, developers can use all the functional contracts.

#### Recommendation

We recommended extending interfaces:

- IERC20Wrapper add function wrappedErc20Impl, addressRegistry, tokensCreated
- IERC20Wrapper add function wrappedErc20Impl, addressRegistry, tokensCreated
- IAddressRegistry add function erc721Wrapper, erc20Wrapper

**INFORMATIONAL-36** 

Own implementation for common patterns

Fixed at f15cd1

#### **Description**

AddressRegistry has a custom implementation of Initializable pattern L22, L45, L65, L368. However, other contracts use @openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/utils/Initializable.sol implementation, and AddressRegistry can use @openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/utils/Initializable.sol implementation for its own initialization.

#### Recommendation

We recommend using @openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/utils/Initializable.sol for AddressRegistry



Myso protocol has set functions, which deny setting the same parameters. For example

- AddressRegistry setWhitelistState <u>L109</u>, <u>L329</u>
- Factory setArrangerFeeSplit L121
- LenderVaultImpl setMinNumOfSigners <u>L242</u>
- and others.

However, we found several set functions, which alloy setting the same parameters:

- AddressRegistry setAllowedTokensForCompartment allow install allowTokensForCompartment flag <u>L143-L151</u>
- BorrowerGateway setProtocolFee allow install \_newFee <u>L201-L203</u>

Re-installing the same parameters wastes gas and time, emits incorrect set/update events. It can also be avoided by checking the current state of the contract.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding the same value checks for setAllowedTokensForCompartment, setProtocolFee functions

INFORMATIONAL-38

#### UnlockCollateral lock collateral

Fixed at 194498

#### **Description**

If a borrower has a defaulted loan, a lender may unlock collateral using **LenderValutImpl unlockCollateral**. Unlock amount for a single loan is calculated as follows.

unlockAmount = ((\_loan.initRepayAmount - \_loan.amountRepaidSoFar) \* \_loan.initCollAmount) / \_loan.initRepayAmount;

If unlockAmount > 0 therefore

(\_loan.initRepayAmount - \_loan.amountRepaidSoFar) \* \_loan.initCollAmount >= \_loan.initRepayAmount.

In case, if a borrower returns almost a loan, but unlockAmount may be 0.

```
borrower take loan
```

collToken.decimals = 6

loanToken.decimals = 6

initCollAmount = 1\_000 \* 10^6

initRepayAmount = 1\_000\_000\_000 \* 10^6

repayTokenPerCollateral = 1\_000\_000\_000 \* 10^6 / 1\_000 \* 10^6 = 1\_000\_000

leftLoanAmountReequiredMoreThan = 1\_000\_000 - 1 = 1\_000\_000 - 1 = 999\_999

borrower returns almost loan in 1 repayment

amountRepaidSoFar = 1\_000\_000\_000 \* 10^6 - 999\_999

lockedCollateral = 1

the loan was defaulted because the borrower did not paid left amount

lender try unlockCollateral

 $unlockAmount = (1\_000\_000\_000 * 10^6 - 1\_000\_000\_000 * 10^6 + 999\_999) * (1\_000 * 10^6) / (1\_000\_000\_000 * 10^6) = 0$ 

The loan proposal can determine any exchange rate repayTokenPerCollateral and it may affect to unlock amount.

## Recommendation

We recommend calculating unlock amounts and returning left amounts

ChainlinkBasic constructor <u>L20</u> allow pass address(0) for baseCurrency. However, the constructor has a non-0 check <u>L38-L40</u> for baseCurrencyUnit. So, we assume that baseCurrency can't be address(0)

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding zero address check for baseCurrency

**INFORMATIONAL-40** 

**IStakingHelper contains function from Curve and GLP contracts** 

Fixed at c9bcca

## **Description**

**IStakingHelper** contains functions from Curve and GLP staking contracts. Although the functions are used separately for **CurveLPStakingCompartment**, using common interface may lead to bug in the future.

#### Recommendation

We recommend splitting IStakingHelper to ICurveStakingHelper and IGLPStakingHelper interfaces.

**INFORMATIONAL-41** 

#### outOfGas for tokensCreated

Fixed at f15a58

#### **Description**

**IERC20Wrapper** and **IERC721Wrapper** create wrapper token contracts and save every address to address[] internal \_tokensCreated; <u>L52</u>, <u>L23</u>. However, At the current moment, the block limit is **30M** gas, so we can create around **11K** addresses before the block limit. Moreover, **AddressRegistry** allow installing only 1 wrapper contract for one type of token.

```
interface IERC20Wrapper / IERC721Wrapper {
  function tokensCreated() external view returns (address[] memory);
  // outOfGas if wrapper more 11K created addresses
}
```

## Recommendation

We recommended adding an additional method for getting by index or pagination.

**IBaseCompartment getReclaimableBalance** has 3 arguments, but all implementations used only **collTokenAddr** parameter <u>L34-L40</u>, <u>L114-L125</u>, <u>L51-L57</u>, <u>L79-L85</u>.

```
function getReclaimableBalance(
    uint256 initCollAmount, // <-- unused for all implementation
    uint256 amountReclaimedSoFar, // <-- unused for all implementation
    address collTokenAddr
) external view returns (uint256 reclaimableBalance);
```

#### Recommendation

We recommended removing initCollAmount, amountReclaimedSoFar. So, another implementation can get DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan from vaultAddr by loanldx

**INFORMATIONAL-43** 

Redundant calculations for reclaimCollAmount

Fixed at 78d9fd

## **Description**

reclaimCollAmount calculates the following way <u>L187-L195</u>.

```
if (leftRepaymentAmount == loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount) {
    reclaimCollAmount = SafeCast.toUint128(maxReclaimableCollAmount);
} else {
    reclaimCollAmount = SafeCast.toUint128(
        (maxReclaimableCollAmount *
            uint256(loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount)) /
            uint256(leftRepaymentAmount)
    );
}
```

So, if (leftRepaymentAmount == loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount) than (loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount / leftRepaymentAmount) == 1.

## Recommendation

We recommend simplifying calculation



The collateral token amount, that the borrower sends to the contract is the value of

BorrowTransferInstructions::collSendAmount. We can represent

collSendAmount = initCollAmount + upfrontFee + expectedTransferFee, where:

- 1. initCollAmount is the value that the borrower receives on full repayment
- 2. upfrontFee value is taken from the borrower but counted as collateral to loan token value
- 3. **expectedTransferFee = protocolFeeAmount + tokenFee**, where **tokenFee** is the native token fee. **expectedTransferFee** does not count in loan token collateral value.

```
loanAmount = (loanPerCollUnit * (collSendAmount - expectedTransferFee)) /
  (10 ** IERC20Metadata(generalQuoteInfo.collToken).decimals());
```

At the same time, **upfrontFee** is calculated as a fee on the full value of the **collSendAmount**, where **expectedTransferFee** is not meant to be the collateral value.

```
upfrontFee = (borrowInstructions.collSendAmount * quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase) /
    Constants.BASE;
```

Let trueColl = upfrontFee + initCollAmount, then

IoanAmount = (IoanPerCollUnit \* trueColl) / (10 \*\* IERC20Metadata(collToken).decimals())

```
initCollAmount = collSendAmount - upfrontFee - expectedTransferFee
initCollAmount = collSendAmount - (collSendAmount * upfrontFeePctInBase) - expectedTransferFee
initCollAmount = collSendAmount * (1 - upfrontFeePctInBase) - expectedTransferFee
initCollAmount = (trueColl + expectedTransferFee) * (1 - upfrontFeePctInBase) - expectedTransferFee
initCollAmount = trueColl * (1 - upfrontFeePctInBase) - expectedTransferFee * upfrontFeePctInBase
```

This means the borrower will receive **expectedTransferFee** \* **upfrontFeePctInBase** less collateral token at the end of the loan. Effectively paying extra protocol fee and token transfer fee (borrower pays for the vault's transfers) but to the vault owner.

Same could be applied to the protocolFeeAmount, ideally protocol fee should'nt depend on token transfer fee.

#### Recommendation

We recommend calculating the upfrontFee without including expectedTransferFee value.



In the getAbsoluteLoanTerms function \_finalLoanAmount value is calculated as

And used after in calculation of uint128 loanTokenDue.

The function **getAbsoluteLoanTerms** returns **\_finalLoanAmount** as **uint256**, where **finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl** saves that value into the **uint256 finalLoanAmount** field in the **DynamicLoanProposalData** structure.

## Recommendation

We recommend removing SafeCast.toUint128 for the \_finalLoanAmount value.

**INFORMATIONAL-46** 

## Wrapped ERC redeem with allowance

Fixed at d3d85f

## **Description**

A user with allowance to the **WrappedERC20** or the **WrappedERC721** would have to transfer wrapped tokens to himself before redeeming underlying tokens.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding redeeming functionality to users with allowance.

```
function redeem(uint256 amount, address user) external nonReentrant {
  if (amount == 0 II balanceOf(user) < amount) {
    revert Errors.InvalidSendAmount();
  }
  if (user != msg.sender)
    _spendAllowance(user, msg.sender, amount)
    ^-- checks if amount is sufficient (with max allowance)</pre>
```



Due to the off-chain nature, the quote parameters cannot be checked beforehand. There are necessary checks (explicit and implicit) along the borrow execution flow, except for the **GeneralQuoteInfo::maxLoan** zero value. Hence, the off-chain quote signers can create a quote with values **maxLoan** = **0** and **minLoan** = **0**, which in some cases can lead to issue **H-2**.

#### Recommendation

We recommend implementing a fix from issue H-2, which adds an implicit check for maxLoan != 0.

```
if (
    loanAmount < borrowInstructions.minLoanAmount ||
    loanAmount == 0
) {
    revert Errors.TooSmallLoanAmount();
}</pre>
```

**INFORMATIONAL-48** 

## Wrapped redeem optimization

Fixed at d3d85f

## **Description**

The WrappedERC20Impl::redeem and WrappedERC721Impl::redeem function executes in the order:

- 1. Check
- 2. Transfers
- 3. Burn

Although this function has a non-reentrant modifier. It is beneficial to follow the Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern to reduce gas consumption by moving **\_burn** to the beginning of the **redeem** and lifting checks that are present in the internal **\_burn** function. (-1 SLOAD in balance map)

## Recommendation

We recommend moving **\_burn** function to the beginning of the function.

For WrappedERC20Impl:

```
if (amount == 0 ) {
    revert Errors.InvalidSendAmount();
}
uint256 currTotalSupply = totalSupply(); //<- save `totalSupply`

_burn(msg.sender, amount); // <- has `accountBalance >= amount` check
...
```

#### For WrappedERC721Impl:

```
_burn(msg.sender, 1);
...
```

While borrowing, the user will input data via the **BorrowTransferInstructions** structure which includes fields **callbackAddr** and **callbackData**. At the same, the repay structure **LoanRepayInstructions** is missing these callback fields.

```
function borrowWithOnChainQuote(
   address lenderVault,
   DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions
   calldata borrowInstructions,
   DataTypesPeerToPeer.OnChainQuote calldata onChainQuote,
   uint256 quoteTupleIdx
)

function repay(
   DataTypesPeerToPeer.LoanRepayInstructions
   calldata loanRepayInstructions,
   address vaultAddr,
   address callbackAddr,
   bytes calldata callbackData
)
```

#### Recommendation

We recommend moving the callbackAddr and callbackData fields to the structure.

**INFORMATIONAL-50** 

#### SLOAD reduction via existing memory

Fixed at 704c5d

## Description

Gas consumption could be reduced by replacing storage variables with existing memory copies.

- 1. In LenderVaultImpl::unlockCollateral storage \_loan.collToken to collToken
- 2. In LenderVaultImpl::processQuote move loanId before the if expression and pass it to the \_createCollCompartment function instead of \_loans.length
- 3. In CurveLPStakingCompartment::stake storage liqGaugeAddr to \_liqGaugeAddr
- 4. In BaseCompartment::\_unlockCollToVault make a vaultAddr memory copy.

## Recommendation

We recommend using memory copies of storage variables.

INFORMATIONAL-51 Oracle whitelist check Fixed at <u>49ee34</u>

#### **Description**

Currently, the oracle whitelist state is checked in the LenderVaultImpl::\_getLoanAndRepayAmount which is a part of the LenderVaultImpl::processQuote function. Although oracleAddr is the part of GeneralQuoteInfo structure and other whitelist checks are executed in the QuoteHandler::\_checkSenderAndGeneralQuoteInfo.

#### Recommendation

We recommend moving oracle whitelist verification to the \_checkSenderAndGeneralQuoteInfo function.

The **repay** function gets loan information via a **loan(targetId)** call to the vault. After, with **loan** information, it calls the vault's view function **validateRepayInfo**, which gets all needed variables from calldata. Furthermore, it makes sense to have repay information logic in the borrower's entry point.

#### Recommendation

We recommend moving the validateRepayInfo function as public to the BorrowerGateway to avoid redundant external calls.

INFORMATIONAL-53

#### Verification code duplication

Fixed at 49ee34

#### **Description**

The verification of the **lenderVault** and its owner could be implemented via an internal function:

```
if (
   !!AddressRegistry(_addressRegistry).isRegisteredVault(lenderVault)
) {
   revert Errors.UnregisteredVault();
}
if (ILenderVaultImpl(lenderVault).owner() != msg.sender) {
   revert Errors.InvalidSender();
}
```

The same code is present in the following functions:

- 1. addOnChainQuote
- 2. updateOnChainQuote
- 3. deleteOnChainQuote
- 4. incrementOffChainQuoteNonce
- 5. invalidateOffChainQuote

#### Recommendation

We recommend making the new internal function for the vault owner verification.

INFORMATIONAL-54

#### Address to uint160 cast

Fixed at f73d21

## **Description**

Casting to int is redundant as address datatype can be used in less-than or greater-than comparisons.

- 1. QuoteHandler::\_areValidSignatures
- 2. ERC20Wrapper::createWrappedToken
- 3. ERC721Wrapper::createWrappedToken

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing redundant **address->int** castings.

The internal \_withdrawCollFromGauge function reads liqGaugeAddr from storage, although liqGaugeAddr is <u>loaded into</u> the memory before \_withdrawCollFromGauge invocation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend passing memory **liqGaugeAddr** to the internal function instead of reading it twice.

INFORMATIONAL-56 Unindexed Event topics Fixed at <u>227a2c</u>

#### **Description**

QuoteHandler events are missing indexed fields.

IQuoteHandler::OnChainQuoteAdded IQuoteHandler::OnChainQuoteDeleted IQuoteHandler::OnChainQuoteInvalidated

IQuoteHandler::OffChainQuoteNonceIncremented IQuoteHandler::OffChainQuoteInvalidated

IQuoteHandler::OnChainQuoteUsed IQuoteHandler::OffChainQuoteUsed

It would be beneficial to the protocol to mark fields like **lenderVault** and **ChainQuoteHash** as indexed for easy on-chain event filtering.

The same could be applied to events:

ILenderVaultImpl::QuoteProcessed with borrower & loan fields.

IBorrowerGateway::Borrowed with loan field.

IAddressRegistry::AllowedTokensForCompartmentUpdated with compartmentImpl field and

IAddressRegistry::BorrowerWhitelistUpdated with whitelistAuthority field.

#### Recommendation

We recommend marking these event fields as indexed.

INFORMATIONAL-57	Immutable to memory	Fixed at <u>704c5d</u>
------------------	---------------------	------------------------

#### **Description**

Storing immutables variable in memory consumes more gas, than using just immutable variables.

For immutable addressRegistry variable:

- 1. \_checkSenderAndGeneralQuoteInfo
- 2. addOnChainQuote
- 3. updateOnChainQuote

## Recommendation

We recommend using immutable variables without storing them in memory.



There are several instances storage variables are read multiple times in the same execution context.

- 1. In **deposit** storage variable **depositToken**.
- 2. In subscribe storage variable factory.
- 3. In executeLoanProposal storage variables factory and depositToken.
- 4. In acceptLoanTerms storage variable lastLoanTermsUpdateTime
- 5. In canSubscribe and canUnsubscribe storage variable dynamicData.status.
- 6. In **finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl** storage variable **\_loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length** used instead of existing memory variable **\_finalizedLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length**

#### Recommendation

We recommend saving storage variables to the memory if it is used in multiple instances.

INFORMATIONAL-59

#### **Redundant MSTORE**

Fixed at d9bc59

## **Description**

In the repay function the <u>memory variable\_repayment.collTokenDuelfConverted\_is saved into the new memory variable</u> collTokenDuelfAllConverted. Removing an extra copy of the memory variable will reduce the gas consumption of the function.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing redundant copy of memory variable.

**INFORMATIONAL-60** 

## Redundant quoteTuples check

Fixed at e3b77b

## **Description**

The \_isValidOnChainQuote checks if items in quoteTuples are valid and/or define swap quote.

The second check guarantees that if there is swap quote, then it is the only one in the list.

```
if (isSwapCurr && onChainQuote.quoteTuples.length > 1) {
   return false;
}
```

But the third checks if all items in the list are the same type (swap or default).

```
if (k > 0 && isSwap != isSwapCurr) {
    return false;
}
```

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the third check in the for loop.

The **redeem** and **sweepTokensLeftAfterRedeem** functions are guarded with the **nonReentrant** modifier. At the same time, the custom **\_mutex** guard is introduced.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the \_mutex guard.

**INFORMATIONAL-62** 

Misleading comment for Ownable2Step inheritance

Fixed at <u>864469</u>

## **Description**

The contracts with **Ownable2Step** inheritance override the **transferOwnership** function with additional checks. Although it says that the input value will be checked against **address(0)** in the parent contract that is not true. In this particular case, the **super** keyword will only call **Ownable2Step::transferOwnership** (no zero check), not **Ownable::transferOwnership** (where zero check exists).

- 1. AddressRegistry
- 2. LenderVaultImpl
- 3. Factory

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the comment regarding zero-check.

**INFORMATIONAL-63** 

Gas optimization via unchecked

Fixed at 386e3f

#### **Description**

The **subscribe** function can be slightly optimized with <u>the balance update</u>.

balanceOf[msg.sender] = \_balanceOf - effectiveSubscriptionAmount;

Where effectiveSubscriptionAmount = min(maxSubscriptionAmount, \_freeSubscriptionSpace) and maxSubscriptionAmount <= \_balanceOf.

#### Recommendation

We recommend updating the balance without underflow checks.

INFORMATIONAL-64

Redundant isLoan field in TransferInstructions struct

Fixed at <u>f2d51e</u>

## Description

Field **isLoan** is only used in function <u>processQuote()</u> and is needed for emitting events. In **BorrowerGateway** there is no logic based on this variable.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove isLoan field from TransferInstructions struct and calculate isLoan locally in LenderVaultImpl.



In function <u>getLoanAndRepayAmount()</u> there are calculations of **interestRateFactor**, **repayAmount** variables which is redundant when it is **swap** quote.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to check for **swap** quote to reduce calculations.

INFORMATIONAL-66

Adjust subscription amount instead of revert

Fixed at 2d67a5

## **Description**

At <u>Line 119</u> subscription amount is checked, if it exceeds the **loanTerms.maxTotalSubscriptions**. Instead of revert, this amount can be recalculated not to go over the limit.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to recalculate necessary amount to meet the limit.

**INFORMATIONAL-67** 

Checking protocol fee for zero

Fixed at fe8c3a

## **Description**

At <u>Line 199</u> **protocolFeeShare** is calculated based on **arrangerFeeSplit**. By protocol design **arrangerFeeSplit** could be zero based on conditions at <u>Lines 119 - 124</u>. In this case **protocolFeeShare** also will zero, and transfer with zero amount may occur.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to check protocolFeeShare or arrangerFeeSplit for zero value before any transfers.

**INFORMATIONAL-68** 

Optimizing protocol logic when repayment is fully converted

Fixed at <u>335962</u>

## Description

During conversion phase of repayment whole loan can be converted. In this case it is not optimal for **borrower** to call **repay** function, because it only updates **currentRepaymentIdx**, and if it is last repayment, transfers collateral for default. Additional condition can be provided in function <u>exerciseConversion()</u> to check if loan is fully converted.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to add check if loan is fully converted. If it happened, then **currentRepaymentIdx** should be incremented and if it is last repayment, then collateral tokens for default should be returned to **borrower**.



In function <a href="mailto:claimRepayment()">claimRepayment()</a> there is no check for current state.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to add state check (LOAN\_DEPLOYED or DEFAULTED) for additional safety.

**INFORMATIONAL-71** 

Unchanged value of \_lenderExercisedConversion

Fixed at df0123

## **Description**

Inside if - statement at <u>Lines 498 - 506</u> conversion is handled, but at the end of the function <u>\_lenderExercisedConversion</u> is not set to **true**.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to change value of **\_lenderExercisedConversion** to have precise state.

INFORMATIONAL-72

Restricting length of repayments

Fixed at 87f47e

## **Description**

In function <u>repaymentScheduleCheck()</u> repaymentSchedule array is checked for emptiness, but its length is not limited.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to add limit for number of repayments.

INFORMATIONAL-73

Using calldata variable instead of storage one

Fixed at 704c5d

## **Description**

At <u>Line 76</u> **loan.collToken** is used. Before this statement, **loan.collToken** is checked if it equals **collToken** in function params. It is better use **calldata** variable to reduce gas costs.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to use variable from function params instead of **storage** one.

INFORMATIONAL-74

Redundant approve

Fixed at 9af2fe

## **Description**

In function <u>borrowCallback()</u> there are 3 approves. But first approve is redundant, because after swap there is a approve which sets to zero allowance.

Same issue:

- Function repayCallback()
- Function <u>borrowCallback()</u>
- Function repayCallback()

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove first approve because after swap and last approve allowance will already zero.



In function stake() after the deposit at Line 55 allowance is not set to zero.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to set to zero the allowance after a deposit the same way as in callbacks after swap.

## **INFORMATIONAL-76**

## Double whitelist state changing

Fixed at <u>57a424</u>

## **Description**

Function <u>resetAddrState()</u> is used before changing address state. Firstly, it checks if address is wrapper or token manager, then it resets state. After resetting state in functions <u>updateSingletonState()</u> and <u>setWhitelistState()</u> it is set again.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to make separate checks for wrappers and token manager and make only one whitelist state setting to avoid duplication.

**INFORMATIONAL-77** 

#### Code duplication while borrowing

Fixed at 6d0060

## **Description**

Functions <u>borrowWithOffChainQuote()</u> and <u>borrowWithOnChainQuote()</u> have two same inner calls:

ILenderVaultImpl(lenderVault).processQuote() and \_processTransfers().

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to make one internal function with these two inner calls.

## **INFORMATIONAL-78**

## Transfer fee on protocol fee

Fixed at 59b1de

## Description

Protocol supports token with fee on transfers, but at <u>Line 274</u> **protocolFeeAmount** is transferred and there is no check for expected fee on transfer.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to leave a comment if it is intended behavior or to include transfer fees for **protocolFeeAmount** in **expectedTransferFee**.



At <u>Lines 169 - 172</u> **\_loan.expiry** and **\_loan.earliestRepay** are set, but then they are checked. If they don't satisfy the condition, execution reverts. To optimize gas cost in case of error it is better to make checks before assigning values. Same issue:

• Lines 52 - onChainQuoteHash is checked if it already exists, but before it general quote info is checked.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to make general checks before assigning values to data structures or making more precise checks.

**INFORMATIONAL-80** 

#### **QuoteTuple index is not checked**

Fixed at f15cd1

## **Description**

In function <u>checkAndRegisterOnChainQuote()</u> **quoteTupleIdx** is not checked if it exceeds length of **OnChainQuote.quoteTuples** array.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to provide additional check for **quoteTupleIdx**.

**INFORMATIONAL-81** 

#### Parameter minLoan can be zero

Fixed at 6d0060

#### **Description**

In the contract QuoteHandler in the function \_isValidOnChainQuote there are checks that minLoan <= maxLoan && maxLoan != 0. A case when 0 == minLoan < maxLoan, will pass this check but this doesn't make any sense to make a possibility to take zero loan (minLoan == 0).

## Recommendation

Add additional check that **minLoan** != **0** too:

```
if (
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.maxLoan == 0 II
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.minLoan == 0 II <---- new condition
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.minLoan >
    onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.maxLoan
) {
    return false;
}
```

There is a method that can reduce a signature representation from form (v, r, s) that takes place 65 bytes to form (r, vs) that takes place 64 bytes. By reducing the size of a signature, gas consumption for some functions will reduce. More information about this method can be find here.

#### Recommendation

Using ERC-2098 standard for signatures will reduce gas consumption.

INFORMATIONAL-83	Misleading name of a function	Fixed at <u>704c5d</u>
------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------

## **Description**

In the contract **Ownable** there is a function **\_initialize**. Everything would be fine if it is used only inside this contract, but inside derived contracts this function with this name can mislead the reader about it's purpose.

#### Recommendation

Maybe it is better to rename this function to the **\_\_Ownable\_init**, for example.

INFORMATIONAL-84	No function that returns the length of array in a contract	Fixed at <u>954301</u>
------------------	--	------------------------

#### **Description**

In the contracts **LenderVaultImpl**, **ERC20Wrapper**, **ERC721Wrapper**, and **Factory** there are no functions that return the length of global variables of type **address**[]:

- In the contract LenderVaultImpI the global variable signers
- In the contract ERC20Wrapper the global variable tokensCreated
- In the contract ERC721Wrapper the global variable tokensCreated
- In the contract Factory the global variables loanProposals and fundingPools

## Recommendation

Add corresponding functions that returns length of arrays.

INFORMATIONAL-	OpenZeppelin's ECDSA library can create Ethereum Signed	Fixed at	
85	Message	<u>9eaff6</u>	

## Description

In the contract **Factory** in the function **claimLenderWhitelistStatus** there is a **Ethereum Signed Message** <u>creation</u> from **payloadHash** variable. OpenZeppelin's **ECDSA** library can do this using function **toEthSignedMessageHash**.

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to use existing solutions instead of doing all manually.



optimization

https://github.com/mysofinance/v2/commit/ac3f28fca0637a46d57a733

## **Description**

Here are some improvements that can be done, which will reduce gas consumption:

- Inside AddressRegistry contract
  - No need in caching these global variables. Without caching they will be read only once.
  - No need in updating the storage <u>here</u>. Every time after this write, the new value
     DataTypesPeerToPeer.WhitelistState.NOT\_WHITELISTED in this storage slot is always overwritten by another value.
- Inside WrappedERC721Impl contract
  - o Global variables are read multiple times in cycles here and here
- Inside WrappedERC20Impl contract
  - o Global variable is read multiple times in cycle here
- Inside FundingPoolImpl contract
  - o In the function deposit the global variable depositToken is read multiple times
  - In the function subscribe the global variable factory is read multiple times
  - In the function unsubscribe the global variable subscriptionAmountPerLender[msg.sender] is read multiple times
  - In the function executeLoanProposal the global variables factory and depositToken are read multiple times
  - o In the function initialize the argument \_factory is redundant. It's value can be replaced with msg.sender
- Inside LoanProposalImpl contract
  - o In the function initialize the argument \_factory is redundant. It's value can be replaced with msg.sender
  - In the function acceptLoanTerms the global variable lastLoanTermsUpdateTime is read multiple times
  - In the function finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl the variable \_loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length in the cycle can be replaced with \_unfinalizedLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length. Also, the global variable staticData.collToken is read multiple times
  - In the function canSubscribe the global variable dynamicData.status can be read multiple times

## Recommendation

It is better to reduce number of contacts with storage because it is expensive.

**INFORMATIONAL-87** 

Use clone instead of cloneDeterministic to save gas

Fixed at 39b8f3

## **Description**

At <u>Line 55</u> and <u>Line 101</u> OpenZeppelin's <u>cloneDeterministic</u> is used to create LoanProposal and FundingPool contracts accordingly.

There is no need to deploy contract to a deterministic address, as <u>predictDeterministicAddress</u> is never used. Even if it was used, determining final addresses would be complicated by use of array lengths in the salt parameter <u>numLoanProposals</u> and <u>fundingPools.length</u>.

#### Recommendation

We recommend using **clone** instead.



In LoanProposalImpl.intialize() there's a check, that \_unsubscribeGracePeriod is bigger than 1 day, due to value in Constants. But there's no upper bounds. This condition disallow to call rollback(), which is a little bit strange.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding upper bound for \_unsubscribeGracePeriod.

**INFORMATIONAL-89** 

The sufficient condition is always satisfied

Fixed at <u>43355d</u>

#### **Description**

In LoanProposalImpl.finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl() there's a condition, that if status != borrower\_accepted || block.timestamp < lenderInCutOffTime(), but there's no need to check second condition, as it shouldn't be true without setting dynamicData.loanTermsLockedTime, which is set together with BORROWER\_ACCEPTED status in acceptLoanTerms() function.

## Recommendation

We recommend to add some time period in second condition and replace | to &&, or remove second condition at all, cause of it uselessness.

**INFORMATIONAL-90** 

Positive and Negative MysoTokenManager hooks

Fixed at d9bc59

## **Description**

As you've mentioned before, you want to add future tokenomics providing hooks for **MysoTokenManager**. But in current version of contracts, there's only "positive" hooks (for example **subscribe** and **deposit** functions). But there's no similar hooks on "negative" functions, which will lead to abuse system with scenario like:

- 1. Deposit tokens, call hook, for example minting some tokens or points.
- 2. Withdraw tokens, without calling corresponding hook.
- 3. Looping for 1-2 steps.

#### Recommendation

We recommend taking into account hooks only from irreversible functions (for example

LoanProposalImpl.finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl()). Adding additional hooks for withdraw or unsubscribe functions can be bad idea, for example in case something bad will happen with MysoTokenManager users should always have ability to get back their funds, these hooks can revert transactions.



In the function FundingPoolImpl.executeLoanProposal the amounts finalLoanAmount and arrangerFee are taken from loan proposal contracts.

```
function executeLoanProposal(address loanProposal) external {
    if (!IFactory(factory).isLoanProposal(loanProposal)) {
       revert Errors.UnregisteredLoanProposal();
    }
       uint256 arrangerFee,
       uint256 finalLoanAmount, // <-- amount from loanProposal
    ) = ILoanProposalImpl(loanProposal).dynamicData();
    DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanTerms memory loanTerms = ILoanProposalImpl(
       IoanProposal
    ).loanTerms();
    ILoanProposalImpl(loanProposal).checkAndUpdateStatus();
    IERC20Metadata(depositToken).safeTransfer(
       loanTerms.borrower,
       finalLoanAmount // <-- no check that the amount does not exceed the number of subscriptions
    );
    // ...
```

The amounts finalLoanAmount and arrangerFee must satisfy equality

**finalLoanAmount + arrangerFee = subscriptionAmountOf[loanProposal]**, but there is no such check. In case of malicious loan proposal implementation, it is able to provide **finalLoanAmount** equal to funding pool's balance and withdraw all tokens.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding sanity check on arrangerFee and finalLoanAmount:

```
if (arrangerFee + finalLoanAmount != subscriptionAmountOf[loanProposal]) {
    revert Errors.IncorrectLoanAmount();
}
```



In the LoanProposalImpl.proposeLoanTerms there is a check

```
if (
    newLoanTerms.minTotalSubscriptions == 0 II
    newLoanTerms.minTotalSubscriptions >=
    newLoanTerms.maxTotalSubscriptions
) {
    revert Errors.InvalidSubscriptionRange();
}
```

Thus, there is no way to set minTotalSubscriptions = maxTotalSubscriptions. This condition seems to be redundant.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing the redundant condition or leave a comment if it is intended behavior for preventing DOS attacks with small amounts.

INFORMATIONAL-93

Unnecessary expressions/variables v2 | P2Peer

Acknowledged

## **Description**

There are several unnecessary expressions or variables in your codebase. They include:

VoteCompartment.sol#L26 - if (\_delegatee == address(0)) {

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove these unnecessary expressions or variables.

**INFORMATIONAL-94** 

Blacklisted tokens will revert the whole transaction

Acknowledged

#### **Description**

In the contract **WrappedERC20Impl**, the function **redeem()** will revert the whole transaction if some of the redeemable tokens are blacklisted.

## Recommendation

It is recommended to consider this case and use a **try-catch** structure to handle potential reverts caused by blacklisted tokens during the redemption process.

INFORMATIONAL-95

Lack of zero check

Acknowledged

## Description

There is no check that **\_arranger** is not equal to address(0) while there is check for **\_factory** even if it called as **msg.sender** in **createLoanProposal**.

#### Recommendation

We recommend adding zero check for \_arranger.



INFORMATIONAL-96

# Adding a Sweep Function to the redeem Function of Wrapped ERC20

Acknowledged

## **Description**

There is a possibility of tokens being unintentionally sent to this "vault" due to user error or other reasons.

## Recommendation

We recommend adding a **sweep()** function to the WrapERC20 implementation, similar to the one found in UniswapV2. This function will allow the contract to safely recover and transfer any mistakenly sent tokens out of the vault, ensuring that they are returned to their rightful owners or handled appropriately.

**INFORMATIONAL-97** 

#### loans can be defaulted if sequencer down

**Acknowledged** 

## **Description**

L2 chains such as Arbitrum, Optimism, Metis have single centralize transaction sequencer. The Responsibility of sequencer is

- providing transaction confirmations and state updates
- constructing and executing L2 blocks
- submitting user transactions to L1

If sequencer down users can't send transactions via L2, as workaround users can send transactions direct to L1, but this is only available for technical specialists. Also, when sequencer down, we have timeline gaps between

**sequencer down timestamp** and **sequencer up timestamp** for L2 chain. So, borrowers can't repay if sequencer will be down and loan can be expiry. Chainlink provides **UptimeSequencerFeed** contracts for L2. For example, AAVE extends the liquidation grace period positions. Details in <u>AAVE whitepaper page 14</u> Defaulted loans with a high loan amount will have high impact on protocol and borrower.

You can find articles that confirm that the sequencer crashes not so rarely. For example:

https://www.thecoinrepublic.com/2023/06/09/ethereum-l2-network-arbitrum-shuts-down-for-hours-due-to-a-bug/https://thedefiant.io/arbitrum-outage-2

## Recommendation

We recommend:

- adding **UptimeSequencerFeed** contracts for L2. In case, if sequencer down **BorrowerGateway**, **LenderVaultImpl** contracts should shift expiry time or repayment period.
- adding information about additional risks in L2 chains for users if you can't to support UptimeSequencerFeed

**INFORMATIONAL-98** 

#### Redundant re-entrance check

**Acknowledged** 

#### **Description**

The withdraw function has its reentrancy guard flag withdrawEntered which restricts entering <u>any of the compartment</u> <u>functions</u>. It is possible to hijack the flow, but hijacking won't give any advantages to an attacker due to the absence of state changes in the withdraw function. The only state variable present in withdraw is lockedAmounts which is tied to an input token.

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing redundant flag and checks.

The **updateOnChainQuote** function asks for the full structure of **oldOnChainQuote** only to calculate its hash afterward. Same issue:

• Function deleteOnChainQuote()

#### Recommendation

We recommend changing input data from the structure to its hash to save gas.

INFORMATIONAL-100 Pragma of interfaces Acknowledged

#### Description

In all interfaces, there are **0.8.19** or **^0.8.19** versions of solidity in pragmas. The problem is that if someone downloads this repository as an npm package and uses a different version of solidity (even if his version is **>=0.9.0**), he won't be able to use these interfaces in his code. He must copy all required interfaces in his repository and change all pragmas in interfaces.

#### Recommendation

All can be fixed if use >=0.8.0 type of pragma in all interfaces.

For example, Uniswap uses this approach: <u>code</u> and <u>interface</u>. Code has **=0.76** pragma, and interface has **>=0.5.0** pragma. In this case, every project with a version of solidity at least **0.5.0** can import Uniswap's interfaces and use them without modification.

INFORMATIONAL-101 Using ReentrancyGuardUpgradeable contract Acknowledged

## **Description**

In the contracts **WrappedERC721Impl** and **WrappedERC20Impl** the contract **ReentrancyGuard** is used. It is better to use **Upgradeable** versions of contracts when the contract is initializable. The same in the contract **FundingPoolImpl**.

## Recommendation

It is better to replace ReentrancyGuard contract with ReentrancyGuardUpgradeable.

INFORMATIONAL-102 EnumerableSet.Address instead of mappings and arrays Acknowledged

#### Description

In <u>Factory</u> some storage variables are set as a pair array and mapping, in order to make it comfortable. But this way is not practical, you can use **OpenZeppelin.EnumerableSet** instead and write some public view functions, in order to make code more clear and unite pair variables to avoid some possible problems with inconsistency.

## Recommendation

We recommend replacing pair variables with **EnumerableSet** struct.

In the function QuoteHandler.\_isValidOnChainQuoteTuple there is a check, which prohibits quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase == Constants.BASE when other requirements for swap are not met.

```
if (quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase == Constants.BASE) {
   // note: if upfrontFee=100% this corresponds to an outright swap; check other fields are consistent
   if (!isSwap) {
      return (false, isSwap);
   }
}
```

However, there are excessive conditions in **LenderVaultImpl.processQuote**:

```
if (quoteTuple.upfrontFeePctInBase == Constants.BASE) {
    // note: if upfrontFee=100% this corresponds to an outright swap; check that tenor is zero
    if (
        _loan.initCollAmount != 0 II
        quoteTuple.tenor + generalQuoteInfo.earliestRepayTenor != 0 II
        generalQuoteInfo.borrowerCompartmentImplementation != address(0)
    ) { // <--- redundant
        revert Errors.InvalidSwap();
    }
}</pre>
```

#### and in QuoteHandler.\_checkTokensAndCompartmentWhitelist:

```
if (isSwap) {
  if (compartmentImpl != address(0)) { // <-- redundant
    revert Errors.InvalidSwap();
  }
  return;
}</pre>
```

#### Recommendation

We recommend removing redundant checks.

## 7. Appendix B. Slither



## High/High/arbitrary-send-erc20

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_processTransfers(address,address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,uint256)</u> uses arbitrary from in transferFrom:

IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).safeTransferFrom(loan.borrower,collReceiver,collReceiverTransferAmount)

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_processTransfers(address,address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,uint256)</u> uses arbitrary from in transferFrom:

<u>IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).safeTransferFrom(loan.borrower,IAddressRegistry(addressRegistry).owner(),protocolFeeAmount)</u>

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_processTransfers(address,address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,uint256)</u> uses arbitrary from in transferFrom:

IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).safeTransferFrom(loan.borrower,lenderVault,upfrontFee)

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_processRepayTransfers(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.LoanRepayInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,address,bytes)</u> uses arbitrary from in transferFrom:

<u>IERC20Metadata(loan.loanToken).safeTransferFrom(loan.borrower,lenderVault,uint256(loanRepayInstructions.targetRepayAmount) + loanRepayInstructions.expectedTransferFee)</u>

## Informational/High/naming-convention

#### Parameter

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).</u> <u>whitelistAuthority</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter Ownable.proposeNewOwner(address).\_newOwnerProposal is not in mixedCase

## Parameter

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_conversionGrace</u>

Variable ChainlinkBasic.BASE\_CURRENCY\_UNIT is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>LenderVaultImpl.setMinNumOfSigners(uint256).\_minNumOfSigners</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_arrangerFee</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).</u> <u>whitelistAuthority</u> is not in mixedCase

## Parameter

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_repaymentGracePeriod</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_loanTerms is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).</u> <u>unsubscribeGracePeriod</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_arrangerFee</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_fundingPool</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_collToken</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable ChainlinkBasic.BASE\_CURRENCY is not in mixedCase

Parameter FundingPoolImpl.initialize(address,address).\_factory is not in mixedCase

Variable LenderVaultImpl.\_loans is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>LenderVaultImpl.addSigners(address[]).\_signers</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_fundingPool</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable Factory.\_lenderWhitelistedUntil is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>LenderVaultImpl.initialize(address,address).\_vaultOwner</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).</u> arranger is not in mixedCase

Function IStakingHelper.claim\_rewards() is not in mixedCase

Variable FundingPoolImpl.\_earliestUnsubscribe is not in mixedCase

Parameter LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[]).\_loanIds is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>AddressRegistry.initialize(address,address,address).\_borrowerGateway</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>LoanProposalImpl.acceptLoanTerms(uint256)</u>.\_loanTermsUpdateTime is not in mixedCase

Variable Ownable.\_owner is not in mixedCase

Variable Ownable.\_newOwner is not in mixedCase



Parameter <u>LoanProposalImpl.getAbsoluteLoanTerms(DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanTerms,uint256,uint256).\_tmpLoanTerms</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable Factory.\_depositTokenHasFundingPool is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>AddressRegistry.initialize(address,address,address).\_quoteHandler</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter FundingPoolImpl.initialize(address,address).\_depositToken is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_loanTokenRepaid is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>LenderVaultImpl.initialize(address,address).\_addressRegistry</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_totalSubscriptionsThatClaimedOnDefault is not in mixedCase

Variable <u>AddressRegistry</u>.\_isTokenWhitelistedForCompartment is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_lenderExercisedConversion is not in mixedCase

Parameter BorrowerGateway.setProtocolFee(uint256).\_newFee is not in mixedCase

Variable AddressRegistry.\_isInitialized is not in mixedCase

Parameter

<u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_unsubscribeGracePeriod</u> is not in mixedCase

Parameter AddressRegistry.initialize(address,address,address).\_lenderVaultFactory is not in mixedCase

Parameter

<u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_conversionGracePeriod</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LenderVaultImpl.validateRepayInfo(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,DataTypesPeerToPeer.LoanRepayInstructions).\_I</u>
oan is not in mixedCase

Parameter <u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_collToken</u> is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_lenderClaimedRepayment is not in mixedCase

Parameter Factory.createFundingPool(address).\_depositToken is not in mixedCase

Variable LoanProposalImpl.\_lenderClaimedCollateralOnDefault is not in mixedCase

Function IStakingHelper.reward\_tokens(uint256) is not in mixedCase

Variable AddressRegistry.\_borrowerWhitelistedUntil is not in mixedCase

Variable AddressRegistry.\_registeredVaults is not in mixedCase



Function <a href="IStakingHelper.lp\_token()">IStakingHelper.lp\_token()</a> is not in mixedCase

Parameter Factory.setArrangerFeeSplit(uint256).\_newArrangerFeeSplit is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>Factory.createLoanProposal(address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).\_repaymentGracePeriod</u> is not in mixedCase

**Parameter** 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.initialize(address,address,address,address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256).</u> factory is not in mixedCase

### Low/High/shadowing-local

<u>ILenderVaultImpl.initialize(address,address).addressRegistry</u> shadows: - <u>ILenderVaultImpl.addressRegistry()</u> (function)

<u>IAddressRegistry.whitelistState(address).whitelistState</u> shadows: - <u>IAddressRegistry.whitelistState(address)</u> (function)

<u>ILenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256).loan</u> shadows: - <u>ILenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256)</u> (function)

<u>ILoanProposalImpl.IastLoanTermsUpdateTime().IastLoanTermsUpdateTime</u> shadows: - <u>ILoanProposalImpl.IastLoanTermsUpdateTime()</u> (function)

<u>ILoanProposalImpl.getAbsoluteLoanTerms(DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanTerms,uint256,uint256).loanTerms</u> shadows: - <u>ILoanProposalImpl.loanTerms()</u> (function)

<u>ILenderVaultImpl.validateRepayInfo(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,DataTypesPeerToPeer.LoanRepayInstructions).loan</u> shadows: - <u>ILenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256)</u> (function)

<u>IAddressRegistry.setWhitelistState(address[],DataTypesPeerToPeer.WhitelistState).whitelistState</u> shadows: -<u>IAddressRegistry.whitelistState(address)</u> (function)

<u>ILenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple).loan</u> shadows: - ILenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256) (function)

#### Low/Medium/calls-loop

<u>ChainlinkBasic.constructor(address[],address[],address,uint256)</u> has external calls inside a loop: <u>version = AggregatorV3Interface(\_oracleAddrs[i]).version()</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.getTokenBalancesAndLockedAmounts(address[])</u> has external calls inside a loop: <u>tokens[i] == address(0)</u> | ! \_addressRegistry.isWhitelistedERC20(tokens[i])

<u>LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[])</u> has external calls inside a loop: <u>IBaseCompartment(\_loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr).unlockCollToVault(\_loan.collToken)</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.getTokenBalancesAndLockedAmounts(address[])</u> has external calls inside a loop: <u>balances[i] = IERC20Metadata(tokens[i])</u>.balanceOf(address(this))



<u>ChainlinkBasic.constructor(address[],address[],address,uint256)</u> has external calls inside a loop: <u>oracleDecimals = AggregatorV3Interface(\_oracleAddrs[i]).decimals()</u>

### Low/Medium/missing-zero-check

<u>ChainlinkBasic.constructor(address[],address[],address,uint256).baseCurrency</u> lacks a zero-check on : - <u>BASE\_CURRENCY = baseCurrency</u>

Ownable.proposeNewOwner(address).\_newOwnerProposal lacks a zero-check on : - \_newOwner = \_newOwnerProposal

<u>LenderVaultImpl.initialize(address,address).\_addressRegistry</u> lacks a zero-check on : - <u>addressRegistry = addressRegistry</u>

#### Low/Medium/reentrancy-benign

#### Reentrancy in

<u>AddressRegistry.createWrappedTokenForERC20s(DataTypesPeerToPeer.WrappedERC20TokenInfo[],string,string)</u>:

External calls: - newERC20Addr =

<u>IERC20Wrapper(\_erc20Wrapper).createWrappedToken(msg.sender,tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol)</u> State variables written after the call(s): - <u>whitelistState[newERC20Addr] = DataTypesPeerToPeer.WhitelistState.ERC20\_TOKEN</u>

Reentrancy in FundingPoolImpl.subscribe(address,uint256): External calls: -

<u>IMysoTokenManager(mysoTokenManager).processP2PoolSubscribe(address(this),msg.sender,loanProposal,amount,\_totalSubscriptions,loanTerms)</u>
State variables written after the call(s): - \_earliestUnsubscribe[loanProposal][msg.sender] = 
<u>block.timestamp + Constants.MIN\_WAIT\_UNTIL\_EARLIEST\_UNSUBSCRIBE</u> - 
<u>subscriptionAmountOf[loanProposal]</u>
[msg.sender] += amount

#### Reentrancy in

<u>AddressRegistry.createWrappedTokenForERC721s(DataTypesPeerToPeer.WrappedERC721TokenInfo[],string,string)</u>:

External calls: - newERC20Addr =

<u>IERC721Wrapper(\_erc721Wrapper).createWrappedToken(msg.sender,tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol)</u> State variables written after the call(s): - <u>whitelistState[newERC20Addr] = DataTypesPeerToPeer.WhitelistState.ERC20\_TOKEN</u>

Reentrancy in LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[]): External calls: -

<u>IBaseCompartment(\_loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr).unlockCollToVault(\_loan.collToken)</u> State variables written after the call(s): - <u>lockedAmounts[collToken] -= totalUnlockableColl</u>

Reentrancy in FundingPoolImpl.deposit(uint256,uint256): External calls: -

<u>IMysoTokenManager(mysoTokenManager).processP2PoolDeposit(address(this),msg.sender,amount,transferFee)</u> – <u>IERC2OMetadata(depositToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),amount + transferFee)</u> State variables written after the call(s): – <u>balanceOf[msg.sender] += amount</u>

# Low/Medium/reentrancy-events

### Reentrancy in

<u>AddressRegistry.createWrappedTokenForERC721s(DataTypesPeerToPeer.WrappedERC721TokenInfo[],string,string)</u>: External calls: - newERC20Addr =



<u>IERC721Wrapper(\_erc721Wrapper).createWrappedToken(msg.sender,tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>CreatedWrappedTokenForERC721s(tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol,newERC20Addr)</u>

Reentrancy in LenderVaultImpl.withdraw(address,uint256): External calls: -

IERC20Metadata(token).safeTransfer(\_owner,amount) Event emitted after the call(s): - Withdrew(token,amount)

Reentrancy in LoanProposalImpl.repay(uint256): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(loanToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),remainingLoanTokenDue + expectedTransferFee) - IERC20Metadata(collToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender,collSendAmount)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>Repaid(remainingLoanTokenDue,collSendAmount)</u>

Reentrancy in FundingPoolImpl.withdraw(uint256): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(depositToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): -

Withdrawn(msg.sender,amount)

Reentrancy in FundingPoolImpl.executeLoanProposal(address): External calls: -

<u>ILoanProposalImpl(loanProposal).checkAndUpdateStatus()</u> -

IERC20Metadata(depositToken).safeTransfer(loanTerms.borrower,finalLoanAmount) -

<u>IERC20Metadata(depositToken).safeTransfer(arranger,arrangerFee - protocolFeeShare)</u> -

IERC20Metadata(depositToken).safeTransfer(IFactory(factory).owner(),protocolFeeShare) Event emitted after the call(s):

- <u>LoanProposalExecuted(loanProposal,loanTerms.borrower,finalLoanAmount,arrangerFee</u> - <u>protocolFeeShare,protocolFeeShare)</u>

Reentrancy in LoanProposalImpl.claimRepayment(uint256): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(IFundingPoolImpl(fundingPool).depositToken()).safeTransfer(msg.sender,claimAmount)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>RepaymentClaimed(msg.sender,claimAmount)</u>

#### Reentrancy in

<u>AddressRegistry.createWrappedTokenForERC20s(DataTypesPeerToPeer.WrappedERC20TokenInfo[],string,string)</u>:

External calls: - newERC20Addr =

<u>IERC20Wrapper(\_erc20Wrapper).createWrappedToken(msg.sender,tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>CreatedWrappedTokenForERC20s(tokensToBeWrapped,name,symbol,newERC20Addr)</u>

# Reentrancy in

<u>LenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u>: External calls: - <u>collReceiver =</u>

<u>\_createCollCompartment(generalQuoteInfo.borrowerCompartmentImplementation,\_loans.length)</u> -

IBaseCompartment(collCompartment).initialize(address(this),loanId) Event emitted after the call(s): -

<u>QuoteProcessed(borrower,\_loan,loanId,collReceiver)</u>

Reentrancy in LoanProposalImpl.finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl(uint256): External calls: -

<u>IMysoTokenManager(mysoTokenManager).processP2PoolLoanFinalization(address(this),fundingPool,staticData.collToken,staticData.arranger,msg.sender,\_finalLoanAmount,\_finalCollAmountReservedForDefault,\_finalCollAmountReservedForConversions)</u> -

<u>IERC20Metadata(collToken).safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),\_finalCollAmountReservedForDefault +</u>

\_finalCollAmountReservedForConversions + expectedTransferFee) Event emitted after the call(s): -

<u>LoanTermsAndTransferCollFinalized(\_finalLoanAmount,\_finalCollAmountReservedForDefault</u>

Reentrancy in <u>Factory.createFundingPool(address)</u>: External calls: -

<u>IFundingPoolImpI(newFundingPool).initialize(address(this),\_depositToken)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - FundingPoolCreated(newFundingPool,\_depositToken)



Reentrancy in LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[]): External calls: -

<u>IBaseCompartment(\_loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr).unlockCollToVault(\_loan.collToken)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>CollateralUnlocked(\_owner,collToken,\_loanlds,totalUnlockableColl)</u>

Reentrancy in LoanProposalImpl.exerciseConversion(): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(staticData.collToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender,conversionAmount)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): - <u>ConversionExercised(msg.sender,repaymentIdx,conversionAmount)</u>

Reentrancy in LoanProposalImpl.claimDefaultProceeds(): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(collToken).safeTransfer(msg.sender,totalCollTokenClaim)</u> Event emitted after the call(s): – DefaultProceedsClaimed(msg.sender)

# Low/Medium/timestamp

<u>LoanProposalImpl.claimDefaultProceeds()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>dynamicData.status!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.DEFAULTED</u>

<u>ChainlinkBasic.\_checkAndReturnLatestRoundData(address)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: –  $roundId == 0 \parallel answeredInRound < roundId \parallel answer < 1 \parallel updatedAt == 0 \parallel updatedAt > block.timestamp$ 

<u>LoanProposalImpl.canSubscribe()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - (<u>dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.IN\_NEGOTIATION || (dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.BORROWER\_ACCEPTED && block.timestamp < \_lenderInOrOutCutoffTime()))</u>

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_processTransfers(address,address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions.DataTypesPeerToPeer.B</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.exerciseConversion()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>dynamicData.status</u>

<u>!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.LOAN\_DEPLOYED</u> – <u>block.timestamp < \_repayment.dueTimestamp ||</u>

<u>block.timestamp >= \_repayment.dueTimestamp + staticData.conversionGracePeriod</u>

<u>FundingPoolImpl.unsubscribe(address,uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>block.timestamp < earliestUnsubscribePerLender[msg.sender]</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.markAsDefaulted()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>dynamicData.status!=</u>

<u>DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.LOAN\_DEPLOYED</u> - <u>block.timestamp < \_getRepaymentCutoffTime(repaymentIdx)</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.withdraw(address,uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>amount == 0 ||</u> <u>amount > vaultBalance - lockedAmounts[token]</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>loanLen == 0 || loanId > loanLen = 1</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.rollback()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>dynamicData.status!=</u>

<u>DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.BORROWER\_ACCEPTED</u> - (<u>msg.sender == \_loanTerms.borrower && block.timestamp < lenderInOrOutCutoffTime) || (block.timestamp >= lenderInOrOutCutoffTime && totalSubscriptions < \_loanTerms.minTotalSubscriptions) || (block.timestamp >= lenderInOrOutCutoffTime + Constants.LOAN\_EXECUTION\_GRACE\_PERIOD)</u>



<u>LoanProposalImpl.proposeLoanTerms(DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanTerms)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>status!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.WITHOUT\_LOAN\_TERMS && status!=</u>

<u>DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.IN\_NEGOTIATION</u> - <u>block.timestamp < lastLoanTermsUpdateTime +</u>

<u>Constants.LOAN\_TERMS\_UPDATE\_COOL\_OFF\_PERIOD</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.validateRepayInfo(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,DataTypesPeerToPeer.LoanRepayInstructions)</u>
uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>block.timestamp < \_loan.earliestRepay || block.timestamp >= \_loan.expiry</u>

<u>AddressRegistry.claimBorrowerWhitelistStatus(address,uint256,bytes,bytes32)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>whitelistedUntil < block.timestamp || whitelistedUntil <= whitelistedUntilPerBorrower[msg.sender]</u>

<u>Factory.isWhitelistedLender(address,address)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: -<u>lenderWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][lender] > block.timestamp</u>

<u>QuoteHandler.\_isValidOnChainQuote(DataTypesPeerToPeer.OnChainQuote)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>onChainQuote.generalQuoteInfo.validUntil < block.timestamp</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.\_checkCurrRepaymentIdx(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>repaymentIdx == \_loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length</u>

<u>AddressRegistry.isWhitelistedBorrower(address,address)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: -\_borrowerWhitelistedUntil[whitelistAuthority][borrower] > block.timestamp

<u>LoanProposalImpl.repay(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>dynamicData.status!=</u>

<u>DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.LOAN\_DEPLOYED</u> – <u>(block.timestamp < currConversionCutoffTime) | (block.timestamp >= currRepaymentCutoffTime) - \_loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length - 1 == repaymentIdx</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.acceptLoanTerms(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: –

<u>dynamicData.status!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.IN\_NEGOTIATION</u> – <u>block.timestamp < lastLoanTermsUpdateTime + Constants.LOAN\_TERMS\_UPDATE\_COOL\_OFF\_PERIOD</u> – <u>loanTermsUpdateTime!= lastLoanTermsUpdateTime</u>

<u>Factory.claimLenderWhitelistStatus(address,uint256,bytes,bytes32)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>whitelistedUntil < block.timestamp || whitelistedUntil <= whitelistedUntilPerLender[msg.sender]</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[])</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>loan.collUnlocked || block.timestamp < \_loan.expiry</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.checkAndUpdateStatus()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>dynamicData.status!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.READY\_TO\_EXECUTE</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.finalizeLoanTermsAndTransferColl(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons:

- <u>dynamicData.status!= DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.BORROWER\_ACCEPTED || block.timestamp <

\_lenderInOrOutCutoffTime() - \_unfinalizedLoanTerms.repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp <= block.timestamp +

Constants.MIN\_TIME\_UNTIL\_FIRST\_DUE\_DATE - IERC20Metadata(collToken).balanceOf(address(this))!= preBal +

\_finalCollAmountReservedForDefault + \_finalCollAmountReservedForConversions</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.\_repaymentScheduleCheck(DataTypesPeerToPool.Repayment[])</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>repaymentSchedule[0].dueTimestamp < block.timestamp +</u>



<u>Constants.LOAN\_TERMS\_UPDATE\_COOL\_OFF\_PERIOD + Constants.LOAN\_EXECUTION\_GRACE\_PERIOD + Constants.MIN\_TIME\_UNTIL\_FIRST\_DUE\_DATE</u>

<u>QuoteHandler.\_checkSenderAndGeneralQuoteInfo(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: – <u>generalQuoteInfo.validUntil < block.timestamp</u>

<u>BorrowerGateway.\_checkDeadlineAndRegisteredVault(uint256,address)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>block.timestamp > deadline</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.General QuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>loan.expiry < SafeCast.toUint40(\_loan.earliestRepay + Constants.MIN\_TIME\_BETWEEN\_EARLIEST\_REPAY\_AND\_EXPIRY)</u>

<u>LenderVaultImpl.\_getLoanAndRepayAmount(uint256,uint256,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>loanAmount > vaultLoanTokenBal - lockedAmounts[generalQuoteInfo.loanToken]</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.canUnsubscribe()</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>canSubscribe()</u> || <u>dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.ROLLBACK</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.claimRepayment(uint256)</u> uses timestamp for comparisons Dangerous comparisons: - <u>repaymentIdx</u> >= <u>dynamicData.currentRepaymentIdx</u>

### Medium/High/incorrect-equality

<u>LenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256)</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - <u>loanLen == 0 || loanId > loanLen - 1</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.canSubscribe()</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - (<u>dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.IN\_NEGOTIATION || (dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.BORROWER\_ACCEPTED && block.timestamp < \_lenderInOrOutCutoffTime()))</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.repay(uint256)</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - <u>loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length - 1 == repaymentIdx</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.canUnsubscribe()</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - <u>canSubscribe() || dynamicData.status == DataTypesPeerToPool.LoanStatus.ROLLBACK</u>

<u>LoanProposalImpl.claimDefaultProceeds()</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - totalCollTokenClaim == 0

<u>LoanProposalImpl. checkCurrRepaymentIdx(uint256)</u> uses a dangerous strict equality: - <u>repaymentIdx == </u> <u>loanTerms.repaymentSchedule.length</u>

# Medium/Medium/divide-before-multiply

<u>LenderVaultImpl.\_getLoanAndRepayAmount(uint256,uint256,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u> performs a multiplication on the result of a division: - <u>loanAmount = (loanPerCollUnit \* (collSendAmount - expectedTransferFee)) / (10 \*\* IERC20Metadata(generalQuoteInfo.collToken).decimals()) - repayAmount = (loanAmount \* interestRateFactor) / Constants.BASE</u>



# Medium/Medium/reentrancy-no-eth

Reentrancy in LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[]): External calls: -

<u>IBaseCompartment(\_loan.collTokenCompartmentAddr).unlockCollToVault(\_loan.collToken)</u> State variables written after the call(s): - <u>\_loan.collUnlocked = true</u> <u>LenderVaultImpl.\_loans</u> can be used in cross function reentrancies: -

LenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256) -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u> - <u>LenderVaultImpl.totalNumLoans()</u> -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[])</u> -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.updateLoanInfo(uint128,uint256,uint256,address,address)</u>

Reentrancy in FundingPoolImpl.subscribe(address,uint256): External calls: -

IMysoTokenManager(mysoTokenManager).processP2PoolSubscribe(address(this),msg.sender,loanProposal,amount,\_tota ISubscriptions,loanTerms) State variables written after the call(s): - balanceOf[msg.sender] = \_balanceOf - amount FundingPoolImpl.balanceOf can be used in cross function reentrancies: - FundingPoolImpl.balanceOf -

<u>FundingPoolImpl.unsubscribe(address,uint256)</u> – <u>FundingPoolImpl.withdraw(uint256)</u> – <u>totalSubscriptions[loanProposal] = \_totalSubscriptions + amount FundingPoolImpl.totalSubscriptions</u> can be used in cross function reentrancies: –

FundingPoolImpl.totalSubscriptions - FundingPoolImpl.unsubscribe(address,uint256)

Reentrancy in LenderVaultImpl.withdraw(address,uint256): External calls: -

<u>IERC20Metadata(token).safeTransfer(\_owner,amount)</u> State variables written after the call(s): - <u>withdrawEntered = false</u> <u>LenderVaultImpl.withdrawEntered</u> can be used in cross function reentrancies: -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.withdraw(address,uint256)</u> - <u>LenderVaultImpl.withdrawEntered</u>

#### Reentrancy in

<u>LenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.General QuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u>: External calls: - <u>collReceiver =</u>

<u>\_createCollCompartment(generalQuoteInfo.borrowerCompartmentImplementation,\_loans.length)</u> -

<u>IBaseCompartment(collCompartment).initialize(address(this),loanId)</u> State variables written after the call(s): -

<u>loans.push(\_loan)</u> <u>LenderVaultImpl.\_loans</u> can be used in cross function reentrancies: - <u>LenderVaultImpl.loan(uint256)</u> -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.processQuote(address,DataTypesPeerToPeer.BorrowTransferInstructions,DataTypesPeerToPeer.GeneralQuoteInfo,DataTypesPeerToPeer.QuoteTuple)</u> - <u>LenderVaultImpl.totalNumLoans()</u> -

<u>LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[])</u> -

LenderVaultImpl.updateLoanInfo(uint128,uint256,uint256,address,address)

# Medium/Medium/uninitialized-local

LenderVaultImpl.unlockCollateral(address,uint256[]).totalUnlockableColl is a local variable never initialized

LenderVaultImpl.removeSigner(address,uint256).signerWithSwappedPosition is a local variable never initialized

LoanProposalImpl.claimDefaultProceeds().totalCollTokenClaim is a local variable never initialized

#### Medium/Medium/unused-return

<u>UniV3Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>ISwapRouter(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER).exactInputSingle(params)</u>



<u>BalancerV2Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(Ioan.collToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,collBalance)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(Ioan.IoanToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>ISwapRouter(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER).exactInputSingle(params)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.loanToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,collBalance)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(Ioan.collToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,0)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.loanToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.collToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,0)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IBalancerVault(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT).swap(singleSwap,fundManagement,minSwapReceive,deadline)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.repayCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC2OMetadata(loan.collToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(Ioan.IoanToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,0)</u>

<u>UniV3Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(loan.loanToken).approve(UNI\_V3\_SWAP\_ROUTER,loan.initLoanAmount)</u>

<u>BalancerV2Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes)</u> ignores return value by <u>IERC20Metadata(Ioan.loanToken).approve(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT,loan.initLoanAmount)</u>

BalancerV2Looping.borrowCallback(DataTypesPeerToPeer.Loan,bytes) ignores return value by IBalancerVault(BALANCER\_V2\_VAULT).swap(singleSwap,fundManagement,minSwapReceive,deadline)



# 8. Appendix C. Tests



100 passing (3m)					
					-
File	% Stmts	% Branch	% Funcs	% Lines	Uncovered Lines
contracts/	100	100	100	100	
Constants.sol	100	100	100	100	
Errors.sol	100	100	100	100	
Ownable.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/interfaces/	100	100	100	100	
IMysoTokenManager.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/	99.31	95.61	100	97.88	
AddressRegistry.sol	100	95.71	100	96.91	75,91,110
	98.08	84.09	100	94.67	
BorrowerGateway.sol					245,252,253,270
DataTypesPeerToPeer.sol	100	100	100	100	
LenderVaultFactory.sol	88.89	75	100	92.31	40
LenderVaultImpl.sol	100	98.78	100	98.62	279,280
QuoteHandler.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/callbacks/	100	100	100	100	
BalancerV2Looping.sol	100	100	100	100	
UniV3Looping.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/compartments/	100	91.67	100	94.44	
BaseCompartment.sol	100	91.67	100	94.44	27
contracts/peer-to-					



peer/compartments/staking/	100	83.33	100	96.25	
AaveStakingCompartment.sol	100	100	100	100	
CurveLPStakingCompartment.sol	100	83.33	100	95.65	131,135,136
GLPStakingCompartment.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/compartments/voting/	100	87.5	100	94.44	
VoteCompartment.sol	100	87.5	100	94.44	37
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/	100	100	100	100	
IAddressRegistry.sol	100	100	100	100	
IBorrowerGateway.sol	100	100	100	100	
ILenderVaultFactory.sol	100	100	100	100	
ILenderVaultImpl.sol	100	100	100	100	
IOracle.sol	100	100	100	100	
IQuoteHandler.sol	100	100	100	100	
IVaultCallback.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/callbacks/	100	100	100	100	
BalancerDataTypes.sol	100	100	100	100	
IBalancerAsset.sol	100	100	100	100	
IBalancerVault.sol	100	100	100	100	
ISwapRouter.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/compartments/	100	100	100	100	
IBaseCompartment.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/compartments/staking/	100	100	100	100	
IStakingHelper.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/oracles/	100	100	100	100	



IUniV2.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/oracles/chainlink/	100	100	100	100	
AggregatorV3Interface.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/wrappers/ERC20/	100	100	100	100	
IERC20Wrapper.sol	100	100	100	100	
IWrappedERC20Impl.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/interfaces/wrappers/ERC721/	100	100	100	100	
IERC721Wrapper.sol	100	100	100	100	
IWrappedERC721Impl.sol	100	100	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/oracles/chainlink/	100	73.91	100	94.44	
ChainlinkBasic.sol	100	61.54	100	93.75	43,97
ChainlinkBasicWithWbtc.sol	100	75	100	87.5	43
OlympusOracle.sol	100	100	100	100	
UniV2Chainlink.sol	100	91.67	100	95.83	88
contracts/peer-to-peer/wrappers/ERC20/	100	83.33	100	97.96	
ERC20Wrapper.sol	100	87.5	100	96.43	47
WrappedERC20Impl.sol	100	75	100	100	
contracts/peer-to-peer/wrappers/ERC721/	100	90.91	100	98.28	
ERC721Wrapper.sol	100	93.75	100	100	
WrappedERC721Impl.sol	100	83.33	100	95.65	61
contracts/peer-to-pool/	97.66	88.46	100	96.61	
DataTypesPeerToPool.sol	100	100	100	100	
Factory.sol	94.74	71.88	100	91.23	179,195,196
FundingPoolImpl.sol	95.74	89.13	100	97.37	53,124



contracts/peer-to-pool/interfaces/	100	100	100	100	
lFactory.sol	100	100	100	100	
IFundingPoolImpl.sol	100	100	100	100	
ILoanProposalImpl.sol	100	100	100	100	
	98.65	  89.97	97.63	  96.98	



# STATE MAIND